AGENDA
REGULAR BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 6, 2017 – 10:00 A.M.
GCTD ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY
301 EAST THIRD STREET
OXNARD, CA  93030-6048
www.GoldCoastTransit.org

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

Vice-Chair – John C. Zaragoza – County of Ventura
Director – Carmen Ramirez – City of Oxnard Alternate
Director Paul Blatz – City of Ojai
Director Jonathan Sharkey – City of Port Hueneme
Director Cheryl Heitmann – City of Ventura

CEREMONIAL CALENDAR

▪ Pledge of Allegiance
▪ Employee Recognition

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

At this time, the Gold Coast Transit District Board of Directors will consider public comment for business matters that are not on the agenda. Each speaker is limited to three (3) minutes. The presiding officer shall enforce the time limit. Such matters cannot be discussed by the Board at the time of presentation, but may be referred to the general manager/secretary for administrative action or public report at a later meeting, or scheduled on a subsequent agenda for consideration. This rule shall not prohibit a member of the Board, at this time, from briefly responding to a public statement, or question or proposed initiative, as provided in Government Code Section 54954.2. Speakers are requested to complete a green speaker form, available from the Clerk of the Board, and file it with the Clerk before speaking.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS’ REPORTS

GENERAL MANAGER’S REPORT

AGENDA REVIEW - Any changes to the agenda may be made at this time.
CONSENT AGENDA
1. Consider Approval of Minutes of November 1, 2017 Board of Directors Meeting
2. Consider Approval of Expenditures for the Month of October, 2017
3. Consider Approval of Treasurer’s Report for October, 2017
4. Consider Approval of Budget Income Statement for Month Ending October, 2017
5. Consider Approval of Financial Statements & Schedule of Money Transfers for October, 2017
6. Consider Approval of GCTD Quarterly Investment Report for the Quarter ending September 30, 2017
7. Consider Adoption of Resolution 2017-10 for Authorization of the Certifications and Assurances for the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program

FORMAL ITEMS - PUBLIC COMMENTS ON AGENDA ITEMS
The Gold Coast Transit District Board of Directors will consider public comment on any item appearing on the agenda at the time that agenda item has been called by the presiding officer and after the staff report has been given. Each speaker is limited to five (5) minutes comment total on all agenda items. Speakers are requested to complete a green speaker form, available from the Clerk of the Board or on the speaker’s podium, and file it with the Clerk before speaking.


9. Consider Approval of SB1 STA State of Good Repair Priority Projects List and Consider Adoption of Resolution 2017-11 for Authorization for the Execution of the Certifications and Assurances for the California State of Good Repair Program – Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning and Marketing

10. Authorize the General Manager to Transmit a Letter of Support for Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) application for TIRCP Grant Funds - Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning and Marketing

11. Approve Staff Recommendations Resulting from Strategic Planning Discussion of “Fiscal Challenges and Opportunities” - Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning and Marketing

12. Election of Board Officers for Calendar Year 2018 – Steve Brown, General Manager

13. Consider Approval of Board of Directors Meeting Schedule for Calendar Year 2018 – Steve Brown, General Manager

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

14. Receive and File Report and Presentation of the Construction of the GCTD Administration and Operations Facility – Reed Caldwell, Director of Engineering and Construction

CLOSED SESSION

15. CONFERENCE WITH AGENCY LABOR NEGOTIATORS pursuant to Government Code § 54957.6 – Agency Designated Representatives: General Manager - Labor Organization: SEIU Local 721
The next regular meeting of the GCTD Board of Directors will be held on **January 3, 2018 at 10:00 A.M. at the Gold Coast Transit District Board Room, 301 E. Third Street, Oxnard, CA 93030.**

Copies of administrative reports relating to the Board agenda are available on-line at [www.GoldCoastTransit.org](http://www.GoldCoastTransit.org) or from the Office Manager, Gold Coast Transit, 301 E. Third St, Oxnard, CA, 93030.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, IF YOU NEED SPECIAL ASSISTANCE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE MEETING, PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFICE MANAGER AT (805) 483-3959, Ext. 101, OR E-MAIL aperez@gctd.org OR THROUGH THE CALIFORNIA RELAY SERVICE AT 711. NOTIFICATION 72 HOURS PRIOR TO THE MEETING WILL ENABLE GCTD TO MAKE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS TO ENSURE ACCESSIBILITY TO THE MEETING.
MINUTES OF THE REGULAR BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 2017 – 10:00 A.M.

Call to Order
Chair Bryan MacDonald called the regular meeting of the Board of Directors of Gold Coast Transit District to order at 10:00 A.M. at the GCTD Administrative Facility, 301 E. Third St., Oxnard, California.

Roll Call
Chair Bryan MacDonald – City of Oxnard
Vice-Chair John C. Zaragoza – County of Ventura
Director Paul Blatz – City of Ojai
Director Jonathan Sharkey – City of Port Hueneme
Director Cheryl Heitmann – City of Ventura (arrived at 10:10am)

Staff Present
Steven P. Brown, General Manager
Steven C. DeBaun, General Counsel
Steve Rosenberg, Director of Finance and Administration
Ana Perez, Clerk of the Board
Debbie Williams, Director of Human Resources
Andrew Mikkelson, Director of Transit Operations
Roxanna Ibarra, Human Resources Assistant
Reed Caldwell, Director of Engineering & Construction
Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning & Marketing
Matt Miller, Transit Planner II
Beatris Megerdichian, Transit Planner I
Marlena Kohler, Purchasing Manager/DBE Officer
Margaret Heath-Schoep, Paratransit & Special Projects Manager
Cynthia Torres Duque, Communications & Marketing Manager
James Beck, Fleet Manager
Rigo Nava, Operations Safety & Training Officer

Ceremonial Calendar
The pledge of allegiance was led by Director Zaragoza.
Employee Recognition
There were none.

General Public Comment Period
- Mr. Danny Carrillo, Regional Director of Tri-Counties Region, SEIU Local 721, introduced 721 members, bargaining leaders and Mr. Bon-Flores. Mr. Carrillo stated that Gold Coast Transit District and SEIU have and hope to continue to negotiate in good faith. SEIU is looking for support from the Board of Directors in ensuring fairness for both sides. Management has held itself accountable in negotiations. Mr. Carrillo thanks management for bringing on board Ms. Williams, Director of Human Resources. Ms. Williams is fair, reasonable and listens to the concerns of the employees. Mr. Carrillo hopes Ms. Williams will have a long tenure with GCTD and SEIU extends support for Ms. Williams.

Board of Directors Reports
Director Zaragoza congratulated everyone on the Safety Awards. Ms. Tracy Gallaher on behalf of Director Zaragoza attended the GCTD’s Safety Day Celebration.

General Manager Reports
Mr. Brown informed the Board of Directors that GCTD in appreciation of the contributions of the 40,000+ men and women currently living in Ventura County who have served in our Nation’s armed forces, will be participating in the countywide “Veteran’s Ride FREE on Veteran’s Day” on Friday, November 10th & 11th. In addition, Mr. Will Cattidge, Bus Operator, who served 21 years in the Marine Corp and earned the rank of Master Drill Sergeant, will be presenting an educational display on the meaning of Veteran’s Day to GCTD employees on Monday, Nov. 6th. The display will include the Fallen Comrade Table and 13 Folds of the American Flag.

Mr. Brown announced that GCTD has launched the “Token Transit” mobile app. Passengers may now use their cell phones to purchase bus passes.

Mr. Brown reported that this year marks GCTD’s 6th year participating in Food Share’s annual CAN TREE collection. Our Can Tree will be displayed at the Figueroa Plaza in Downtown Ventura.

Mr. Brown informed that GCTD will participating in the Annual Downtown Oxnard Christmas Parade on Saturday, December 2nd.

Mr. Brown announced that GCTD’s Holiday Party will be held on Sunday, December 17th.

Agenda Review
There were no changes.

Consent Agenda
1. Consider Approval of Minutes of October 4, 2017 Board of Directors Meeting
2. Consider Approval of Expenditures for the Month of August 2017
3. Consider Approval of Treasurer’s Report for August 2017
4. Consider Approval of Budget Income Statement for the Month Ending August 2017
5. Consider Approval of Financial Statements and Schedule of Money Transfers for August 2017
6. Consider Approval of Expenditures for the Month of September 2017
7. Consider Approval of Treasurer’s Report for September 2017
8. Consider Approval of Budget Income Statement for the Month Ending September 2017
9. Consider Approval of Financial Statements and Schedule of Money Transfers for September 2017
10. Consider Approval of General Manager’s Expense Report for the month of October, 2017

Director Sharkey moved to approve Consent Agenda 1 thru 10. Director Blatz seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Public Comments on Agenda Items
There were none.

Formal Items

11. Consider Authorizing the General Manager to sign, subject to legal counsel review, a Cooperative Agreement between Gold Coast Transit District (GCDT) and the Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) for participation in the Countywide AVL System Replacement and the Purchase of an Automated Voice Annunciation System (AVAS) – Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning and Marketing

Ms. Rauschenberger informed the Board of Directors that on September 2017, the Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) authorized its Executive Director to negotiate the final terms of a contract with Syncromatics for the replacement of the countywide Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL) system. As part of the RFP, VCTC also solicited pricing for integrated technology components which, if desired for implementation, may be purchased by transit providers.

GCTD in adoption the Ten-Year Capital Plan in its Fiscal Year 2017/18 budget programmed an amount not to exceed $670,000 to purchase transit fleet technologies, including an Automated Voice Annunciation System (AVAS) for the GCTD fleet. An AVAS system provides electronic audio and visual stop announcements to passengers on-board as well as at bus stops, fulfilling requirements set forth by the ADA & US DOT. After discussions with VCTC, GCTD staff has determined that the most cost-effective way to implement an AVAS system, would be to implement this technology as part of the countywide Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL) system replacement. Proposed pricing for the AVAS (if purchased through the VCTC agreement) including tax and years 1-2 of annual service; plus 10% contingency totals $431,500, well under the programmed amount.

To facilitate this purchase, VCTC has drafted a Cooperative Agreement that outlines the funding responsibilities of the participating agencies. Staff is recommending that the GCTD Board of Directors consider authorizing the General Manager to sign, subject to legal counsel...
review, a Cooperative Agreement between Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD) and the Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) to participate in the replacement of the AVL system and to purchase the AVAS option.

Director Heitmann moved to Approve Authorizing the General Manager to Sign, Subject to Legal Counsel Review, a Cooperative Agreement between GCTD and VCTC for participation in the Countywide AVL System Replacement and the Purchase of an Automated Voice Annunciation System. Director Sharkey seconded the motion.

Roll Call
Yes: Chair MacDonald, Vice-Chair Zaragoza, Director Blatz, Director Sharkey, Director Heitmann
No: None

The motion passed unanimously.

12. Discussion of Priorities for SB 1 State of Good Repair Funding – Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning and Marketing

Ms. Rauschenberger informed that the Road Repair and Accountability ACT (Senate Bill 1) will provide more than $760 million annually in new funding for transit projects statewide. For transit, Senate Bill 1 funds will support the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP), the State Transit Assistance (STA) Program (including the State of Good Repair (SGR) program), as well as the Commuter Rail and Intercity Rail Programs.

According to the recently released formal draft State of Good Repair (SGR) guidelines, transit operator must provide a “project list” to Caltrans by January 19, 2018, with a board resolution approving the list. Staff is seeking feedback from the Board of Directors, as it develops a recommended list for the Board to Consider at the December 2017 meeting. Ms. Rauschenberger reviewed with the Board GCTD’s Potential Project for STA/SGR Project list.

a. Preventive Maintenance
b. Vehicle Replacements
c. Security Equipment and Systems
d. Maintenance Facilities and Equipment
e. Passenger Stations/Facilities

The report was received and filed.

13. Receive and File Report and Presentation of the Construction of the GCTD Administration and Operations Facility – Reed Caldwell, Director of Engineering & Construction

Mr. Caldwell provided an overview of recent activities on the GCTD Administration and Operations Facility. Mr. Caldwell stated the construction just passed its 25%-mark last week and it’s on mark with its expected September 26, 2018 completion date.
The report was received and filed.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS


Mr. Miller provided a revised First Quarter FY 2017-18 Fixed Route report.

The report was received and filed.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

None

CLOSED SESSION

There were none.

There being no further business Chair MacDonald adjourned the Board of Directors meeting at 11:00 A.M.

Minutes recorded by: Ana Perez, Clerk of the Board of Directors

___________________________  ___________________________
Steven P. Brown  Chair
Secretary of the Board of Directors  Bryan MacDonald
Board of Directors

Unless otherwise determined by the Board of Directors, the next regular meeting of the GCTD Board of Directors will be held on December 4, 2017 10:00 A.M. at the Gold Coast Transit District Board Room, 301 E. Third Street, Oxnard, CA 93030. Copies of administrative reports relating to the Board agenda are available on-line at www.GoldCoastTransit.org or from the Office Manager, Gold Coast Transit District, 301 E. Third Street, Oxnard, CA 93030.
DATE      December 6, 2017
TO         GCTD Board of Directors
FROM       Lili Marlene T. Tomen, Accounting Manager
SUBJECT    Consider the Approval of Expenditures for the Month of October, 2017

Attached is a list of expenditures for the month of October, 2017 from the various GCTD Accounts.

If any member of the Board wishes to review a particular item, please contact me to have the necessary documentation on hand for the meeting.

