INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE

To the Board of Directors
of the Gold Coast Transit District
Oxnard, California

Report on Compliance with Transportation Development Act Requirements

We have audited the Gold Coast Transit District’s (the District) compliance with Transportation Development Act (TDA) requirements that funds allocated to and received by the District were expended in conformance with applicable statutes, rules, and regulations of the TDA and the allocation instructions and resolutions of the Ventura County Transportation Commission as required by Section 6667 of Title 21, Division 3, Chapter 2, Article 5.5 of the California Code of Regulations during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019.

Management’s Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to the applicable statutes, rules, and regulations of the TDA.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on each of the District’s compliance requirements referred to in Section 6667, which requires that for a transit claimant, the independent auditor will perform at least the following tasks:

(a) Determine whether the claimant was an entity eligible to receive the funds allocated to it,
(b) Determine whether the claimant is maintaining its accounts and records on an enterprise fund basis and is otherwise in compliance with the uniform system of accounts and records adopted by the State Controller, pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234,
(c) Determine whether the funds received by the claimant pursuant to the TDA were expended in conformance with those sections of the TDA specifying the qualifying purposes, including Public Utilities Code Sections 99262 and 99263 for operators receiving funds under Article 4; Sections 99275, 99275.5, and 99277 for Article 4.5 claimants; and Section 99400(c), (d), and (e) for Article 8 claimants for service provided under contract, and Section 99405(d) for transportation services provided by cities and counties with populations of less than 5,000,
(d) Determine whether the funds received by the claimants pursuant to the TDA were expended in conformance with the applicable rules, regulations, and procedures of the transportation planning agency and in compliance with the allocation instructions and resolutions,
(e) Determine whether interest earned on funds received by the claimant, pursuant to the TDA, were expended only for those purposes for which the funds were allocated in accordance with Public Utilities Code Sections 99234.1, 99301, 99301.5, and 99301.6,
(f) Verify the amount of the claimant’s operating cost for the fiscal year, the amount of fare revenues required to meet the ratios specified in Sections 6633.2 and 6633.5, and the amount of the sum of fare revenues and local support required to meet the ratios specified in the Section 6633.2,

(g) Verify the amount of the claimant’s actual fare revenues for the fiscal year,

(h) Verify the amount of the claimant’s actual local support for the fiscal year,

(i) Verify the amount the claimant was eligible to receive under the TDA during the fiscal year in accordance with Sections 6634 and 6649,

(j) Verify, if applicable, the amount of the operator’s expenditure limitation in accordance with Section 6633.1,

(k) In the case of an operator, determine whether the operator’s employee retirement system or private pension plan is in conformance with the provisions of Public Utilities Code Sections 99271, 99272, and 99273,

(l) In the case of an operator, determine whether the operator has had a certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code, as required in Public Utilities Code Section 99251,

(m) In the case of an operator, verify, if applicable, its State Transit Assistance eligibility pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99314.6 or 99314.7, and

(n) In the case of a claimant for community transit services, determine whether it is in compliance with Public Utilities Code Sections 99155 and 99155.5.

Report on Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account

Also, as part of our audit, we performed tests of compliance to determine whether certain state funds, were received and expended in accordance with the applicable bond act and state accounting requirements.

In November 2006, California voters passed a bond measure enacting the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 (Proposition 1B). Of the $19.925 billion of state general obligation bonds authorized, $4 billion was set aside by the State as instructed by the statute as the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA).

Additionally, Section 8879.23 (h) directs that $1 billion dollars be deposited in the Transit System Safety, Security, and Disaster Response Account. This section further directs that $100 million dollars be made available upon appropriation by the legislature to entities for eligible transit system safety, security, and disaster response projects (OHS). These funds are available to the California Department of Transportation for intercity rail projects and to transit operations in California for rehabilitation, safety, or modernization improvements; capital service enhancements or expansions; new capital projects; bus rapid transit improvements; or for rolling stock procurement, rehabilitation, or replacement.

As of June 30, 2019, all Proposition 1B funds received and expended were verified in the course of our current and previous audits as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prop. 1B Grant Fund</th>
<th>Prop. 1B Grant</th>
<th>PTMISEA</th>
<th>LCTOP</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning net position - July 1, 2018</td>
<td>$ 177,736</td>
<td>$ 745,768</td>
<td>$ 38,091</td>
<td>$ 961,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds received</td>
<td>15,513</td>
<td>206,407</td>
<td>45,987</td>
<td>267,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital asset program purchases:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New facility</td>
<td>(15,531)</td>
<td>(256,626)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(272,157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement buses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(327,129)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(327,129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fare support - Token Transit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(70,944)</td>
<td>(70,944)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital asset program purchases</td>
<td>(15,531)</td>
<td>(583,755)</td>
<td>(70,944)</td>
<td>(670,230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment earnings allocated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net position</td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>(376,938)</td>
<td>(24,936)</td>
<td>(401,892)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending net position - June 30, 2019</td>
<td>$ 177,718</td>
<td>$ 368,830</td>
<td>$ 13,155</td>
<td>$ 559,703</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Opinion on Compliance

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that are applicable to the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

BROWN ARMSTRONG
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

Bakersfield, California
November 27, 2019