Attachment

General Manager's Concurrence

[Signature]
## Accounts Payable Disbursement List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor #</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Check #</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Voided</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33417-C013IXEL</td>
<td>CAL PERS</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>10/5/2017</td>
<td>$67,427.97</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PENSION CONTRIBUTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33418-C013IXEL</td>
<td>CAL PERS</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$67,048.45</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PENSION CONTRIBUTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3442-LTFCSC</td>
<td>CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$13,020.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3442-LTFC\</td>
<td>COUNTY OF VENTURA</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$97,280.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3442-LTFCG</td>
<td>GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$1,083,039.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3442-LTFOJ\</td>
<td>CITY OF OJAI</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$15,167.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3442-LTFOX</td>
<td>CITY OF OXNARD</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$43,355.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i3442-LTFFI</td>
<td>CITY OF PORT HUENEME</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$1,786.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0608</td>
<td>AFFORDABLE TABLES AND CHA</td>
<td>76396</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$685.50</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>RENTAL FEES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0703</td>
<td>CALIFORNIA PANTHER SECURIT</td>
<td>76397</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$4,387.44</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>SECURITY GUARD SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0918</td>
<td>AIRGAS USA, LLC</td>
<td>76506</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$86.75</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0920</td>
<td>ENRIQUE GRIJALVA</td>
<td>76398</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>GLASS REPAIRS/REPLACEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1211</td>
<td>ALL-PHASE ELECTRIC</td>
<td>76399</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$14.48</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1219</td>
<td>ERICH KREIG</td>
<td>76400</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$920.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1308</td>
<td>AMERICAN MOVING PARTS</td>
<td>76401</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$3,086.87</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>BRAKE SHOES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1406</td>
<td>ANA LABORATORIES, INC.</td>
<td>76402</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$397.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1617</td>
<td>APPLEONE EMPLOYMENT SERV</td>
<td>76403</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$881.28</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>TEMPORARY HELP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1920</td>
<td>ASSURANT EMPLOYEE BENEFIT</td>
<td>76373</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$2,100.53</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>DENTAL PREMIUMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2020</td>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>76404</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$301.14</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>TELEPHONE SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2020</td>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>76530</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$209.70</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>TELEPHONE SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2122</td>
<td>AUTHENTIC PROMOTIONS</td>
<td>76405</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$3,012.77</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PROMOTIONAL ITEMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0122</td>
<td>BATTERY SYSTEMS, INC.</td>
<td>76406</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>BATTERIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0122</td>
<td>BATTERY SYSTEMS, INC.</td>
<td>76407</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,024.76</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>BATTERIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0211</td>
<td>BEST BEST &amp; KRIEGER LLP</td>
<td>76408</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$6,019.15</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>GENERAL COUNSEL SERVICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0211</td>
<td>BEST BEST &amp; KRIEGER LLP</td>
<td>76507</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$8,386.54</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>GENERAL COUNSEL SERVICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0503</td>
<td>BECNEL UNIFORMS</td>
<td>76409</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$146.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0504</td>
<td>JAMES BECK</td>
<td>76374</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$70.88</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0504</td>
<td>JAMES BECK</td>
<td>76508</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$70.27</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0504</td>
<td>JAMES BECK</td>
<td>76531</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$70.27</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0902</td>
<td>LOS ANGELES TRUCK CENTER</td>
<td>76410</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$4,890.15</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PARTS/SERVICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1202</td>
<td>LINDA BOYSIEWICK</td>
<td>76411</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$695.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1808</td>
<td>BRINK'S, INCORPORATED</td>
<td>76509</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$512.09</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>ARMORED CAR SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1811</td>
<td>BRIAN BYRNE</td>
<td>76375</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$28.46</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2127</td>
<td>BUSINESS RADIO LICENSING</td>
<td>76376</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>IT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0109</td>
<td>CALTIP</td>
<td>76377</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$7,772.69</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>REIMBURSEMENT TO INSURER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0113</td>
<td>CALTIP</td>
<td>76412</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$641.08</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LIABILITY INSURANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor #</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Check #</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Voided</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0113</td>
<td>CALTIP</td>
<td>76510</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$181,329.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>LIABILITY INSURANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0517</td>
<td>CENTRAL COURIER LLC</td>
<td>76413</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,095.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>DELIVERY SRVC BUS BOOKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0816</td>
<td>SUZANNE CHAVEZ</td>
<td>76378</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$1,551.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0922</td>
<td>CITI CARDS</td>
<td>76414</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$382.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1504</td>
<td>COASTAL OCCUPATIONAL MEDICAL</td>
<td>76415</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$2,190.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>PHYSICALS/DRUG SCREENS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1535</td>
<td>THE COPY COURT, INC.</td>
<td>76416</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,023.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>PRINTING SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1536</td>
<td>JUVENAL CORREA</td>
<td>76417</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,969.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAFETY EVENT CATERER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1537</td>
<td>LYNETTE COVERLY</td>
<td>76418</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$2,188.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1609</td>
<td>MEDICAL EYE SERVICES</td>
<td>76379</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$1,749.76</td>
<td></td>
<td>VISION INSURANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1805</td>
<td>CREATIVE BUS SALES</td>
<td>76511</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARATRANSIT BUS/VANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1805</td>
<td>CREATIVE BUS SALES</td>
<td>76512</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$885,347.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARATRANSIT BUS/VANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1903</td>
<td>CSAC EXCESS INSURANCE</td>
<td>76419</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WORKER'S COMP/EAP PROVIDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1903</td>
<td>CSAC EXCESS INSURANCE</td>
<td>76420</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WORKER'S COMP/EAP PROVIDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1903</td>
<td>CSAC EXCESS INSURANCE</td>
<td>76421</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$255,565.28</td>
<td></td>
<td>WORKER'S COMP/EAP PROVIDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1904</td>
<td>CSAC EXCESS INSURANCE AUT</td>
<td>76513</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LONG TERM DISABILITY PREMIUMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1904</td>
<td>CSAC EXCESS INSURANCE AUT</td>
<td>76514</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$5,379.46</td>
<td></td>
<td>LONG TERM DISABILITY PREMIUMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2115</td>
<td>CUMMINS PACIFIC LLC</td>
<td>76422</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2115</td>
<td>CUMMINS PACIFIC LLC</td>
<td>76423</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$8,095.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2117</td>
<td>CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP.</td>
<td>76424</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$233.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>COIN SORTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0114</td>
<td>DANIELS TIRE SERVICE</td>
<td>76425</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,395.74</td>
<td></td>
<td>TIRES/SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0116</td>
<td>DANIEL AMARO</td>
<td>76532</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$840.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0122</td>
<td>DAVMAR</td>
<td>76426</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$79.92</td>
<td></td>
<td>CNG COMPRESSOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0923</td>
<td>DISH NETWORK</td>
<td>76380</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$100.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>DISH NETWORK SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0923</td>
<td>DISH NETWORK</td>
<td>76533</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$100.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>DISH NETWORK SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0928</td>
<td>DISCOVERY BENEFITS</td>
<td>76427</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$252.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>FSA ADMINISTRATION FEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1504</td>
<td>DOCUMENT SYSTEMS</td>
<td>76428</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$770.42</td>
<td></td>
<td>SERVICE FOR COPIER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1810</td>
<td>DRIVESHAFT PRO</td>
<td>76429</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$314.61</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0409</td>
<td>EDISON CO.</td>
<td>76430</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$13,459.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>ELECTRICAL POWER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1205</td>
<td>LUIS H. TORRES</td>
<td>76381</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$2,083.33</td>
<td></td>
<td>JANITORIAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1904</td>
<td>FRANCISCO ESCOBAR</td>
<td>76431</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$124.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>PAYCHECK REISSUED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2612</td>
<td>EZ LANDSCAPE SERVICES</td>
<td>76432</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,210.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>LANDSCAPING SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0044</td>
<td>FRANCHISE TAX BOARD</td>
<td>76433</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0050</td>
<td>FRANCHISE TAX BOARD</td>
<td>76382</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$497.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0050</td>
<td>FRANCHISE TAX BOARD</td>
<td>76515</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$295.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0050</td>
<td>FRANCHISE TAX BOARD</td>
<td>76534</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$688.79</td>
<td></td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0119</td>
<td>FASTENAL</td>
<td>76434</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$32.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0921</td>
<td>FIRESTONE COMPLETE AUTO C</td>
<td>76435</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$84.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1204</td>
<td>FLEET-NET CORPORATION</td>
<td>76436</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,530.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>SOFTWARE/MAINTENANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor #</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Check #</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Voided</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1204</td>
<td>FLEET-NET CORPORATION</td>
<td>76535</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$1,530.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>SOFTWARE/MAINTENANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1815</td>
<td>FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS</td>
<td>76383</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$141.98</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>INTERNET PRVDER - PTSIT CNTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1815</td>
<td>FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS</td>
<td>76516</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$687.73</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>INTERNET PRVDER - PTSIT CNTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1815</td>
<td>FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS</td>
<td>76536</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$480.94</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>INTERNET PRVDER - PTSIT CNTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0120</td>
<td>THE GAS COMPANY</td>
<td>76437</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$52.68</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>NATURAL GAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0120</td>
<td>THE GAS COMPANY</td>
<td>76517</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$16,525.17</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>NATURAL GAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0514</td>
<td>SOUTHERN COUNTIES FUELS</td>
<td>76438</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$3,645.13</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>OIL/LUBE PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0809</td>
<td>GHI ENERGY, LLC</td>
<td>76439</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$32,942.30</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>CNG CREDIT/CONSULTING SERVICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0809</td>
<td>GHI ENERGY, LLC</td>
<td>76537</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$12.04</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>CNG CREDIT/CONSULTING SERVICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0902</td>
<td>GIBBS INTERNATL TRUCKS</td>
<td>76440</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,660.52</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>REPAIR PARTS/SERVICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0912</td>
<td>GILLIG LLC</td>
<td>76441</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$8,192.24</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0912</td>
<td>GILLIG LLC</td>
<td>76442</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$308.60</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS PARTS/SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1801</td>
<td>GRAINGER</td>
<td>76443</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$531.24</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>REPAIRS/SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1520</td>
<td>DCH HONDA OF OXNARD</td>
<td>76444</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$534.60</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>BUS ENGINE SERVICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1901</td>
<td>FRANCISCO F GOMEZ</td>
<td>76445</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$17.34</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION OF NEW FACILITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I0315</td>
<td>ICON WEST INC.</td>
<td>76446</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,119,343.06</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION OF NEW FACILITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1425</td>
<td>INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE</td>
<td>76384</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$1,098.18</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>UNPAID TAXES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1433</td>
<td>INSTRUMENT CONTROL SERVIC</td>
<td>76447</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$756.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1509</td>
<td>MARLENA KOHLER</td>
<td>76385</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$116.84</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LABOR NEGOTIATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L0515</td>
<td>WILLIAM D. LEOPARDI</td>
<td>76448</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$16,772.36</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>TUITON AND BOOK REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L0908</td>
<td>LIGHTGABLER</td>
<td>76449</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$187.50</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LEGAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1527</td>
<td>LOWE'S</td>
<td>76450</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$358.47</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LEGAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0104</td>
<td>MACVALLEY OIL COMPANY</td>
<td>76451</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,294.69</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0111</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE DESIGN GROUP,</td>
<td>76399</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$262,303.70</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0130</td>
<td>MAURO TAPIA</td>
<td>76518</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$24.37</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0911</td>
<td>ANDREW MIKKELSON</td>
<td>76519</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$1,011.48</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0922</td>
<td>MISSION LINEN SUPPLY</td>
<td>76452</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$931.67</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0922</td>
<td>MISSION LINEN SUPPLY</td>
<td>76453</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$837.25</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2220</td>
<td>MV TRANSPORTATION, INC.</td>
<td>76540</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$262,216.77</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0105</td>
<td>NACHO'S TOWING ENTERPRISE</td>
<td>76454</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0516</td>
<td>NEOFUNDS BY NEOPOST</td>
<td>76455</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$76.46</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0529</td>
<td>THE AFTERMARKET PARTS CO</td>
<td>76456</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$20,605.72</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0529</td>
<td>THE AFTERMARKET PARTS CO</td>
<td>76457</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$20,605.72</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0529</td>
<td>THE AFTERMARKET PARTS CO</td>
<td>76458</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$20,605.72</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0529</td>
<td>THE AFTERMARKET PARTS CO</td>
<td>76459</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$20,605.72</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0529</td>
<td>THE AFTERMARKET PARTS CO</td>
<td>76460</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$20,605.72</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0529</td>
<td>THE AFTERMARKET PARTS CO</td>
<td>76461</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$20,605.72</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE UNIFORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor #</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Check #</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Voided</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2205</td>
<td>NV5 WEST, INC.</td>
<td>76462</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$22,956.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION SVCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2205</td>
<td>NV5 WEST, INC.</td>
<td>76541</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$14,896.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION SVCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1425</td>
<td>FLEETPRIDE</td>
<td>76463</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$745.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>REPAIR PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1514</td>
<td>ONESTOP</td>
<td>76464</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$358.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2402</td>
<td>OXNARD AUTO SUPPLY</td>
<td>76465</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$216.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2408</td>
<td>OXNARD HOSE, INC</td>
<td>76466</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2408</td>
<td>OXNARD HOSE, INC</td>
<td>76505</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$53.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2413</td>
<td>CITY OF OXNARD</td>
<td>76520</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$1,214.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>MONTHLY RENT OTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2414</td>
<td>CITY OF OXNARD</td>
<td>76467</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,241.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>UTILITIES/TRASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2414</td>
<td>CITY OF OXNARD</td>
<td>76468</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,241.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>UTILITIES/TRASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2432</td>
<td>CITY OF OXNARD, PLANNING DI</td>
<td>76469</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$108.44</td>
<td></td>
<td>CNG STATION UPGRADES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0119</td>
<td>PARKHOUSE TIRE, INC.</td>
<td>76470</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$11,650.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>TIRES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0119</td>
<td>PARKHOUSE TIRE, INC.</td>
<td>76471</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$11,650.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>TIRES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0119</td>
<td>PARKHOUSE TIRE, INC.</td>
<td>76472</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$11,650.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>TIRES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0505</td>
<td>CARMENZA D. PEDERSEN</td>
<td>76521</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$1,115.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0505</td>
<td>CARMENZA D. PEDERSEN</td>
<td>76542</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$421.92</td>
<td></td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0911</td>
<td>MARGARET MARY LOBNITZ</td>
<td>76473</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>STORMWATER COMP SUPPT SRVCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0911</td>
<td>MARGARET MARY LOBNITZ</td>
<td>76543</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$2,239.96</td>
<td></td>
<td>STORMWATER COMP SUPPT SRVCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1807</td>
<td>PREFERRED BENEFIT INSURAN</td>
<td>76474</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$6,898.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>DENTAL PREMIUMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2114</td>
<td>THE PUN GROUP</td>
<td>76475</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>FINANCIAL AUDIT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0126</td>
<td>RAYNE WATER CONDITIONING</td>
<td>76476</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$127.90</td>
<td></td>
<td>WATER COOLER BREAK ROOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0914</td>
<td>RINCON CONSULTANTS INC.</td>
<td>76477</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$2,504.25</td>
<td></td>
<td>NTVE AMCAN CONST MONTRNG SRV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1301</td>
<td>ROBERT MAGANA</td>
<td>76386</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$1,189.66</td>
<td></td>
<td>EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1513</td>
<td>MARGARET ROBLES</td>
<td>76478</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$694.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1514</td>
<td>RON'S SIGNS SILK SCREENING</td>
<td>76479</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,030.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>SIGNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1524</td>
<td>STEVE ROSENBERG</td>
<td>76387</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$77.38</td>
<td></td>
<td>EXP REIMBURSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0107</td>
<td>SAFETY-KLEEN CORP.</td>
<td>76480</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$2,182.27</td>
<td></td>
<td>SOLVENT TANK FLUID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0110</td>
<td>STATE BOARD EQUALIZATION</td>
<td>76544</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>FUEL TAX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0518</td>
<td>SERVICE EMPLOYEES INT'L Uni</td>
<td>76388</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$2,583.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>P/R DEDUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0518</td>
<td>SERVICE EMPLOYEES INT'L Uni</td>
<td>76522</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$2,549.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>P/R DEDUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0518</td>
<td>SERVICE EMPLOYEES INT'L Uni</td>
<td>76545</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$2,554.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>P/R DEDUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0519</td>
<td>SERVICE-PRO FIRE PROTECTIO</td>
<td>76481</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,974.26</td>
<td></td>
<td>SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0807</td>
<td>GEOFF DEAN, SHERIFF</td>
<td>76389</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$460.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0807</td>
<td>GEOFF DEAN, SHERIFF</td>
<td>76523</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$620.34</td>
<td></td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0807</td>
<td>GEOFF DEAN, SHERIFF</td>
<td>76546</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0818</td>
<td>SHRED-IT</td>
<td>76482</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$96.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0917</td>
<td>SINCLAIR SANITARY SUPPLY</td>
<td>76483</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,755.83</td>
<td></td>
<td>SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1624</td>
<td>SPX CORPORATION</td>
<td>76484</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$433.73</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor #</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Check #</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Voided</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2000</td>
<td>STAPLES ADVANTAGE</td>
<td>76485</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,048.65</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2119</td>
<td>SUPERIOR SANITARY SUPPLIES</td>
<td>76486</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$74.35</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0415</td>
<td>3D OF OXNARD SUPPLY</td>
<td>76487</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$183.58</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0514</td>
<td>TELCOM COMMUNICATIONS</td>
<td>76488</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$219.27</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1512</td>
<td>TWIW INSURANCE SERVICES,</td>
<td>76489</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$97.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LIABILITY INSURANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1522</td>
<td>TOMAS CAFÉ</td>
<td>76490</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$3,340.25</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>SAFETY EVENT CATERER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1703</td>
<td>30c, INC</td>
<td>76491</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,390.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>COMMISSIONING COORDINATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1703</td>
<td>30c, INC</td>
<td>76547</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>COMMISSIONING COORDINATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1704</td>
<td>TRACKIT LLC</td>
<td>76492</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$12,000.00 │ ☐</td>
<td>SOFTWARE LICENSE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2118</td>
<td>TURN WIRELESS, LLC</td>
<td>76390</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$299.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>INTERNET PRVDER - PTSIT CNTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2118</td>
<td>TURN WIRELESS, LLC</td>
<td>76524</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$299.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>INTERNET PRVDER - PTSIT CNTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1416</td>
<td>UNITED PARCEL SERVICE</td>
<td>76493</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$127.71</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>UPS SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1416</td>
<td>UNITED PARCEL SERVICE</td>
<td>76548</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$154.10</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>UPS SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1423</td>
<td>UNITED WAY OF VENTURA CO.</td>
<td>76391</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$355.45</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>P/R DEDUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1902</td>
<td>U.S. BANK</td>
<td>76392</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$16,892.02</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>CALCARD PAYMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1902</td>
<td>U.S. BANK</td>
<td>76549</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$10,062.66</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>CALCARD PAYMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0112</td>
<td>VALLEY POWER SYSTEMS, INC.</td>
<td>76494</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$2,146.71</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>REPAIR PARTS/SERVICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0501</td>
<td>COUNTY OF VENTURA - IT SVCS</td>
<td>76495</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$202.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>REPEATER SITE RENTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0501</td>
<td>COUNTY OF VENTURA - IT SVCS</td>
<td>76550</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$202.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>REPEATER SITE RENTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0514</td>
<td>VENCO POWER SWEEPING, INC.</td>
<td>76496</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$330.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>LOT SWEEPING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0523</td>
<td>VENTURA HOSE-MAN</td>
<td>76497</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$41.13</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>REPAIR PARTS/SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0909</td>
<td>VIEWFINDERS VISUAL COMMUN</td>
<td>76395</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0909</td>
<td>VIEWFINDERS VISUAL COMMUN</td>
<td>76498</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0923</td>
<td>OCEAN HONDA</td>
<td>76499</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,057.96</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PARTS/REPAIRS SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1525</td>
<td>VOYAGER</td>
<td>76500</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$1,404.76</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>CNG FUEL FOR ACCESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1809</td>
<td>VERIZON</td>
<td>76525</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$689.75</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PHONE SRVC - CSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W0116</td>
<td>WALLACE PROPERTIES</td>
<td>76501</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$7,054.50</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>ESCROW ACCOUNT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W0118</td>
<td>WARREN DISTRIBUTING, INC.</td>
<td>76502</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W0118</td>
<td>WARREN DISTRIBUTING, INC.</td>
<td>76551</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PARTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W0517</td>
<td>WESTERN EXTERMINATOR CO.</td>
<td>76503</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$201.50</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PEST CONTROL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W0517</td>
<td>WESTERN EXTERMINATOR CO.</td>
<td>76526</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>PEST CONTROL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W0526</td>
<td>THOMSON REUTERS-WEST PUB</td>
<td>76527</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$1,460.93</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>TITLE 13 CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W0922</td>
<td>WISCONSIN SCTF</td>
<td>76393</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$10.15</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W0922</td>
<td>WISCONSIN SCTF</td>
<td>76528</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$10.15</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W0922</td>
<td>WISCONSIN SCTF</td>
<td>76552</td>
<td>10/31/2017</td>
<td>$10.15</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>GARNISHMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1519</td>
<td>WORLDNET COMMUNICATIONS S</td>
<td>76394</td>
<td>10/4/2017</td>
<td>$497.69</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>TELEPHONE/LONG DISTANCE SRVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1519</td>
<td>WORLDNET COMMUNICATIONS S</td>
<td>76529</td>
<td>10/18/2017</td>
<td>$487.01</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>TELEPHONE/LONG DISTANCE SRVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y0515</td>
<td>YRC</td>
<td>76504</td>
<td>10/10/2017</td>
<td>$789.88</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>FREIGHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor #</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Check #</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Voided</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $4,718,873.88
DATE       December 6, 2017
TO          GCTD Board of Directors
FROM        Lili Marlene T. Tomen, Accounting Manager
SUBJECT     Consider Approval of the Treasurer’s Report as of October 31, 2017

Following is a recap of GCTD cash, investment and special fund accounts as of October 31, 2017.

**Cash Accounts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petty Cash</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash on Hand</td>
<td>$19,325.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bank General</td>
<td>$713,776.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bank Payroll</td>
<td>$10,108.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bank Money Market 2</td>
<td>$1,957,610.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Investments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency Investment Funds</td>
<td>$3,258,902.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Valuation LAIF</td>
<td>$(3,433.90) $3,255,468.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash</strong></td>
<td>$5,957,789.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Investments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Capital Reserve Funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County Treasurer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Coast Transit District</td>
<td>$7,898,226.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Transit Dist</td>
<td>$130,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Valuation of Fund</td>
<td>$2,094.19  $8,028,226.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Transportation Fund</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop 1B / PTMISEA / LCTOP</td>
<td>$7,432,405.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Certificates of Participation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Fund</td>
<td>$22,073,601.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Fund</td>
<td>$1,388,859.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment Fund</td>
<td>807.47    $23,463,267.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: County Treasurer's Report of Investments is available for review.

**General Manager's Concurrence**

[Signature]
DATE December 6, 2017
TO GCTD Board of Directors
FROM Lili Marlene T. Tomen, Accounting Manager
SUBJECT Consider Approval of Budget Income Statement for the Month Ending October 31, 2017

Attached for the Board’s approval is a copy of GCTD’s Budget Income Statement covering the 4-month period ending October 31, 2017.

Attachment

General Manager's Concurrence

[Signature]
### GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT
#### MONTHLY BUDGET INCOME STATEMENT

**For Four Months Ending October 31, 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Budget</th>
<th>Adopted Budget</th>
<th>Year-to-Date</th>
<th>Percent of Budget Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fares</td>
<td>$3,859,700</td>
<td>$1,293,725</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Operating Revenues</td>
<td>534,500</td>
<td>1,063,362</td>
<td>198.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Assistance</td>
<td>159,000</td>
<td>75,469</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Assistance</td>
<td>14,968,600</td>
<td>4,989,525</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Demo Projects</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>89,693</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Assistance</td>
<td>3,914,800</td>
<td>589,139</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$24,036,600</td>
<td>$8,100,913</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Expenses:                 |                |              |                        |
| **FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES** |                |              |                        |
| Employee Support          | $16,778,900    | $5,318,277   | 31.7%                  |
| Service/Supplies - Operational | 5,691,300 | 1,698,814   | 29.8%                  |
| Service/Supplies - Support | 1,566,400     | 484,485      | 30.9%                  |
| **Total, Functional Categories** | $24,036,600  | $7,501,576   | 31.2%                  |

| **OPERATIONAL CATEGORIES** |                |              |                        |
| Fixed Route               | $12,561,300    | $3,991,993   | 31.8%                  |
| Maintenance               | 3,629,500      | 971,397      | 26.8%                  |
| Administration            | 3,401,400      | 1,004,987    | 29.5%                  |
| Planning and Marketing/Paratransit | 4,444,400 | 1,533,199   | 34.5%                  |
| **Total, Operational Categories** | $24,036,600 | $7,501,576   | 31.2%                  |

| Depreciation              |                |              |                        |
| **Depreciation**          | $2,938,500     | $867,628     | 29.5%                  |

| Excess or (Deficit)       | $2,938,500     | $867,628     | 29.5%                  |

| Non-Operating - Debt Service Budget | 2017 Certificate of Participation Interest Income | $35,595.92 |
DATE December 6, 2017
TO GCTD Board of Directors
FROM Lili Marlene T. Tomen, Accounting Manager
SUBJECT Consider Approval of Financial Statements, Schedule of Money Transfers and Payroll Information for October, 2017

Attached for the Board’s approval are copies of GCTD’s Financial Statements, Schedule of Money Transfers and Payroll information for October, 2017.

Attachments

General Manager’s Concurrence

[Signature]
## Balance Sheet

**Gold Coast Transit District**

**As of:** 10/31/2017  
**Fiscal Year:** 2018  
**Period:** October - 2017  
**Division:** 01 Gold Coast Transit District  
**As of:** 10/31/2017

### ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CASH</strong></td>
<td>$5,957,789.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE</strong></td>
<td>$200,477.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INVENTORY</strong></td>
<td>$587,388.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER CURRENT ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WORK IN PROCESS</strong></td>
<td>$15,125,521.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TANGIBLE TRANSIT PROPERTY</strong></td>
<td>$44,476,032.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PARATRANSIT TANGIBLE TRANS PROP</strong></td>
<td>$2,497,808.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTANGIBLE ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>$39,401.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INVESTMENTS</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECIAL FUNDS</strong></td>
<td>$40,285,837.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>$666,421.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>$83,529,887.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACCOUNTS PAYABLE</strong></td>
<td>$3,487,729.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACCRUED PAYROLL LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td>$1,069,126.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACCRUED TAX LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Gold Coast Transit District
#### Balance Sheet

**Fiscal Year:** 2018  
**Period:** 4  
**October - 2017**  
**Division:** 01 Gold Coast Transit District  
**As of:** 10/31/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ACC TAX LIABILITIES</td>
<td>$464.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL OTHER CURRENT LIAB</td>
<td>$3,416.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONG-TERM DEBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL LONG TERM DEBT</td>
<td>$23,697,220.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTIMATED LIABILITIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ESTIMATED LIABILITIES</td>
<td>$12,589,171.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFERRED CREDITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL DEFERRED CREDITS</td>
<td>$9,109,323.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL LIABILITIES</td>
<td>$49,956,450.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAPITAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOVERNMENT ENTITY OWNERSHIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL GOVMT ENTITY OWNERSHIP</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRANTS AND CAPITAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL GRANTS AND CAPITAL</td>
<td>$42,782,135.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCUMULATED EARNINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ACCUMULATED EARNINGS</td>
<td>($9,208,697.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CAPITAL</td>
<td>$33,573,437.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CAPITAL AND LIABILITIES</td>
<td>$83,529,887.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gold Coast Transit District
Balance Sheet

Fiscal Year: 2018  Period 4  October - 2017  Division: 02 Gold Coast Transit District LTF  As of: 10/31/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CASH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CASH</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ASSETS</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIABILITIES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ACCOUNTS PAYABLE</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL LIABILITIES | $0.00 |
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Balance Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year: 2018</th>
<th>Period: 4</th>
<th>October - 2017</th>
<th>Division: 03 GCTD - PROP 1B/PTMISEA</th>
<th>As of: 10/31/2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CASH</strong></td>
<td>$7,432,405.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL RECEIVABLES</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL ASSETS** $7,432,405.98

#### LIABILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### CAPITAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL GRANTS AND CAPITAL</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CAPITAL AND LIABILITIES** $7,432,405.98
## Income Statement With Approved Budget

**Gold Coast Transit District**

**Fiscal Year:** 2018  
**Period:** 4  
**Division:** 01 Gold Coast Transit District  
**As of:** 10/31/2017  
**Oct-2017**  
**Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017**  
**Year To Date**  
**Approved Budget**

### REVENUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017</th>
<th>Year To Date</th>
<th>Approved Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REVENUES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PASSENGER FARES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Passenger Fares</td>
<td>$259,277.69</td>
<td>$1,045,287.87</td>
<td>$3,312,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECIAL TRANSIT FARES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Special Transit Fares</td>
<td>$29,333.33</td>
<td>$248,437.28</td>
<td>$547,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUXILIARY TRANSPORTATION REVENUE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Aux Trans Revenues</td>
<td>$14,199.08</td>
<td>$885,573.32</td>
<td>$160,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NONTRANSPORTATION REVENUES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Nontrans Revenues</td>
<td>$105,705.63</td>
<td>$232,144.65</td>
<td>$374,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOCAL GRANTS AND REIMBURSEMENTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Local Grants &amp; Reimb</td>
<td>$1,247,381.25</td>
<td>$4,989,525.00</td>
<td>$14,968,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATE GRANTS AND REIMBURSEMENTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total State Grants &amp; Reimb</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$75,469.00</td>
<td>$159,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEDERAL GRANTS AND REIMBURSEMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Federal Grants &amp; Reimb</td>
<td>$678,832.00</td>
<td>$678,832.00</td>
<td>$4,514,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEPRECIATION OFFSET</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Depreciation Offset</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,938,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL REVENUES</strong></td>
<td>$2,334,729.98</td>
<td>$8,155,269.12</td>
<td>$26,975,100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017</th>
<th>Year To Date</th>
<th>Approved Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>$823,280.71</td>
<td>$3,183,592.92</td>
<td>$9,786,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>$511,073.62</td>
<td>$2,098,306.86</td>
<td>$6,739,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>$342,933.60</td>
<td>$1,256,719.91</td>
<td>$3,937,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Gold Coast Transit District
### Income Statement With Approved Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year: 2018</th>
<th>Period 4</th>
<th>Division: 01 Gold Coast Transit District</th>
<th>As of: 10/31/2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>October - 2017</td>
<td>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017 Year To Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES</td>
<td>$159,046.55</td>
<td>$568,780.48</td>
<td>28.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UTILITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL UTILITIES</td>
<td>$12,059.00</td>
<td>$55,273.95</td>
<td>34.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CASUALTY AND LIABILITY COSTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CASUALTY AND LIABILITY</td>
<td>$79,255.24</td>
<td>$269,614.03</td>
<td>25.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FUEL TAXES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FUEL TAXES</td>
<td>$0.58</td>
<td>$1.05</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE</td>
<td>$7,381.19</td>
<td>$60,724.04</td>
<td>16.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTEREST EXPENSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE</td>
<td>$442.00</td>
<td>$4,218.78</td>
<td>70.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEASES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL LEASES</td>
<td>$997.62</td>
<td>$3,990.00</td>
<td>28.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TANGIBLE DEPN AND AMORTIZATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL TRANSIT DEPN &amp; AMORT</td>
<td>$199,303.53</td>
<td>$800,360.80</td>
<td>32.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PARATRANSIT DEPRECIATION &amp; AMORT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PARA DEPN &amp; AMORT</td>
<td>$16,816.75</td>
<td>$67,266.93</td>
<td>13.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTANGIBLE ASSETS DEPN &amp; AMORT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL INT’BLE ASSETS DEPN &amp; AMORT</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MISCELLANEOUS FEES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS FEES</td>
<td>$111.33</td>
<td>$353.49</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEBT SERVICE EXPENSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENSE</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONTINGENCY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>$2,152,701.72</td>
<td>$8,369,203.24</td>
<td>31.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROFIT/LOSS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$182,027.26</td>
<td>$213,934.12</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Income Statement With Approved Budget

**Fiscal Year:** 2018  
**Period:** 4  
**Division:** 02 Gold Coast Transit District LTF  
**As of:** 10/31/2017  
**October - 2017**  
**Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017**  
**Year To Date**  
**Approved Budget**

### REVENUES

**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>October - 2017</th>
<th>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017</th>
<th>Year To Date</th>
<th>Approved Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL REVENUES</td>
<td>$1,253,647.00</td>
<td>$5,014,588.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPENSES

#### LABOR AND WAGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>October - 2017</th>
<th>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017</th>
<th>Year To Date</th>
<th>Approved Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL LABOR</td>
<td>$577,059.00</td>
<td>$2,308,234.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### FRINGE BENEFITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>October - 2017</th>
<th>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017</th>
<th>Year To Date</th>
<th>Approved Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FRINGE BENEFITS</td>
<td>$288,362.00</td>
<td>$1,153,448.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>October - 2017</th>
<th>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017</th>
<th>Year To Date</th>
<th>Approved Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES</td>
<td>$365,698.00</td>
<td>$1,462,792.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>October - 2017</th>
<th>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017</th>
<th>Year To Date</th>
<th>Approved Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE</td>
<td>$22,528.00</td>
<td>$90,114.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EXPENSES</td>
<td>$1,253,647.00</td>
<td>$5,014,588.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROFIT/LOSS</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Gold Coast Transit District
### Income Statement With Approved Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year: 2018</th>
<th>Period 4</th>
<th>Division: 03 GCTD - PROP 1B/PTMISEA</th>
<th>As of: 10/31/2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>October - 2017</td>
<td>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017 Year To Date Approved Budget</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### REVENUES

##### STATE GRANTS AND REIMBURSEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>October - 2017</th>
<th>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017 Year To Date</th>
<th>Approved Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL STATE GRANTS &amp; REIMB</td>
<td>$369.68 (0.00%)</td>
<td>$47,271.68 (0.00%)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL REVENUES</td>
<td>$369.68 (0.00%)</td>
<td>$47,271.68 (0.00%)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

##### GOLD COAST TRANSIT PROJECTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>October - 2017</th>
<th>Jul-2017 Thru Oct-2017 Year To Date</th>
<th>Approved Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PROJECTS</td>
<td>$2,015,962.06 (0.00%)</td>
<td>$2,191,239.88 (0.00%)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET POSITION</td>
<td>($2,015,592.38) (0.00%)</td>
<td>($2,143,968.20) (0.00%)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Schedule of Money Transfers and Funding
For the Month of October 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for Accounts Payable</td>
<td>UB Money Market 2</td>
<td>UB General Checking</td>
<td>$36,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for Payroll and Accounts Payable</td>
<td>UB Money Market 2</td>
<td>UB General Checking</td>
<td>$942,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for Payroll</td>
<td>UB General Checking</td>
<td>UB Payroll Checking</td>
<td>$297,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for Payroll</td>
<td>UB General Checking</td>
<td>UB Payroll Checking</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for Accounts Payable</td>
<td>UB Money Market 2</td>
<td>UB General Checking</td>
<td>$401,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for Payroll and Accounts Payable</td>
<td>UB Money Market 2</td>
<td>UB General Checking</td>
<td>$449,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for Payroll</td>
<td>UB General Checking</td>
<td>UB Payroll Checking</td>
<td>$292,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for Payroll and Accounts Payable</td>
<td>UB Money Market 2</td>
<td>UB General Checking</td>
<td>$564,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for Payroll</td>
<td>UB General Checking</td>
<td>UB Payroll Checking</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for GCTD LTF</td>
<td>UB LTF Gen Checking Acct</td>
<td>UB GCTD Money Market Acct. 2</td>
<td>$1,083,039.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer for GCTD Agencies LTF</td>
<td>UB LTF Gen Checking Acct</td>
<td>Various GCTD Agencies</td>
<td>$170,608.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-17</td>
<td>Transfer from Prop 1B/PTMISEA</td>
<td>UB Prop 1B/PTMISEA</td>
<td>UB Money Market 2</td>
<td>$2,015,592.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Gold Coast Transit District

### Payroll Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pay Date</th>
<th>Period End</th>
<th>Gross Wages</th>
<th>Direct Deposits</th>
<th>Payroll Checks Cut</th>
<th>Payroll Tax EFTs</th>
<th>Non-tax Deductions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9-Oct-17</td>
<td>9-Oct-17</td>
<td>$ 5,303.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 4,117.71</td>
<td>$ 1,081.74</td>
<td>$ 179.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-Oct-17</td>
<td>7-Oct-17</td>
<td>$ 416,362.09</td>
<td>$ 293,141.16</td>
<td>$ 1,869.40</td>
<td>$ 68,103.98</td>
<td>$ 59,145.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-Oct-17</td>
<td>13-Oct-17</td>
<td>$ 102.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 99.73</td>
<td>$ 3.88</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-Oct-17</td>
<td>16-Oct-17</td>
<td>$ 7,965.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 6,681.07</td>
<td>$ 1,303.05</td>
<td>$ 96.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-Oct-17</td>
<td>20-Oct-17</td>
<td>$ 324.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 316.45</td>
<td>$ 12.34</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-Oct-17</td>
<td>21-Oct-17</td>
<td>$ 409,676.56</td>
<td>$ 289,468.15</td>
<td>$ 65.11</td>
<td>$ 66,454.07</td>
<td>$ 59,454.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATE: December 6, 2017

TO: GCTD Board of Directors

FROM: Lili Marlene T. Tomen
Accounting Manager

SUBJECT: Consider Approval of GCTD Quarterly Investment Report for the Quarter ending September 30, 2017

In May 2017 the Board of Directors approved the new GCTD Investment Policy. The policy's requirements include submitting to the Board a quarterly investment report.

The District has a LAIF (Local Agency Investment Fund) Account, a Capital Reserve account held by the Ventura County Investment Pool, three (3) accounts held by US Bank as the trustee of GCTD’s Certificates of Participation (COPs) for construction of the new Administration and Maintenance Facility, and two Money Market Accounts held by Union Bank, one for operating funds and one restricted for project funds received from State’s Proposition 1B bond sales.

Attached please find a summary report of GCTD’s investments for the quarter ending September 30, 2017. Redacted copies of full account statements will be made available for Board member review upon request.

Concurrence:

_________________________
Steven P. Brown
Acting General Manager
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GCTD Investments</th>
<th>Fund Type</th>
<th>Previous Quarter Value</th>
<th>Net Deposits/ Withdrawals</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Fair Market Value Incr (Decr)</th>
<th>Current Quarter Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)</td>
<td>Operating Funds</td>
<td>$3,238,148.84</td>
<td>$8,796.20</td>
<td>($3,433.90)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,243,511.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County Investment Fund</td>
<td>Capital Reserve</td>
<td>$8,014,180.64</td>
<td>$18,760.54</td>
<td>$2,094.19</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,035,035.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Bank</td>
<td>Trust Account / Project Fund</td>
<td>$22,031,214.92</td>
<td>$31,271.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$22,062,486.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Bank</td>
<td>Trust Account / Bond Reserve Fund</td>
<td>$1,386,900.65</td>
<td>$1,407.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,388,307.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Bank</td>
<td>Trust Account / Payment Fund</td>
<td>$348,467.53</td>
<td>($348,467.53)</td>
<td>$807.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>$807.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bank Money Market Account</td>
<td>Proposition 1B Funds</td>
<td>$9,576,374.18</td>
<td>($129,598.82)</td>
<td>$1,223.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,447,998.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bank Money Market Account</td>
<td>Operating Funds</td>
<td>$1,419,163.93</td>
<td>$817,850.47</td>
<td>$308.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,237,323.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$46,014,450.69</strong></td>
<td><strong>$339,784.12</strong></td>
<td><strong>$62,574.24</strong> (1,339.71)</td>
<td><strong>$46,415,469.34</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATE: December 6, 2017

TO: GCTD Board of Directors

FROM: Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning and Marketing

SUBJECT: Consider Adoption of Resolution 2017-10 for Authorization of the Certifications and Assurances for the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program

SUMMARY

The Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) is one of several programs that are part of the Transit, Affordable Housing, and Sustainable Communities Program established by the California Legislature in 2014 by Senate Bill 862. The program was created to provide operating and capital assistance for transit agencies to reduce greenhouse gas emission and improve mobility, with a priority on serving disadvantaged communities. LCTOP provides formula funds to transit agencies (based on the area’s percentage of statewide fare revenue) and to regional transportation planning agencies (based on the area’s percentage of statewide population).

This program is administered by Caltrans in coordination with Air Resource Board (ARB) and the State Controller’s Office (SCO). The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is responsible to ensure that the statutory requirements of the program are met in terms of project eligibility, greenhouse reduction, disadvantaged community benefit, and other requirements of the law.

BACKGROUND

At the September 2017 Board of Directors meeting, the Board approved the General Manager enter into a Digital Sales Outlet agreement with Token Transit for a Mobile Ticket “Pilot” project. The mobile ticketing option went live on November 1, 2017 and is available for use. GCTD recently learned that LCTOP funds can be used to pay for the project. $18,786 FY16-17 LCTOP funds will be combined with $40,701 FY15-16 LCTOP funds to pay for the project.

Approved projects in LCTOP will support the implementation of Token Transit Mobile Ticketing “Pilot” project. Mobile Ticketing is a convenient way to purchase passes and increase the incentive to ride transit. This project will reduce greenhouse gases by making it more convenient for passengers to purchase passes and alleviate the need for them to make special trips to sales outlets.

For agencies whose service area includes disadvantaged communities, at least 50 percent of the total LCTOP funds received shall be expended on projects that will benefit disadvantaged
communities. Most of southeastern Oxnard is considered a disadvantaged community, some of which falls within GCTD’s service area. GCTD will meet this requirement as mobile ticketing is available to anyone and everyone with a mobile phone.

One of the required elements of this program is a resolution from the governing board authorizing an agency official(s) to execute all necessary documents and enter into an agreement with the California Department of Transportation for these funds. This report recommends that the Board of Directors adopt the attached resolution.

It is recommended that the Board of Directors adopt Resolution 2017-10 authorizing the General Manager to execute all documents for the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program with the California Department of Transportation.

**Funding of Local Match:** The LCTOP funding does not require a local match.

**RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board of Directors adopt Resolution 2017-10 authorizing the General Manager to execute all documents for the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program with the California Department of Transportation.

**GENERAL MANAGER’S CONCURRENCE**

Steven P. Brown
General Manager
RESOLUTION #2017-10

AUTHORIZATION FOR THE EXECUTION OF THE
THE LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM (LCTOP) PROJECT:
(Token Transit Mobile Ticketing “Pilot”, $59,487)

WHEREAS, the Gold Coast Transit District is an eligible project sponsor and may receive state funding from the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) now or sometime in the future for transit projects; and

WHEREAS, the statutes related to state-funded transit projects require a local or regional implementing agency to abide by various regulations; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 862 (2014) named the Department of Transportation (Department) as the administrative agency for the LCTOP; and

WHEREAS, the Department has developed guidelines for the purpose of administering and distributing LCTOP funds to eligible project sponsors (local agencies); and

WHEREAS, the Gold Coast Transit District wishes to implement the LCTOP project(s) listed above,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Gold Coast Transit District that the fund recipient agrees to comply with all conditions and requirements set forth in the applicable statutes, regulations and guidelines for all LCTOP funded transit projects.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Gold Coast Transit District that it hereby authorizes the submittal of the following project nomination and allocation request to the Department in FY 15-16/16-17 LCTOP funds:

Project Name: Mobile Ticketing “Pilot”
Amount of LCTOP funds requested: $59,487
Short description of project: This project will implement a Mobile Ticketing option in the GCTD service area. As several ticket sales outlet stores have closed, the Mobile Ticketing Option will make it easier and more convenient to ride transit.

Passed and approved this 6th day of December 2017.

Bryan MacDonald, Board Chair

ATTEST: I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution 2017-10 was duly adopted by the Board of Directors of Gold Coast Transit at a regular meeting thereof held on the 6th day of December 2017.

Steven P. Brown, Secretary of the Board
December 6, 2017

TO:         GCTD Board of Directors

From:       Steve L. Rosenberg
            Director of Finance and Administration

RE:         Consider Acceptance of GCTD FY 2016-17 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR), as Prepared by The Pun Group

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Board of Directors has contracted with The Pun Group to audit GCTD’s financial operations and prepare GCTD’s FY 2016-17 financial statements and Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR), which is today presented to the Board for its consideration and acceptance. The statements, and the accompanying Management Discussion and Analysis section prepared by GCTD staff, are intended to provide a detailed picture of the financial and operational areas of the agency.

The Pun Group has also conducted TDA audits of GCTD’s member jurisdictions, reviewing the use of Local Transportation Funds (LTF) these members receive from GCTD. These audits have been conducted and reports have been drafted, however for certain member audits additional information is required from concurrent audits being conducted by VCTC that are not yet complete. The member audit reports will be presented to the Board in January.

I am pleased to report that again this year the CAFR audit statements contain no negative findings in any area of our financial controls or reporting. This achievement reflects on the efforts of GCTD’s Finance and Accounting group, especially Accounting Manager Lili Marlene Tomen, whose hard work and meticulous attention to detail continues to be most responsible for GCTD achieving unqualified positive audit results year after year.

In addition to the CAFR, presented for the Board’s review are the TDA audit of GCTD’s operations and the Single Audit report. The TDA Audit reviews GCTD’s compliance with all State TDA requirements, including the Farebox Recovery Ratio (FBRR). The Single Audit report is a compliance review required by the Federal government of all agencies receiving at least $750,000 in federal grant assistance. In both reports, the auditors found no instances of noncompliance.
Attachment “A” to this report is GCTD’s FY 2016-17 CAFR, Attachment “B” to this report is GCTD’s FY 2016-17 Single Audit Report, and Attachment “C” to this report is GCTD’s FY 2016-17 TDA Compliance Report.

Paul J. Kaymark, The Pun Group’s audit partner assigned to GCTD, will make an oral presentation to the Board to discuss the audit findings and the CAFR document, which is an attachment to this report. Bound copies will be provided to Board members and a digital version will be available on the GCTD website.

II. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

IT IS RECOMMENDED that the Board accept the FY 2016-17 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report as prepared by The Pun Group.

[Signature]
General Manager’s Concurrence
Comprehensive Annual Financial Report
FISCAL YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 2017 and 2016
Gold Coast Transit District
Board of Directors – November 2017

Bryan MacDonald, Chair
Councilman, City of Oxnard
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John Zaragoza, Vice-Chair
Supervisor, 5th District, County of Ventura

Paul Blatz, Director
Councilmember, City of Ojai
Alternate Director, Councilmember Randy Haney

Cheryl Heitmann, Director
Councilmember, City of Ventura
Alternate Director, Councilmember James L. Monahan

Jon Sharkey, Director
Councilmember, City of Port Hueneme
Alternate Director, Councilmember Sylvia Munoz Schnopp
Gold Coast Transit District
Comprehensive Annual Financial Report
For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016
This page intentionally left blank.
# Table of Contents

**Board of Directors – June 30, 2017**

Table of Contents ................................................................................................................................. i

**INTRODUCTORY SECTION (Unaudited)**

Letter of Transmittal – General Manager ........................................................................................ v
Letter of Transmittal – Director of Finance and Administration ....................................................... vii
Organizational Information ..................................................................................................................... ix
Organizational Chart ............................................................................................................................. xi
Bus System Map .................................................................................................................................. xii

**FINANCIAL SECTION**

Independent Auditors' Report on the Financial Statements ................................................................. 1

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards ..................................................... 5

Management’s Discussion and Analysis – Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited) ............. 7

Basic Financial Statements:
- Balance Sheets ....................................................................................................................................... 23
- Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position .......................................................... 24
- Statements of Cash Flows ...................................................................................................................... 25
- Statements of Fiduciary Net Position ...................................................................................................... 27
- Statements of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position .................................................................................. 29

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements ............................................................................................ 31

Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited):
- Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios ..................................................... 63
- Schedule of Contributions – Pension Plan ............................................................................................ 64
- Schedule of Funding Progress – Other Port-Employment Benefits Plan .................................................. 65

Supplementary Information:
- Schedules of Changes in Local Transportation Funding Activity of the District ................................... 69

**STATISTICAL SECTION (Unaudited)**

Financial Ratios ...................................................................................................................................... 73
Revenues and Expenses – Ten Year Comparison .................................................................................. 74
Operational Statistics:
- Passenger Cost By Mode – Ten Year Comparison ................................................................................ 76
- Service Cost By Mode – Ten Year Comparison ..................................................................................... 78
- Ridership and Service – Ten Year Comparison .................................................................................... 80
INTRODUCTORY SECTION
This page intentionally left blank.
November 30, 2017

The Board of Directors
Gold Coast Transit
Oxnard, California

Members of the Board:

It continues to be my pleasure to serve as Gold Coast Transit District’s (GCTD) General Manager. In FY 2016-2017, Gold Coast Transit District delivered over 3.6 million passenger trips and operated 2.1 million miles of revenue service in western Ventura County. In addition, our ACCESS paratransit service provided over 102,000 trips to seniors and people with disabilities. This represents the critical role public transit plays in providing access to opportunities for all and helping to reduce traffic and improve air quality in the cities we serve.

Some noteworthy highlights at GCTD during FY 2016-17 include:

- **New Facility** – GCTD broke ground on the new 15-acre, Operations and Maintenance facility in Oxnard. GCTD’s Board of Directors, elected officials, community stakeholders, and staff were all on-hand at the ceremonial event to mark the beginning of the largest transportation project in Ventura County in over a decade. Congresswoman Julia Brownley delivered key note remarks that highlighted the great benefits public transit provides to all citizens and businesses in our area. The facility will not only provide us with a larger footprint but will raise the levels of expectation we have for our organization, as well as the services in the community. Staff are continuing to work on a comprehensive review of our organizational procedures to ensure we meet the challenges and opportunities that the new facility will provide. The completion date is slated for Fall of 2018.

- **TDA Audit** – A successful Transportation Development Act (TDA) triennial review was conducted and GCTD did very well, receiving no compliance/functional findings or recommendations. Some positive highlights of the report include: GCTD’s paratransit service has the highest farebox recovery ratio in the county, in large part due to the Medi-Cal reimbursements received, and the fixed-route service has the lowest cost per passenger to operate in the county at $4.29 per trip.

- **Safe Drivers** – Three bus operators were recognized for joining the prestigious One Million Mile Club and Two Million Mile Club, as determined by the National Safety Council. The former recognizes professional drivers who have completed 25,000 hours of drive time (12.5 years) without a preventable accident and the latter recognizes those who have driven 50,000 hours of drive time without a preventable accident.

- **Replacement Vehicles** – Five new, 40-foot buses were added to the fixed route fleet. Additionally, eight new cutaway buses were added to the GO ACCESS paratransit fleet. Passengers have enjoyed the quiet and comfortable ride of the new vehicles.
• **Grant Awards** – Several grants were awarded to GCTD this year. First, Caltrans awarded GCTD a grant to initiate a First-Mile, Last Mile Connectivity Study for Naval Base Ventura County, which is scheduled to begin late 2017. Second, a Sustainability Planning Grant was awarded by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) to develop a “Building Transit Supportive Communities Plan”. Additionally, GCTD was awarded two Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality (CMAQ) grants for near-zero emission engine replacements as well as demonstration service on Ventura Road.

• **Fare Payment Modernization** – Earlier this year, GCTD began accepting credit cards at the Customer Service Center and on November 1, 2017 GCTD launched a mobile ticketing pilot program. Facilitated by Token Transit, the mobile application allows passengers to purchase fares with their mobile phones and board the bus without the need for cash or paper tickets, allowing for faster boardings.

In the next year, staff will continue working on projects including bus stop signage upgrades, installing the new Automatic Vehicle Location and Automatic Voice Annunciation System on the fleet, conducting preliminary planning for the system improvements as identified in the Short Range Transit Plan and continuing to identify new sources of funding. We will also be expanding our work with the cities to identify areas for transit improvements and increased coordination for transit friendly development.

While GCTD is currently the most cost-efficient transit system in the region, we will also continue in the coming year to work hard to stretch our operational dollars (and identify new sources of funding) to enable us to provide the best service possible. We recognize that our costs are increasing faster than our revenue sources. This means we will need to make smart choices in the coming years to ensure we continue to be financially sustainable as we strive to provide high quality bus service to the community. We will be working at all levels of the organization to prepare for this future.

The Gold Coast Transit District team is very proud of its accomplishments to date and we remain committed to upholding the organization’s mission: to provide safe, responsive, convenient, efficient, and environmentally responsible public transportation that serves the diverse needs of our community.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Steven P. Brown
General Manager
November 30, 2017

Board of Directors
Gold Coast Transit District
301 E. Third St.
Oxnard, California 93030

Members of the Board:

This is Gold Coast Transit District’s Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) covering the fiscal years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

The CAFR has been prepared by the Office of the Director of Finance and Administration, working with our independent auditors, The Pun Group, LLP, in conformance with the principles and standards for financial reporting set forth by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

This Office is responsible for both the accuracy of the presented data and the completeness and fairness of the presentation, including all disclosures. We believe that the data, as presented, is accurate in all material respects and presented in a manner designed to fairly set forth the financial position and results of operations of the District as of June 30, 2017 and 2016. All disclosures necessary to enable the reader to gain the maximum understanding of the District’s financial affairs have been included.

The CAFR represents the culmination of all budgeting, financial and accounting activities engaged in by the District during the fiscal year. The CAFR is organized into three sections:

1. The **Introductory Section** is intended to familiarize the reader with the organizational structure of the District and the nature and scope of the provided services.

2. The **Financial Section** includes a Management Discussion and Analysis narrative to introduce the financial statements and analyze the financial activities during the fiscal year. This section also includes the Independent Auditor’s Report, audited financial statements, disclosure notes, supplementary budget information, supporting statements and schedules necessary to fairly present the financial position and the results of the operations of the District in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

3. The **Statistical Section** contains comparative statistical data on the District’s financial, physical, economic and social characteristics.
The preparation of this CAFR required the cooperation of GCTD management, staff and our independent auditors, The Pun Group, led by the audit engagement partner, Paul J. Kaymark, CPA. I wish to express my thanks and appreciation to the GCTD Accounting and Finance staff; Finance Manager Daniel Amaro, Administrative Specialist Veronica Navarro and Payroll Specialist Sonia Rosales, and especially Accounting Manager Lili Marlene T. Tomen. Ms. Tomen’s hard work and expertise is most responsible for the Accounting Department’s continued success as GCTD’s financial and accounting environment grows more complex. This skilled and dedicated group continues to work diligently to keep the agency compliant and moving forward.

Steve L. Rosenberg
Director of Finance and Administration
About Us

Gold Coast Transit District provides public fixed-route and paratransit service in the cities of Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme, Ventura and the unincorporated areas of Ventura County. With nearly 4 million passenger trips provided each year, GCTD is the largest public transportation operator in Ventura County. The fleet includes 56 buses and 26 paratransit vehicles all powered by clean natural gas supplied by an on-site CNG fueling station.

Our Mission

GCTD's mission is to provide safe, responsive, convenient, efficient, and environmentally responsible public transportation that serves the diverse needs of our community.

History

GCTD was founded in 1973 as "South Coast Area Transit" when the cities of Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme and San Buenaventura executed a Joint Powers Agreement that created "SCAT" to develop and operate local and intercity public transportation in western Ventura County.

Prior to 1973, Ventura Transit City Lines operated local service in Ventura and Ojai, and Oxnard Municipal Bus Lines served Oxnard and Port Hueneme. Following a national trend, the bus systems that flourished through the mid-century began to decline in the 1960's. The outlook for public transit systems in California brightened in 1971 when the State Legislature created a source of dedicated transportation funding through passage of the Transportation Development Act (TDA). The availability of TDA funds to local governments provided an impetus for forming a single regional transit entity to operate coordinated transit services across municipal boundaries and in some unincorporated areas of western Ventura County. The County of Ventura joined SCAT in October of 1977. By February of 1980 the transit functions in western Ventura County were consolidated into a single administrative, operating and maintenance facility on a three-acre site at 301 East Third Street in Downtown Oxnard.

In the 1990's SCAT began operation of ACCESS, a regional paratransit service providing curb-to-curb transportation for people with disabilities and senior citizens.

In June 2007, SCAT's Joint Powers Agreement was amended to rename the agency from South Coast Area Transit to Gold Coast Transit. The change in name was intended to help distinguish the agency from the 11 other agencies named SCAT around the nation and to better connect the service to the community it served.

In October 2013, Governor Brown signed into law Assembly Bill AB 664, which formed the Gold Coast Transit District. The district legislation was initiated in response to Senate Bill SB 716, which required that all TDA funds in Ventura County be used solely for public transit purposes. Formation of a transit district allows GCTD’s Board of Directors and staff to have greater flexibility in implementing service improvements by looking beyond jurisdictional borders in order to efficiently and effectively meet the public’s transit needs.
In 2014 Gold Coast Transit District was named Small Agency of the Year by the California Transit Association. In 2015, GCTD unveiled a new logo and bus paint scheme to coincide with the purchase of replacement buses. The new colors reflect GCTD’s commitment to quality public transportation, and evokes the agency’s vision of a more modern, clean and efficient future.

During FY16-17 the District began construction of a New Administration and Operations Facility in North Oxnard that will allow GCTD to continue to meet the growing transit needs of the community.

Statistics

- Service Area: Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme, Ventura & County of Ventura
- Population Served: 375,000
- Average Weekday Passengers: (FY 16-17) 11,328
- Fixed-Route Annual Passengers: (FY 16-17) 3.6 million
- Fixed-Route Annual Revenue Miles: (approx.) 2.1 million
- ACCESS Paratransit Annual Passengers: 93,274
- 56 - fixed-route buses
- 26 - paratransit buses and vans
- Fuel Type: 100% Clean Natural Gas

Board of Directors

Gold Coast Transit District is governed by a Board of Directors. Each of GCTD's five member agencies appoints one elected official from its governing body to serve on the Board of Directors and a second to serve as an alternate member. The Board of Directors regular monthly meetings are held on the first Wednesday of each month at 10 a.m.

GCTD's Leadership

GCTD’s General Manager is appointed by, and reports to, the Board of Directors. The General Manager is charged with carrying out the Board’s policies and directives, and has full charge of the operation of GCTD’s services, facilities, and administration of business affairs. GCTD's Management Team is comprised of: (Listed in alphabetical order by Department)

- **General Manager - Steven P. Brown**
- Director of Engineering and Construction - Reed Caldwell
- Director of Finance and Administration - Steve L. Rosenberg
- Director of Human Resources - Debbie Williams
- Director of Planning and Marketing - Vanessa Rauschenberger
- Director of Transit Operations - Andrew Mikkelson

Employees

GCTD has approximately 200 employees, the majority of whom operate or maintain buses. Service Employees International Union Local 721 represents all bus operators, most maintenance employees and five administrative staff members. GCTD contracts with MV Transportation for the maintenance and operation of ACCESS Paratransit.
Gold Coast Transit District Bus System Map
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INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT

To the Board of Directors
of the Gold Coast Transit District
Oxnard, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Gold Coast Transit District (District), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District’s basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
To the Board of Directors
of the Gold Coast Transit District
Oxnard, California

Emphasis of Matter

Net Pension Liability
The deficit unrestricted net position in the amount of ($7,948,780) and ($7,585,661) as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, resulted from the reporting of the net pension liability as discussed in Note 10. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the net pension liabilities are in the amounts of $10,543,910 and $8,385,297, respectively. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information
Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management’s discussion and analysis on pages 7 through 20 and the Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Rations, the Schedule of Contributions – Pension Plan and the Schedule of Funding Progress – Other Post-Employment Benefits Plan on pages 63 through 65, respectively, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary Information
Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information on pages 69 and 70 is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.
To the Board of Directors  
of the Gold Coast Transit District  
Oxnard, California

Other Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District’s basic financial statements as a whole. The introductory and statistical sections are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not required parts of the basic financial statements. The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

Management has not presented certain accrual-based information that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented in the statistical section of the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to improve consistency and comparability in reporting and provide clearer guidance regarding the applicability of the standards for the statistical section to all types of governmental entities. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 30, 2017, on our consideration of the District’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Santa Ana, California  
November 30, 2017
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REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditors’ Report

To the Board of Directors
of the Gold Coast Transit District
Oxnard, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Gold Coast Transit District (District) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprises the District’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 30, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.
To the Board of Directors
of the Gold Coast Transit District
Oxnard, California

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Santa Ana, California
November 30, 2017
Gold Coast Transit District  
Management’s Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited)  
For the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016  

The following Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of activities and financial performance of Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD) provides an introduction to the financial statements of GCTD for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the transmittal letter contained in the Introductory Section and with the statements and related notes contained in the Statistical Section.

Activities and Highlights

GCTD provides bus and paratransit services in the cities of Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme and Ventura, and in the unincorporated County areas between the cities. The service area is approximately 91 square miles with a population of approximately 375,000.

GCTD owns 80 revenue vehicles which are 100% fueled with clean burning compressed natural gas (CNG), primarily from GCTD’s owned and operated CNG fueling station. In FY 2016-17, GCTD vehicles carried nearly 3.7 million passengers while traveling over 2.9 million revenue miles.

GCTD operates a fleet of 56 fixed-route buses. In FY 2016-17, GCTD fixed-route buses operated 2.19 million miles of revenue service, the highest service mileage in its history, and served 3.6 million passenger boardings, a decrease of 4.8% from the previous year.

In FY 2016-17, the ACCESS paratransit system transported 102,354 passengers, an increase of 9.7% from the previous year and 21% over the past two years. The GCTD ACCESS service is operated under contract by MV Transportation, Inc. GCTD owns the paratransit fleet, which typically consists of 24 vehicles, including 13 MV1 vans and 11 cutaway vans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Increase/ (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed-Route Passenger Trips</td>
<td>3,616,386</td>
<td>3,800,673</td>
<td>-4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS Paratransit One-Way Trips</td>
<td>102,424</td>
<td>93,274</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Boardings</td>
<td>3,718,810</td>
<td>3,893,947</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fixed Route Bus Ridership – Unlinked Passenger Trips from 2008 to 2017

![Chart showing fixed route bus ridership from 2008 to 2017](chart.png)
GCTD is different than the majority of transit operations in Southern California in that it provides transit service without support from a direct local sales tax measure, tax levy or dedicated general fund support. The use of Local Transportation Funds (LTF) from a quarter-cent state sales tax provided by the Transportation Development Act (TDA) of 1974 has historically been the primary funding source available to GCTD to support transit services.

LTF increased substantially through the early 2000s, peaked in FY 2006-07, and was highly impacted by the recession that followed. After decreasing 35% from FY 2006-07 to FY 2009-10, LTF funding allocated to GCTD member jurisdiction (by population) is approaching its pre-recession level. In FY 2016-17 GCTD received just over $15.1 million in LTF funding.

In FY 2014-15, Gold Coast Transit, a joint powers authority (JPA), became Gold Coast Transit District as the result of state legislation. As a transit district, GCTD directly receives all LTF funds allocated to its member jurisdictions; previously GCT was allocated a portion of the LTF by its members based on budget requirements. The legislation also allows GCTD members to claim from the district a portion of its LTF funds for transit services (not provided by the District) that the member funds or operates.

**LTF Funding Allocated to District Members - 2008 to 2017**
GCTD’s second largest source of operating revenue is the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Federal Section 5307 grants, the core program that provides funds for GCTD operating activities, are based on a federal formula and have remained relatively stable over the past ten years. GCTD expended $3.75M in Section 5307 grant funds for eligible operating activities in FY 2016-17. GCTD also uses Federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) funds to help pay for new routes, called demonstration projects. GCTD expended $579K in CMAQ funds supporting one new route in FY 2016-17.

Another revenue source for GCTD is State Transportation Assistance. While STA accounts for a small percentage of GCTD revenues (.68% in FY 2016-17), STA does provide significant funding for competing Ventura County transit priorities such as Metrolink and VCTC Intercity Transit. GCTD expended $150K in STA funds for operating activities in FY 2016-17.

**GASB 68 & 71**

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is an independent, nonprofit, non-governmental regulatory body charged with setting accounting and financial reporting standards for state and local governments. Beginning with Fiscal Year 2014-15, GASB Statements 68 & 71 required agencies to report their net pension liability in accrual-based financial statements. This is distinctly different than previous methods in which funding and accounting were aligned. Please note that these standards only impact the accounting and financial reporting of pension obligations for governmental employers; pension contribution rates and funding requirements are not impacted.

GCTD employees are covered by a CalPERS pension plan. As a result of these accounting changes and CalPERS’ policy decision to value net pension liability based on value on June 30th of the prior year (in this case, June 30, 2016) as opposed to the current year, our auditors calculated an adjustment that decreased our FY 2016-17 pension expense by $304,817. This adjustment is reflected in the information discussed herein. We anticipate that in FY 2017-18 this adjustment will result in a pension expense increase. In total, the GASB 68 & 71 requirement adds a net pension liability of $10,543,910 to GCTD’s balance sheet.

Note 10 of the Audit Report addresses the GASB 68 & 71 requirement in substantially greater detail.

**Financial Position Summary**

GCTD’s total net position is for FY 2016-17 is $30,867,262, a 21% increase from $25,440,841 at year end FY 2015-16. Current assets are lower than the prior year because receivables from delayed federal grant approvals, while sizable, were lower than in the previous year. These grants were approved and funds were received shortly after year-end. Non-current assets and long-term liabilities increased substantially as the result of GCTD having received just over $22 million from having in March 2017 issued certificates of participation (COPs) to fund a portion of GCTD’s new Operations and Maintenance Facility in North Oxnard. Construction started in March 2017 and is presently on schedule to complete in fall of 2018. Capital assets increased as the result of construction in process associated with the construction.
Financial Position Summary, continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condensed Balance Sheets</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>$9,882,036</td>
<td>$11,335,824</td>
<td>$(1,453,788)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current assets</td>
<td>31,814,958</td>
<td>8,087,811</td>
<td>23,727,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets, net</td>
<td>31,046,771</td>
<td>24,938,691</td>
<td>6,108,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>72,743,765</td>
<td>44,362,326</td>
<td>28,381,439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred outflows of resources</td>
<td>4,785,604</td>
<td>3,062,166</td>
<td>1,723,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets and deferred outflows of resources</strong></td>
<td>$77,529,369</td>
<td>$47,424,492</td>
<td>$30,104,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td>$9,983,839</td>
<td>$10,432,628</td>
<td>$(448,789)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current liabilities</td>
<td>34,633,007</td>
<td>8,765,770</td>
<td>25,867,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td>44,616,846</td>
<td>19,198,398</td>
<td>25,418,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred inflows of resources</td>
<td>2,045,261</td>
<td>2,785,253</td>
<td>(739,992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net position:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment in capital assets</td>
<td>29,380,766</td>
<td>24,938,691</td>
<td>4,442,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>9,426,367</td>
<td>8,087,811</td>
<td>1,338,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted (Deficit)</td>
<td>(7,948,780)</td>
<td>(7,585,661)</td>
<td>(363,119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total net position</strong></td>
<td>30,858,353</td>
<td>25,440,841</td>
<td>5,417,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and net position</strong></td>
<td>$77,520,460</td>
<td>$47,424,492</td>
<td>$30,095,968</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest portion of GCTD’s **net position** is its net investment in capital assets, such as buses, buildings, improvements, and equipment. GCTD uses these capital assets to provide services to its passengers; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. The increase in capital assets is primarily due to work in process on the construction of the new GCTD Operations and Administration Facility in north Oxnard.

**Restricted net position** are those funds set aside or specifically awarded to fund the purchase of future capital projects and transit vehicle acquisitions. The increase in restricted net position is primarily due to the addition of a Reserve Fund for the Certificates of Participation issued in March 2017 to fund construction of the new facility.

The remaining ($7,948,780) **unrestricted deficit net position** is primarily the result of the net pension liability and related deferred resources recorded in accordance with GASB 68 requirements (see note 10 and 13).

GCTD held in its fiduciary funds at year-end $ 9.6 million from California Proposition 1B and LCTOP program funds to be used for pending capital improvement and transit support projects.
The following chart shows GCT’s total assets by percentage.

**Total Assets FY 2016-17**

GCTD Passenger Fares

Passenger fares are set by the Board of Directors and changed when determined necessary by the Board. The most recent fare increase was approved during FY 2009-10, when the Board of Directors approved a two-phase fare increase. The first phase took effect on January 24, 2010, and the second phase took effect on August 21, 2011. The base cash fare for GCTD fixed route buses is $1.50, and by policy the paratransit fare is automatically set at twice the amount of the fixed route fare, or $3.00.

GCTD last restructured its multi-ride ticket and monthly pass program in October 2013. GCTD’s current fare structure is as follows:

### GCTD FIXED ROUTE FARES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Fares (One Way)</th>
<th>Fare Amount</th>
<th>Multi-Ride Ticket or Monthly Pass</th>
<th>Fare Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>15-Ride</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth (through age 18)</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>31-Day Pass</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors (65-74 years of age with GCTD I.D or proof of age)</td>
<td>$0.75</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>$0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare (with Medicare Card)</td>
<td>$0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled (ADA card or GCTD I.D.)</td>
<td>$0.75</td>
<td>15-Ride</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors 75+ (with GCTD I.D or proof of age)</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>31-Day Pass</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children under 45” tall (when accompanied by paid fare)</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Reduced Fare (Senior/Disabled)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Pass (One-Day/Unlimited Boardings)</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>15-Ride</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Pass for Seniors/Medicare/Disabled</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>31-Day Pass</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GCTD ACCESS (Paratransit) FARES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Fares (One Way)</th>
<th>Multi-Ride Ticket or Monthly Pass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA Certified or Senior</td>
<td>Book of Ten Tickets - ADA Certified or Senior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Nutrition (registered with County program)</td>
<td>Donation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Financial Operations Highlights

Operating revenues increased 3.3%, from $3,369,769 in FY 2015-16 to $3,482,127 in FY 2016-17. Fixed route revenues decreased 4.3% as the result of a 4.9% drop in passenger boardings. Paratransit fare revenues increased 81% in FY 2016-17; direct fare sales increased 5.3% to go along with a 9.7% increase in passenger boardings, and fare support revenue received from the Medi-Cal Administrative Activities (MAA) program increased six-fold from last year as the result of GCTD having received prior year reimbursements during FY 2016-17. GCTD records these funds in the year received because reimbursement data is not available in the year services are provided. GCTD achieved its TDA-mandated minimum fare box recovery ratio of 20% overall or 20% for fixed route and 10% for paratransit.

Operating expenses before depreciation increased 7.6% from $20,547,881 to $22,113,345. Excluding credits for GASB 68 & 71 adjustments, Operating expenditures increased 5.5%, from $21,252,965 to $22,418,162. FY 2016-17 operating expenditures were still considerably lower than the approved budget of $23,630,600. The year-to-year increase was driven by:

1. A 4.3% increase in salaries and wages, driven by a 4% wage increase in July 2016 for the third year of three (3) four-year Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) between GCTD and SEIU Local 721. The MOUs also included increasing employee pension contributions and decreasing employer pension contributions for “classic” (pre-2013) employees by 2% per year for each of the first three years of the contract. GCTD increased the fixed route Revenue Service Hours (RSH) it provides to the public by .5%, from 201,905 in FY 2015-16 to 202,938 in FY 2016-17.

2. A 3.8% increase in medical benefit contribution costs, resulting from a 4% increase in GCTD’s medical benefit contribution on January 1, 2017 as negotiated in the referenced MOU.

3. A 34% increase in pension expense as the result of required GASB 68 & 71 adjustments. This reflects credits in both years, with a considerably larger credit in FY 2015-16. Last year this line item reflected a 24% decrease from the previous year. Excluding GASB 68 & 71 adjustments, pension expense was .8% lower in FY 2016-17 compared to FY 2015-16. It is anticipated that next year these non-cash adjustments will increase GCTD’s pension expense.

4. A 25.5% increase in insurance costs, primarily the result of substantially increased costs for liability insurance to cover GCTD’s fleet operations, on top of a 58% increase last year. The transit liability insurance market became much harder over the past two years, and GCTD’s costs as part of the California Transit Indemnity Pool (CalTIP) have increased substantially. Market conditions support continued increases in FY 2017-18, although GCTD raised its self-insured level to reduce premium growth.

5. A 13.7% increase in the cost for contracted ADA Paratransit service, driven primarily by a 9.7% increase in boardings and a 20.4% increase in revenue hours.

6. An 4.5% increase in repair parts expense, resulting from the disposal of obsolete parts for recently-retired fleet type.

7. GCTD’s fleet operates exclusively on compressed natural gas (CNG). While natural gas continues to experience historic low pricing, GCTD’s FY 2016-17 average commodity unit cost for fuel in FY 2016-17 increased 27% from FY 2015-16. Factoring in the less volatile transmission cost, as well as other costs included in the retail cost of natural gas, the average monthly unit cost of natural gas increased 16% in FY 2016-17. GCTD’s actual fuel cost increased 8.8% while using .8% less fuel. Fuel cost for Paratransit service decreased as the result of shifting the fleet composition to smaller, more fuel-efficient vans.
Condensed Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating revenues</td>
<td>$3,482,127</td>
<td>$3,369,769</td>
<td>$112,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenses</td>
<td>(22,113,345)</td>
<td>(20,547,884)</td>
<td>(1,565,461)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating (loss) before depreciation</td>
<td>(18,631,218)</td>
<td>(17,178,115)</td>
<td>(1,453,103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>(2,919,180)</td>
<td>(2,843,634)</td>
<td>(75,546)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating (loss)</td>
<td>(21,550,398)</td>
<td>(20,021,749)</td>
<td>(1,528,649)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operating revenues, net</td>
<td>18,313,808</td>
<td>17,178,115</td>
<td>1,135,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Loss) before capital contributions</td>
<td>(3,236,590)</td>
<td>(2,843,634)</td>
<td>(392,956)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital contributions</td>
<td>8,663,011</td>
<td>6,882,916</td>
<td>1,780,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net position</td>
<td>5,426,421</td>
<td>4,039,282</td>
<td>1,387,139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net position:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>25,440,841</td>
<td>21,401,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of year</td>
<td>$30,867,262</td>
<td>$25,440,841</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revenues

A summary of revenues for the year ended June 30, 2017 including the amount and percentage of change in relation to prior year amounts is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
<th>% Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating revenues:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed-route passenger fares</td>
<td>$2,936,328</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>$3,068,465</td>
<td>$(132,137)</td>
<td>-4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS paratransit fares</td>
<td>545,799</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>301,304</td>
<td>244,495</td>
<td>81.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating</td>
<td>3,482,127</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>3,369,769</td>
<td>112,358</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operating:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local assistance</td>
<td>13,338,152</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>10,601,709</td>
<td>2,736,443</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal funding</td>
<td>4,335,128</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>4,930,720</td>
<td>(595,592)</td>
<td>-12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State funding</td>
<td>153,094</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>207,973</td>
<td>(54,879)</td>
<td>-26.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>487,434</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1,437,713</td>
<td>(950,279)</td>
<td>-66.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total non-operating</td>
<td>18,313,808</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
<td>17,178,115</td>
<td>1,135,693</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenues</td>
<td>$21,795,935</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$20,547,884</td>
<td>$1,248,051</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Passenger fare revenues for fixed route bus service decreased 4.3% from FY 2015-16 to FY 2016-17, as the result of a 4.8% decrease in passenger boardings. Paratransit fare revenues increased 5.3% as the result of a 9.8% increase in passenger boardings, offset by higher than expected pass sales at the close of FY 2015-16. Local fare support for paratransit (MAA service reimbursements from Ventura County) in FY 2016-17 were six times that of FY 2015-16 as the result of having received in FY 2016-17 additional support funds from service in a prior year. GCTD’s FY 2016-17 trend of lower boardings for fixed route and increased boardings for Paratransit service are consistent with industry trends. The following chart shows GCTD’s passenger farebox revenues over the past ten years:

California regulations require that a transit service claimant for TDA funds have a system wide ratio of fare and local revenues to operating cost of at least 20%, or that the claimant realize a farebox recovery ratio (FBRR) of 20% for fixed route service and 10% for paratransit service. The revenue calculation for the ratio may include local funds, defined as “any nonfederal or nonstate grant funds or other revenues generated by, earned by, or distributed to an operator.” GCTD includes funds from local jurisdictions and Medi-Cal trip reimbursements, as well as agency-generated funds such as revenue from on-board advertising and credits associated with GCTD’s use of alternative fuels. The expense calculation may exclude startup costs to provide new services, as well as annual cost increases in excess of the CPI for costs including provision of paratransit services, fuel, alternative fuel programs, power (including electricity), insurance premiums and payments in settlement of claims arising out of the operator’s liability and state and federal mandates.

GCTD’s fare box recovery ratio (FBRR) for FY 2016-17 was 20.9% for fixed route and 19.2% for paratransit, with a combined FBRR of 20.7%. The following chart details GCTD’s fare box recovery ratio calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed Route</th>
<th>$ in millions</th>
<th>Paratransit</th>
<th>$ in millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCTD Operating Expenses</td>
<td>$ 18.95</td>
<td>GCT Operating Expenses</td>
<td>$ 3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Excluded Costs</td>
<td>($1.08)</td>
<td>Less Excluded Costs</td>
<td>($0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCTD Operating Expenses Less Excluded Costs</td>
<td>$ 17.87</td>
<td>GCTD Operating Expenses Less Excluded Costs</td>
<td>$ 2.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Revenue Applicable to FBRR | $ 2.94 | Revenue Applicable to FBRR | $ 0.27 |
| Fixed Route Passenger Fares | | Paratransit Passenger Fares | |
| Local Transit Fares | - | Local Transit Fares (MAA) | $ 0.28 |
| Advertising Revenue | $ 0.15 | |
| Energy Credit Revenue | $ 0.36 | |
| Alternative Fuel Excise Tax Credit | $ 0.25 | |
| Interest Income | $ 0.02 | |
| Other Revenues | $ 0.02 | |
| Revenue Applicable to FBRR | $ 3.73 | Revenue Applicable to FBRR | $ 0.55 |

| Fixed Route FBRR | 20.9% | Paratransit FBRR | 19.2% |
| COMBINED FAREBOX RATIO | 20.7% |
Local Transportation Funds (LTF)

On July 1, 2014 GCT became Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD) as the result of state legislation signed by Governor Brown in October 2013. As a Transit District, GCTD is entitled to claim the entire amount of state Local Transportation Funds (LTF) apportioned by population to its member jurisdictions. GCTD’s enabling legislation also allows GCTD members to claim from the district a portion of its LTF funds for eligible transit services (not provided by the District) that the member funds or operates.

For FY 2016-17 GCTD claimed $15,100,317 in LTF funds; of that amount, $2,015,749 was claimed by GCTD’s members for their transit service requirements and $13,084,568 was used for current year operations. GCTD carried $5,790,964 in unearned prior year LTF funds into FY 2016-17; of that amount $253,584 was used for current year operations and $250,000 was contributed to GCTD’s Capital Reserve.

Federal and State Funds

GCTD’s second largest source of operating revenue is the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). GCTD was allocated $4,302,170 in Federal Section 5307 funds in the FY 2017 Program of Projects, with $3,787,123 programmed for operating budget line items and $515,047 programmed for Certificate of Participation (COP) payments.

In FY 2016-17, GCTD expended $3,755,982 in Section 5307 grant funds for operating revenue line items and $579,146 in previously awarded CMAQ grant funds to defray the cost of adding new service.

GCTD also receives State Transportation Assistance (STA). In FY 2016-17 the State Controller’s Office (SCO) allocated GCTD $146,029 in STA funds. Including some funds allocated in prior years, GCTD expended $150,000 in STA funds in FY 2016-17. In July 2017 the Governor signed AB 1113, which clarifies the allocation methodology for STA funds going forward after the SCO changed the methodology of the STA funding formula in 2015 and it was rolled back by SB 838 in 2016. The impact of AB 1113 on GCTD’s annual allocation is not yet clear.

Other Revenue

Advertising Income - GCTD has been selling commercial bus advertising since FY 2006-07, and continues to attract advertising contracts from both local and national entities. Program revenue reached over $230K in FY13-14 but fell off after losing our largest advertising account in 2015. In FY 2016-17 GCTD generated $150,611 in advertising revenues, 1.6% less than in the previous year. GCTD anticipates some revenue growth, but perhaps not to previous levels.

Medi-Cal Reimbursement - GCTD receives through Ventura County Public Health partial reimbursement under the Medi-Cal Administrative Activities (MAA) program for providing Medi-Cal eligible trips on the GCTD ACCESS service. Funding is based on establishing eligibility on a trip-by-trip basis and is calculated and received in arrears; for that reason GCTD records these funds in the year received rather than the year earned. In FY 2016-17 GCTD received $277,269 from this program. Revenue from this program is growing with increased Paratransit boardings and an aging population, and GCTD anticipates reimbursements from this program growing to over $300,000 annually.

Energy Credit Revenue - Commencing in FY 2014-15 GCTD generates and sells both Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) credits (State of California) and Renewable Identification Number (RIN) credits (U.S. EPA) from its use of renewable natural gas to fuel the fleet. In FY 2016-17 GCTD realized $359,151 from the generation and sale of state and federal credits. The market for these credits is based on regulation and can be volatile, however our return appears stable in the short-term.

Interest and Other Income - Interest is earned on temporary investments with the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) and on money market funds held at Union Bank. GCTD earned $22,295 in interest in FY 2016-17. Other income consists primarily of the sale of miscellaneous surplus property and is largely unanticipated activity. GCTD earned $19,653 in other income in FY 2016-17.
The following chart shows the major sources of operating and non-operating revenues for the year ended June 30, 2017, as a percentage of total revenues.

Total GCTD Revenue FY 2016-17

Expenses

A summary of expenses for the year ended June 30, 2017, including the amount and percentage of change in relation to prior year amounts, is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating expenses:</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
<th>% Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle operation</td>
<td>$ 11,825,593</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
<td>$ 11,135,179</td>
<td>$ 690,414</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle maintenance</td>
<td>3,097,824</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>3,135,045</td>
<td>(37,221)</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and marketing</td>
<td>1,003,028</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>918,158</td>
<td>84,870</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and administration</td>
<td>3,023,020</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>2,512,074</td>
<td>510,946</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>3,163,880</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>2,847,428</td>
<td>316,452</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating expenses before depreciation</strong></td>
<td>$ 22,113,345</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
<td>$ 20,547,884</td>
<td>$ 1,565,461</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>2,919,180</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>2,843,634</td>
<td>75,546</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating expenses</strong></td>
<td>$ 25,032,525</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$ 23,391,518</td>
<td>$ 1,641,007</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fixed Route costs for FY 2016-17 were 6.2% higher than in FY 2015-16. Among the primary cost drivers were a 4.2% increase in bus operator wages as the result of a 4% MOU wage increase in July 2016, a 13.2% increase in fuel cost as the result of the increase commodity cost for natural gas, and a 34% increase in retirement cost attributable almost entirely to the difference in the annual GASB 68 & 71 adjustment.

Maintenance costs for FY 2016-17 were 2.5% higher than in FY 2015-16. The cost increase was less than the 4% contract labor cost increase in July 2016. GCTD absorbed a $116,398 expense for disposition of spare parts for an obsolete bus model, offset by an 18% decrease in contract repair work as the result of completing in FY 2015-16 a major program to paint and update the graphics on our fleets.

Administration Department costs for FY 2016-17 were 15.7% higher than in FY 2015-16, primarily driven by a 25% increase in insurance costs resulting from the continuing increase in liability insurance costs, as well as a 44% increase in retirement cost attributable entirely to the difference in the annual GASB 68 & 71 adjustment.
Planning and Marketing department costs for FY 2016-17 were 9.2% higher than in FY 2015-16, driven by a large reorder of logo promotional items and increased use of a marketing professional to assist staff through an extended employee absence, as well as a 31% increase in retirement cost attributable entirely to the difference in the annual GASB 68 & 71 adjustment.

Paratransit operations costs for FY 2016-17 were 11.1% higher than in FY 2015-16, driven by increased contracted operations costs as the result of higher demand driving the provision of additional service.

The 11.7% increase in depreciation expense is primarily the result of having new paratransit vans to replace cutaway vans that were fully depreciated several years ago.

Total operating expenses before depreciation were 7.6% higher than the previous year; excluding entries made for the annual GASB 68 & 71 adjustment the increase would have been 5.5%

The following chart shows operating expense trends over a ten-year period.

**District Operating Expense - from 2008 to 2017**
The following chart shows major cost categories and the percentage of operating expenses for the year ended June 30, 2017:

**GCTD Operating Expenses FY 2016-17**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchased Transportation</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Services</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNG Fuel Station</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuels &amp; Lubricants</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials &amp; Supplies</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries &amp; Benefits</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Financial Statements**

GCTD’s basic financial statements are prepared on an accrual basis in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles promulgated by the Government Accounting Standards Board. GCTD is structured as an enterprise fund with revenues normally recognized when earned, not when received. Expenses are recognized when incurred, not when paid. Capital assets are capitalized and (except for land and construction in progress) depreciated over their estimated useful lives. See the notes to the financial statements for a summary of GCTD’s significant accounting policies.

**Capital Asset Acquisition**

During FY 2016-17, GCTD added $3,075,085 in capital additions. These consisted $2,663,010 for five (5) Gillig 40-foot CNG fixed route transit buses, $294,959 for five (5) new MV-1 Paratransit vehicles, $111,577 to upgrade and modernize GCTD’s technology infrastructure and $5,539 for a floor scrubber for the Maintenance Department.

Capital asset acquisitions are capitalized at cost. Acquisitions are typically funded primarily using federal grants with matching local funds. Over the past decade GCTD has received state grants from the Proposition 1B Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) and Safety and Security programs, both of which may be used to match federal funds but neither of which require local matching funds. The ten-year window for Proposition 1B funds is nearly complete. With construction of GCTD’s new Operations and Maintenance Facility in North Oxnard underway as of fiscal year-end, GCTD closed the year with $9,472,693 in Work in Process.
Economic and Strategic Factors

GCTD has now completed three full years as a Transit District and is well established as the successor to the GCT joint powers authority (JPA). The economic outlook for California public transit seems stable. Local Transportation Funds, GCTD’s most important funding source, are not growing but have stabilized following the economic recession. In December 2015, the Federal government passed the first new transit funding authorization legislation in over ten years, the “FAST (Fixing America's Surface Transportation) Act.” The FAST Act provided a modest increase in federal transit funding and long-needed long-term funding certainty to the industry. Nonetheless, transit, like any entity receiving Federal funding, remains highly susceptible to the current volatility of the Federal budget process.

State infrastructure funding received a tremendous boost in 2017 with the signing of SB1, the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017. SB1 invests $54 billion over the next decade to fix roads, freeways and bridges in communities across California and puts more dollars toward transit and safety. SB1 allocates over $750 million for transit agencies to help increase access and service and build capital projects. State cap-and-trade funding programs provided another potential funding source for transit projects. The largest of these programs, the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) is highly competitive.

Ventura County remains the most populated county in California without a dedicated transportation tax. This not only limits GCTD’s ability to grow and provide more robust service to the community, but is a substantial hinderance in competing for State and Federal grant funds. For example, SB1 provides $200 million specifically dedicated to matching voter-approved transportation tax funding; all Ventura County agencies are excluded from consideration for these funds.

Unfortunately, Ventura County voters failed in November 2016 to approve Measure AA, a one-half cent sales tax to fund needed road and transportation improvements. Measure AA received nearly 57% approval county-wide, falling short of the required two-thirds. Measure AA received over 64% approval in the four cities GCTD serves, demonstrating local support for the service transit provides. Other high-population counties in California have recognized the need for local funding to support the provision of transit services. GCTD and all public transit in Ventura County will continue to be severely constrained until this issue is successfully addressed.

Nonetheless, GCTD looks ahead toward an exciting development in our history. Construction is well underway on GCTD’s new Operations and Administration facility, located on a 15-acre site in North Oxnard, on the corner of Auto Center Drive and Paseo Mercado. Completion is scheduled for Fall 2018.

This project is critical to the District’s future. The existing facility is far too small to adequately support even GCTD’s current operating level of 56 fixed-route buses. The thirty-seven-year-old facility could not have been cost-effectively expanded and would have needed substantial investment just to address obsolescence and deterioration. GCTD accumulated over $26 million in direct grant funds for the project, and in March 2017 the California Transit Finance Corporation (CTFC) successfully issued $22 million in Certificates of Participation (COPs) to provide GCTD the remaining funds. This debt, while necessary to complete the project, will limit GCTD’s ability to grow until additional revenue and/or new sources are identified.

GCTD uses compressed natural gas (CNG) to fuel its entire bus and paratransit fleet and most of its service vehicles. GCTD owns and operates its compression station, as it will in its new facility. GCTD continues to benefit from historically low natural gas commodity prices, even though prices increased in FY 2106-17. GCTD contracts with GHI Energy, a third-party provider that provides GCTD renewable natural gas at a discount from the published commodity price and administers GCTD’s sale of Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) credits (State of California) and Renewable Identification Number (RIN) credits (U.S. EPA) generated from its use of CNG as a fuel.
Within the last two years GCTD replaced eleven (11) fixed route buses that had reached the end of their useful life with thirteen (13) new 40-foot Gillig CNG buses, and by early 2018 will have replaced its entire paratransit fleet, almost entirely paid for with a combination of federal and state grant funds. GCTD recently received grant funding approval for the first phase of a rebuild-repowering project intended to extend the useful life of our remaining fixed route bus fleet.

From a labor perspective, GCTD is presently in negotiations for new contracts with Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 721, which represents approximately 80% of GCTD’s employees. The existing three Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) expire November 2017.

Until its $22 million COP issue in March 2017 GCTD historically carried no long-term debt and had fully-funded its relatively modest other post-employment benefits (OPEB) obligations by participating in the California Employers’ Retiree Benefit Trust Fund (CERBT). GCTD ended FY 2016-17 with a capital reserve of more than $7.88 million. The District has maintained a solid cash flow position for many years.

GCTD anticipates that in the long-term demand for transit services in western Ventura County will gradually increase. GCTD’s fixed route boardings decreased over the past two years. This follows an industry-wide trend for municipal bus service, partly in response to sharply lower gasoline prices. Ridership remains higher than our historical norm.

Throughout its history, GCTD (and its predecessor agencies GCT and SCAT) has been constrained from growth by the limitations of both its revenue and its facility. The facility issue is being addressed. Increased revenue, from access to additional LTF funds available to GCTD as a District, allowed the District to proceed with debt funding to complete a new facility to prepare for future growth. Without new or additional revenue sources GCTD will be challenged to increase the level of service we are now able to provide to the people of Western Ventura County.

GCTD actively pursues all relevant grant opportunities; however discretionary grants do not provide recurring revenue. GCTD has undertaken several initiatives to increase revenues, such as on-board advertising sales, reimbursement for Medi-Cal eligible paratransit transportation and the generation and sale of low carbon fuel standard and renewal fuel (RIN) credits. GCTD will continue to aggressively seek revenue opportunities from initiatives such as these; they are increasingly important but are rarely of the scale necessary to impact the growing imbalance between transit demand and transit funding in our service area. As previously stated, it is critical that Ventura County address its status as the most populated county in California that does not have a dedicated transportation tax.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide GCTD’s members, customers, stakeholders and other interested parties with an overview of GCTD’s financial operations and financial condition. Should the reader have questions regarding the information included in this report or wish to request additional financial information, please contact Steve Rosenberg, Director of Finance and Administration, at Gold Coast Transit District, 301 E. Third St., Oxnard, California, 93030-6048.
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
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Gold Coast Transit District
Balance Sheets
June 30, 2017 and 2016

ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and investments (Note 2)</td>
<td>$5,378,788</td>
<td>$5,856,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest receivable</td>
<td>8,524</td>
<td>4,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable – federal funding</td>
<td>3,683,865</td>
<td>4,399,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable – other</td>
<td>231,123</td>
<td>350,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and supplies inventory</td>
<td>565,148</td>
<td>717,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid items</td>
<td>14,588</td>
<td>6,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,882,036</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,335,824</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Non-current assets:    |            |            |
|Restricted – cash and investments (Note 2 and 3) | 31,780,764 | 8,071,970 |
|Restricted – accrued interest receivable | 34,194      | 15,841     |
|Capital assets – not being depreciated (Note 5) | 18,453,754 | 12,515,276 |
|Capital assets, net – being depreciated (Note 5) | 12,593,017 | 12,423,415 |
| **Total non-current assets** | **62,861,729** | **33,026,502** |

| **Total assets** | **72,743,765** | **44,362,326** |

| Deferred outflows of resources: |            |            |
|Deferred amounts related to net pension liability (Note 10) | 4,785,604 | 3,062,166 |
| **Total deferred outflows of resources** | **4,785,604** | **3,062,166** |

| **Total assets and deferred outflows of resources** | **$77,529,369** | **$47,424,492** |

LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND NET POSITION

| Current liabilities: |            |            |
|Accounts payable and accrued expenses (Note 6) | $3,359,500 | $3,668,231 |
|Unearned – other revenues | 596,616    | 592,960    |
|Unearned – local transportation funding (Note 7) | 5,287,380 | 5,790,964 |
|Accrued interest payable | 348,467    | -          |
|Long-term liabilities – due within one year: |            |            |
|Compensated absences (Note 8) | 391,876      | 380,473      |
| **Total current liabilities** | **9,983,839** | **10,432,628** |

| Long-term liabilities: |            |            |
|Long-term liabilities – due in more than one year: |            |            |
|Compensated absences (Note 8) | 391,877       | 380,473       |
|Certificates-of-participation (Note 9) | 23,697,220 | -          |
|Net pension liability (Note 10) | 10,543,910 | 8,385,297 |
| **Total long-term liabilities** | **34,633,007** | **8,765,770** |

| **Total liabilities** | **44,616,846** | **19,198,398** |

| Deferred inflows of resources: |            |            |
|Deferred amounts related to net pension liability (Note 10) | 2,045,261 | 2,785,253 |
| **Total deferred inflows of resources** | **2,045,261** | **2,785,253** |

| Net position: |            |            |
|Net investment in capital assets (Note 11) | 29,389,675 | 24,938,691 |
|Restricted for capital acquisitions (Note 3 and 12) | 8,038,820 | 8,087,811 |
|Restricted for debt service (Note 3) | 1,387,547 | -          |
|Unrestricted (Deficit) (Note 13) | (7,948,780) | (7,585,661) |
| **Total net position** | **30,867,262** | **25,440,841** |

| **Total liabilities, deferred inflows in resources and net position** | **$77,529,369** | **$47,424,492** |

See accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Change in Net Position
#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating revenues:</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passenger fares:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed route</td>
<td>$ 2,936,328</td>
<td>$ 3,068,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>$ 545,799</td>
<td>$ 301,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating revenues</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,482,127</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,369,769</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating expenses:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle operation</td>
<td>11,825,593</td>
<td>11,135,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle maintenance</td>
<td>3,097,824</td>
<td>3,135,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and marketing</td>
<td>1,003,028</td>
<td>918,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and administration</td>
<td>3,023,020</td>
<td>2,512,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>3,163,880</td>
<td>2,847,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>22,113,345</strong></td>
<td><strong>20,547,884</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating (loss) before depreciation expense</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating (loss) before depreciation expense</td>
<td>(18,631,218)</td>
<td>(17,178,115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation expense</td>
<td>(2,919,180)</td>
<td>(2,843,634)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating (loss)</strong></td>
<td>(21,550,398)</td>
<td>(20,021,749)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-operating revenues(expenses) and transfers:</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local transportation funding</td>
<td>13,338,152</td>
<td>10,601,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal funding – operating grants</td>
<td>4,335,128</td>
<td>4,930,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State transit assistance (Note 14)</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>184,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State funding – operating grants</td>
<td>3,094</td>
<td>23,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment earnings</td>
<td>22,295</td>
<td>15,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising revenue</td>
<td>150,611</td>
<td>153,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue</td>
<td>631,938</td>
<td>1,268,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of issuance of debt</td>
<td>(317,410)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total non-operating revenues, net and transfers</strong></td>
<td><strong>18,313,808</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,178,115</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Loss) before capital contributions</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Loss) before capital contributions</td>
<td>(3,236,590)</td>
<td>(2,843,634)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital contributions:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal capital grants</td>
<td>5,333,006</td>
<td>2,836,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State capital grants</td>
<td>3,028,184</td>
<td>2,337,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local capital grants</td>
<td>301,821</td>
<td>1,708,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total capital contributions</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,663,011</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,882,916</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in net position</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in net position</td>
<td>5,426,421</td>
<td>4,039,282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>25,440,841</td>
<td>21,401,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of year</td>
<td>$ 30,867,262</td>
<td>$ 25,440,841</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.
### Gold Coast Transit District
Statements of Cash Flows
For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

#### Cash flows from operating activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from passenger fares – fixed route</td>
<td>$ 2,936,328</td>
<td>$ 3,068,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from passenger fares – paratransit</td>
<td>545,799</td>
<td>301,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from others</td>
<td>906,072</td>
<td>1,427,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments to employees for salaries and wages</td>
<td>(10,928,930)</td>
<td>10,007,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments to vendors for materials and services</td>
<td>(11,630,627)</td>
<td>(28,754,096)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net cash (used in) operating activities: (18,171,358) (13,949,453)

#### Cash flows from non-capital financing activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from local transportation funding</td>
<td>12,834,568</td>
<td>11,023,981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from federal funding – operating grants</td>
<td>6,553,481</td>
<td>2,812,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from state transit assistance</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>184,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from state funding – operating grants</td>
<td>3,094</td>
<td>23,045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net cash provided by non-capital financing activities: 19,541,143 14,044,603

#### Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition and construction of capital assets</td>
<td>(8,697,666)</td>
<td>(5,407,227)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from federal capital grants</td>
<td>3,830,567</td>
<td>2,762,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from state capital grants</td>
<td>3,028,184</td>
<td>2,337,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from local capital grants</td>
<td>283,468</td>
<td>1,696,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from debt issuance</td>
<td>23,716,093</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of issuance of debt</td>
<td>(317,410)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net cash provided by capital and related financing activities: 21,843,236 1,389,177

#### Cash flows from investing activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment earnings</td>
<td>18,286</td>
<td>13,638</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net cash provided by investing activities: 18,286 13,638

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents: 23,231,307 1,497,965

#### Cash and investments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>13,928,245</td>
<td>12,430,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

End of year: $ 37,159,552  $ 13,928,245

See accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.
Reconciliation of operating (loss) to net cash (used in) operating activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating (loss)</td>
<td>$ (21,550,398)</td>
<td>$ (20,021,749)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjustments to reconcile operating (loss) to net cash (used in) operating activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>2,919,180</td>
<td>2,843,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising revenue</td>
<td>150,611</td>
<td>153,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue</td>
<td>631,938</td>
<td>1,268,733</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change in assets – (increase)decrease:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable – other</td>
<td>119,867</td>
<td>(154,380)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and supplies inventory</td>
<td>152,517</td>
<td>(78,306)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid items</td>
<td>(7,988)</td>
<td>45,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net other post-employment benefits asset</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33,964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change in deferred outflows of resources – (increase)decrease:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred amounts related to net pension liability</td>
<td>(1,723,438)</td>
<td>(1,760,967)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change in liabilities – increase(decrease):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued expenses</td>
<td>(308,731)</td>
<td>2,382,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensated absences</td>
<td>22,807</td>
<td>122,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unearned revenue</td>
<td>3,656</td>
<td>160,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net pension liability</td>
<td>2,158,613</td>
<td>608,964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change in deferred inflows of resources – increase(decrease):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred amounts related to net pension liability</td>
<td>(739,992)</td>
<td>446,919</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,379,040</td>
<td>6,072,296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net cash (used in) operating activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ (18,171,358)</td>
<td>$ (13,949,453)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-cash investing, capital and financing transactions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized interest expense</td>
<td>$ 348,467</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized premium amortization</td>
<td>(18,873)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Statement of Fiduciary Net Position
#### June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expendable Trust Funds</th>
<th>Local Transportation Fund</th>
<th>Prop. 1B Grant Fund</th>
<th>Total Fiduciary Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and investments (Note 2)</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 9,576,374</td>
<td>$ 9,576,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,576,374</td>
<td>9,576,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unearned revenue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET POSITION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted (Note 15)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,576,374</td>
<td>9,576,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net position</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 9,576,374</td>
<td>$ 9,576,374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Statement of Fiduciary Net Position
#### June 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Local Transportation Fund</th>
<th>Prop. 1B Grant Fund</th>
<th>Total Fiduciary Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and investments (Note 2)</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 10,861,797</td>
<td>$ 10,861,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,861,797</td>
<td>10,861,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unearned revenue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET POSITION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted (Note 15)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,861,797</td>
<td>10,861,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net position</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 10,861,797</td>
<td>$ 10,861,797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.
Gold Coast Transit District  
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expendable Trust Funds</th>
<th>Local Transportation Fund</th>
<th>Prop. 1B Grant Fund</th>
<th>Total Fiduciary Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local transportation funding</td>
<td>$15,100,317</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$15,100,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTMISEA funding (Note 15)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,737,799</td>
<td>1,737,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment earnings</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,962</td>
<td>4,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total additions</strong></td>
<td>15,100,317</td>
<td>1,742,761</td>
<td>16,843,078</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Deductions:**         |                           |                     |                       |
| City of Ojai             | 202,000                   | - | 202,000 |
| City of Oxnard           | 511,059                   | - | 511,059 |
| City of Port Hueneme     | 79,518                    | - | 79,518 |
| City of San Buenaventura | 152,079                   | - | 152,079 |
| County of Ventura        | 1,071,093                 | - | 1,071,093 |
| Gold Coast Transit District | 13,084,568 | 3,028,184 | 16,112,752 |
| **Total deductions**     | 15,100,317 | 3,028,184 | 18,128,501 |

| **Change in net position** | - | (1,285,423) | (1,285,423) |

| **Net Position:**      |                           |                     |                       |
| Beginning of year      | - | 10,861,797 | 10,861,797 |
| End of year            | $ | $9,576,374 | $9,576,374 |

See accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.
Gold Coast Transit District  
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expendable Trust Funds</th>
<th>Local Transportation Fund</th>
<th>Prop. 1B Grant Fund</th>
<th>Total Fiduciary Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local transportation funding</td>
<td>$15,472,479</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$15,472,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop. 1B grant funding (Note 15)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>322,025</td>
<td>322,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTMISEA funding (Note 15)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,604,542</td>
<td>4,604,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCTOP funding (Note 15)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40,701</td>
<td>40,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment earnings</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,793</td>
<td>8,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total additions</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,472,479</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,976,061</strong></td>
<td><strong>20,448,540</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deductions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims paid to claimants:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Ojai</td>
<td>209,951</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>209,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Oxnard</td>
<td>665,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>665,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Port Hueneme</td>
<td>55,715</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Buenaventura</td>
<td>253,250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>253,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Ventura</td>
<td>1,607,582</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,607,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Coast Transit District</td>
<td>12,680,981</td>
<td>2,341,917</td>
<td>15,022,898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total deductions</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,472,479</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,341,917</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,814,396</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in net position</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,634,144</td>
<td>2,634,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Position:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,227,653</td>
<td>8,227,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of year</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$10,861,797</td>
<td>$10,861,797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
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Note 1 – Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of the Reporting Entity

The principal business activity of Gold Coast Transit District (District) is to provide public transportation service to customers in the geographic area known as western Ventura County located in Southern California. As of July 1, 2014, Gold Coast Transit became known as Gold Coast Transit District.

The District was previously a joint powers authority created in 1973 by the Cities of Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme and San Buenaventura for the purpose of operating a public transportation system within and about western Ventura County. Subsequent to the initial creation of the agency, the City of Santa Paula and County of Ventura were added as participating members. Each of these governments is represented on the District’s Board of Directors.

On October 5, 1994, the City of Santa Paula withdrew from the joint powers authority agreement and surrendered its representation on the Board of Directors. Santa Paula's member equity was reallocated to the other members during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995.

Basis of Accounting, Measurement Focus and Financial Reporting

The Financial Statements (i.e., the statement of net position, the statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position, and statement of cash flows) report information on all of the activities of the primary government. The District accounts for its operations (a) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises – where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges; or (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability or other purposes.

The Financial Statements are reported using the “economic resources” measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as all eligibility requirements have been met. Interest associated with the current fiscal period is considered to be susceptible to accrual and so has been recognized as revenue of the current fiscal period.

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position, the Statement of Net Position reports separate sections for Deferred Outflows of Resources, and Deferred Inflows of Resources, when applicable.

Deferred Outflows of Resources represent outflows of resources (consumption of net position) that apply to future periods and that, therefore, will not be recognized as an expense until that time.

Deferred Inflows of Resources represent inflows of resources (acquisition of net position) that apply to future periods and that, therefore, are not recognized as a revenue until that time.

Operating revenues are those revenues that are generated from the primary operations of the District. The District reports a measure of operations by presenting the change in net position from operations as operating income in the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position. Operating activities are defined by the District as all activities other than financing and investing activities (interest expense and investment income), grants and subsidies, and other infrequently occurring transactions of a non-operating nature. Operating expenses are those expenses that are essential to the primary operations of the District. All other expenses are reported as non-operating expenses.
Note 1 – Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Basis of Accounting, Measurement Focus and Financial Reporting (Continued)

The District reports the following funds:

**Operating Fund** accounts for all revenues and other receipts that are not allocated by law or contractual agreements to some other funds to be accounted for in this fund. General operating costs and capital improvement costs which are not paid through other funds, are paid from this fund.

**Fiduciary Funds:**

**Local Transportation Fund** is used to account for local transportation funding (Article No. 4) received by the County of Ventura from the State of California and then subsequently distributed to the District and its member entities based on their requested appropriation throughout the fiscal year.

**Proposition 1B Grant Fund** is used to account for all advanced grant funding received by the District from the State of California Proposition 1B funds for the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA), and the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP).

**Use of Estimates**

The preparation of the basic financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities they also include disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported changes in net position during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Substantially all of the District’s cash is invested in interest bearing cash accounts. The District considers all highly liquid investments with initial maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

**Investments**

Changes in fair value that occur during a fiscal year are recognized as unrealized gains or losses and reported for that fiscal year. Investment earnings comprise interest earnings, changes in fair value, and any gains or losses realized upon the liquidation or sale of investments.

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and establishes disclosures about fair value measurement. Investments, unless otherwise specified, recorded at fair value on the statement of net position, are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Levels of inputs are as follows:

- **Level 1** – Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in active markets at the measurement date.
- **Level 2** – Inputs, other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the assets or liabilities through corroboration with market data at the measurement date.
- **Level 3** – Unobservable inputs that reflect management’s best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities at the measurement date.
Note 1 – Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Materials and Supplies Inventory

Materials and supplies inventory consists primarily of bus replacement parts, supplies for vehicle maintenance, tires, and oil. Inventory is valued at cost using a weighted average method. Inventory items are charged to expense at the time that individual items are withdrawn from inventory or consumed.

Prepaid Items

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs or deposits applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in the basic financial statements.

Capital Assets

Capital assets acquired and/or constructed are capitalized at historical cost. District policy has set the capitalization threshold for reporting capital assets at $5,000. Donated assets are recorded at estimated acquisition value at the date of donation. Depreciation is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

- Revenue vehicles – fixed route – 12 years
- Facilities – 15 to 30 years
- Equipment and furniture – 3 to 10 years
- Revenue vehicles – paratransit – 4 to 5 years
- Paratransit equipment – 3 to 5 years

Compensated Absences

District policy is to permit employees to accumulate earned vacation and sick leave up to a defined maximum amount. Accumulated vacation time is accrued at year-end to account for the District’s obligation to the employees for the amount owed. Sick leave can be accumulated, but, under District policy, is not paid until termination or retirement with a minimum of ten years of service. Payment shall be made in an amount of 50% of accrued sick leave upon termination, retirement or death of the employee. Accordingly, 50% of the accumulated sick leave is accrued at year-end to account for the District's obligation to qualified employees for the amount owed.

Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the plans and additions to/deductions from the plans’ fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the plans (Note 8). For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

The following timeframes are used for pension reporting:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CalPERS</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valuation date</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
<td>June 30, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement date</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement period</td>
<td>July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016</td>
<td>July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gold Coast Transit District  
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)  
For the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

Note 1 – Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Pensions

Gains and losses related to changes in total pension liability and fiduciary net position are recognized in pension expense systematically over time. The first amortized amounts are recognized in pension expense for the year the gain or loss occurs. The remaining amounts are categorized as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and are to be recognized in future pension expense. The amortization period differs depending on the source of the gain or loss. The difference between projected and actual earnings is amortized straight-line over 5 years. All other amounts are amortized straight-line over the average expected remaining service lives of all members that are provided with benefits (active, inactive, and retired) as of the beginning of the measurement period.

Unearned – Local Transportation Funding

Authorized and received Local Transportation Funds that exceed current year expenditure requirements are deferred to future periods.

Grant Funding

Grants for operating assistance and capital acquisitions are included in their respective non-operating and capital contribution sections of the statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position. Grant funds are claimed on a reimbursement basis and receivables for grant funds are recorded as the related obligations are incurred. Grant funds advanced but not yet earned are treated as unearned revenue until the respective obligations these grants were funded for are incurred.

Non-Operating Revenues and Capital Contributions

The District receives Local Transportation Funds (LTF) under provisions of the State of California's Transportation Development Act of 1971. This act provides that a portion of state sales tax proceeds be made available for support and development of public transportation. These funds are generated within Ventura County and are allocated based on annual claims filed by the District and approved by the Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC). A portion of these proceeds (at the discretion of the District's Board of Directors) is set aside to fund capital acquisitions and is classified as local capital grants in the capital contribution section of the statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position. The remaining portion of local transportation funding is used to subsidize current operations and is included in the non-operating revenue section of the statement of revenues, expense and changes in net position.

Under provisions of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) signed into law on July 6, 2012, Federal planning and capital assistance grants (under Section 5307) are made available to local urbanized mass transportation systems on a formula basis. Federal operating and matching grants provided to the District under this act are included in the non-operating revenue section of the statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position.

Federal, state and local operating grants are included in the non-operating revenue section of the statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position.

Federal, state and local capital grants are reported in the capital contribution section of the statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position.
Note 1 – Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Net Position

The financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is categorized as follows:

- **Net Investment in Capital Assets** – This component of net position consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by any outstanding debt against the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets.

- **Restricted Net Position** – This component of net position consists of constraints placed on net position use through external constraints imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or constraints imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

- **Unrestricted Net Position** – This component of net position consists of net position that does not meet the definition of restricted or net investment in capital assets.

Accounting Changes

During the year ended June 30, 2017, the District implemented the following new GASB pronouncements:

- **Statement No. 74, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other than Pension Plans.** The objective of this Statement is to improve the usefulness of information about postemployment benefits other than pensions (other postemployment benefits or OPEB) included in the general purpose external financial reports of state and local governmental OPEB plans for making decisions and assessing accountability. This Statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2016.

- **Statement No. 77, Tax Abatement Disclosures.** This Statement requires governments that enter into tax abatement agreements to disclose certain information about the agreements. Governments should organize those disclosures by major tax abatement programs and may disclose information for individual tax abatement agreements within those programs. This Statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015.

- **Statement No. 78, Pension Provided Through Certain Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plans.** The objective of this Statement is to address a practice issue regarding the scope and applicability of Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. This issue is associated with pensions provided through certain multiple-employer defined benefit pension plans and to state or local governmental employers whose employees are provided with such pensions. This Statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015.

- **Statement No. 80, Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14.** The objective of this Statement is to improve financial reporting by clarifying the financial statement presentation requirements for certain component units. This Statement amends the blending requirements established in paragraph 53 of Statement No. 14, The Financial Reporting Entity, as amended. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2016.

- **Statement No. 82, Pension Issues—an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73.** This Statement addresses issues regarding (1) the presentation of payroll-related measures in required supplementary information, (2) the selection of assumptions and the treatment of deviations from the guidance in an Actuarial Standard of Practice for financial reporting purposes, and (3) the classification of payments made by employers to satisfy employee (plan member) contribution requirements. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2016, except for the requirements of this Statement for the selection of assumptions in a circumstance in which an employer’s pension liability is measured as of a date other than the employer’s most recent fiscal year-end. In that circumstance, the requirements for the selection of assumptions are effective for that employer in the first reporting period in which the measurement date of the pension liability is on or after June 15, 2017.
Note 2 – Cash and Investments

Cash and investments as June 30 were classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and investments</td>
<td>$ 5,378,788</td>
<td>$ 5,856,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted – cash and investments</td>
<td>31,780,764</td>
<td>8,071,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and investments – fiduciary funds</td>
<td>9,576,374</td>
<td>10,861,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 46,735,926</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 24,790,042</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash and investments as of June 30 consisted of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash on hand</td>
<td>$ 11,551</td>
<td>$ 15,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand deposits held with financial institutions</td>
<td>709,924</td>
<td>408,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>46,014,451</td>
<td>24,365,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 46,735,926</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 24,790,042</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demand Deposits

At June 30, 2017 and 2016, the carrying amount of the District’s demand deposits was $709,924 and $408,583, respectively, and the financial institution balance was $523,679 and $480,201, respectively. The $186,245 and $71,618 respective net difference as of June 30, 2017 and 2016 represents outstanding checks, deposits-in-transit and/or other reconciling items.

The California Government Code requires California banks and savings and loan associations to secure an entity's deposits by pledging government securities with a value of 110% of an entity's deposits. California law also allows financial institutions to secure entity deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of an entity's total deposits. The entity's Treasurer may waive the collateral requirement for deposits which are fully insured up to $250,000 by the FDIC.

The collateral for deposits in federal and state chartered banks is held in safekeeping by an authorized agent of depository recognized by the State of California Department of Banking. The collateral for deposits with savings and loan associations is generally held in safekeeping by the Federal Home Loan Bank in San Francisco, California as an agent of depository. These securities are physically held in an undivided pool for all California public agency depositors. Under Government Code Section 53655, the placement of securities by a bank or savings and loan association with an agent of depository has the effect of perfecting the security interest in the name of the local governmental agency. Accordingly, all collateral held by California agents of depository are considered to be held for, and in the name of, the local government.

Custodial Credit Risk

The custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The District’s investment policy requires that collateral be held by an independent third party with whom the District has a current custodial agreement.
Note 2 – Cash and Investments (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk (Continued)

The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that in the event of the failure of the counterparty to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The District’s investment policy requires that all security transactions are conducted on a delivery-versus-payment (DVP) method and that all securities are held by a qualified, third-party custodian, as evidenced by safekeeping receipts. The trust department of the District’s bank may act as third-party custodian, provided that the custodian agreement is separate from the banking agreement. With respect to investments, custodial credit risk generally applies only to direct investments in marketable securities. Custodial credit risk does not apply to a local government’s indirect investment in securities through the use of mutual funds or government investment pools (such as LAIF and VCPIF).

As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, none of the District’s deposits and investments was exposed to disclosable custodial credit risk.

Investments

The District’s investments as of June 30, 2017 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investments</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Credit Rating</th>
<th>June 30, 2017 Fair Value</th>
<th>12 Months or Less</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$ 3,238,149</td>
<td>$ 3,238,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market accounts held with financial institutions</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>10,995,538</td>
<td>10,995,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market accounts held-in-trust with debt trustee</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>23,766,583</td>
<td>23,766,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County Pooled Investment Fund (VCPIF)</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>AAA/S-1+</td>
<td>8,014,181</td>
<td>8,014,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total investments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 46,014,451</td>
<td>$ 46,014,451</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The District’s investments as of June 30, 2016 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investments</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Credit Rating</th>
<th>June 30, 2016 Fair Value</th>
<th>12 Months or Less</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$ 3,320,549</td>
<td>$ 3,320,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market accounts held with financial institutions</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>12,973,450</td>
<td>12,973,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County Pooled Investment Fund (VCPIF)</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>AAA/S-1+</td>
<td>8,071,970</td>
<td>8,071,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total investments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 24,365,969</td>
<td>$ 24,365,969</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authorized Investments and Investment Policy

The District has adopted an investment policy directing the Fiscal Officer to deposit funds in financial institutions.

Investments are to be made in the following areas:

**External Investment Pools:**
- California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)
- Ventura County Pooled Investment Fund (VCPIF)
- Non-negotiable certificates-of-deposit
- Governmental agency securities
Note 2 – Cash and Investments (Continued)

Investment in California – Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)

The District is a voluntary participant in LAIF which is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the District’s investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District’s pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis. Further information about LAIF is available on the California State Controller’s website: www.treasurer.ca.gov/pmia-laif/

The District’s investments with LAIF at June 30, 2017 and 2016 included a portion of the pool funds invested in Structured Notes and Asset-Backed Securities:

**Structured Notes:** debt securities (other than asset-backed securities) whose cash flow characteristics (coupon rate, redemption amount, or stated maturity) depend upon one or more indices and/or that have embedded forwards or options.

**Asset-Backed Securities:** generally mortgage-backed securities that entitle their purchasers to receive a share of the cash flows from a pool of assets such as principal and interest repayments from a pool of mortgages (for example, Collateralized Mortgage Obligations) or credit card receivables.

The District had $3,238,149 and $3,320,549 invested in LAIF, which had invested 0.00% and 2.81% of the pooled investment funds as of June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, respectively, in structured notes and medium-term asset-backed securities. The LAIF fair value factor of 0.998940671 and 1.000621222 was used to calculate the fair value of the investments in LAIF as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Ventura County Pooled Investment Fund (VCPIF)

The District is a voluntary participant in the VCPIF and the District determines the amount and term of its investment. The County Treasurer makes investments in accordance with a Statement of Investment Policy reviewed and approved annually by the Board of Supervisors. The Treasury Investment Oversight Committee comprised of the County Treasurer, a representative of the Board of Supervisors, the County Investment Manager, a representative of the County Superintendent of Schools and other Treasury Department support staff meets semi-annually to review the activities of the Treasurer and provide a report to the Board of Supervisors. Further information about the VCPIF is available on the Ventura County Treasurer-Tax Collector’s website: www.ventura.org/ltc/

The County’s Treasurer has indicated to the District that as of June 30, 2017 and 2016 that the value of the County’s portfolio was approximately $2.1 billion and $2.2 billion, respectively. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District has investment in the VCPIF $8,014,181 and $8,071,970, respectively. The VCPIF fair value factor of 1.00026119 and 1.00155537 was used to calculate the fair value of the investments in VCPIF as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Fair Value Measurement Input

The District categorizes its fair value measurement inputs within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The District has presented its measurement inputs as noted in the table above.
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Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District’s investment in the LAIF was not rated as noted in the table above.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the fair values of investments with longer maturities have greater sensitivity to changes in market interest rates. The District’s investment policy follows the Code as it relates to limits on investment maturities as a means of managing exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The District has elected to use the segmented time distribution method of disclosure for the maturities of its investments as related to interest rate risk as noted in the table above.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The District’s investment policy contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one governmental agency or non-governmental issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. There were no investments in any one governmental or non-governmental issuer that represented 5% or more of the District’s total investments except for those in LAIF or the VCIF.

Note 3 – Restricted Assets

Restricted assets as June 30 were classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restricted – cash and investments</td>
<td>$ 31,780,764</td>
<td>$ 8,071,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted – accrued interest receivable</td>
<td>$ 3,194</td>
<td>$ 15,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total restricted assets</strong></td>
<td>$ 31,814,958</td>
<td>$ 8,087,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Restricted assets as of June 30 consisted of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from local transportation funds for capital projects</td>
<td>$ 8,038,820</td>
<td>$ 8,087,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from debt issuance – capital project funds</td>
<td>22,040,124</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from debt issuance – debt reserve funds</td>
<td>1,387,547</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash transferred to debt repayment fund for July 1st payment</td>
<td>348,467</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total restricted assets</strong></td>
<td>$ 31,814,958</td>
<td>$ 8,087,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note 4 – Other Post-Employment Benefits Asset

The District provides retiree healthcare benefits for employees who retire with CalPERS pension benefits immediately upon retirement from the District. The District’s plan is a single-employer plan. Eligible retirees and dependents may elect lifetime coverage through the District’s healthcare plans. The District pays a portion of the cost of health insurance for retirees under any group plan offered by CalPERS, subject to certain restrictions as determined by the District. Retirees pay the portion of premium not paid by the District.

The District has elected to use the entry age normal cost method with unfunded liabilities amortized over 30 years, and continues to fund on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Funding Policy

The contribution requirements are established and amended by the District. The contribution is based on pay-as-you-go financing requirements. For the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District contributed $73,931 and $39,758, respectively, to the CERBT irrevocable trust and $14,345 and $12,191 for member expenses as the pay-as-you-go portion.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation/(Asset)

The District’s annual Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) cost is calculated based on the Annual Required Contribution of the Employer (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excesses) over a period not to exceed thirty years.

The following table shows the components of the District's Annual OPEB cost for the past two fiscal years ended June 30th, the amount actually contributed to the plan and the changes in the District's net OPEB asset:

Summary changes in net other post-employment benefits balances as of June 30 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual OPEB expense:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual required contribution (ARC)</td>
<td>$88,276</td>
<td>$85,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on net OPEB obligation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment to annual required contribution</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OPEB expense</td>
<td>88,276</td>
<td>85,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions made:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions</td>
<td>(88,276)</td>
<td>(51,949)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total contributions made</td>
<td>(88,276)</td>
<td>(51,949)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net OPEB payable obligation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net other post-employment benefits payable(assets):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(33,964)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of year</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The District’s annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the year ended June 30, 2017 plus the two preceding years were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Annual OPEB Cost</th>
<th>Contributions Made</th>
<th>Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed</th>
<th>Net OPEB Obligation Payable (Asset)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 30, 2017</td>
<td>$88,276</td>
<td>$88,276</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>85,913</td>
<td>51,949</td>
<td>60.47%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
<td>86,896</td>
<td>86,044</td>
<td>99.02%</td>
<td>(33,964)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funded Status and Funding Progress

As of July 1, 2015, the Actuarial Accrued Liability for benefits was $845,683, and the actuarial value of assets was $353,596, resulting in an UAAL of $492,087. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was $8,564,231 and the ratio of UAAL to the covered payroll was 5.75 percent.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as Required Supplementary Information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the Actuarial Accrued Liabilities for benefits.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and the plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

For July 1, 2016 actuarial valuation, the entry age normal cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions include a 7.0% discount rate, 2.75% inflation rate, and an annual healthcare cost trend rate of 4.0% per year. The UAAL is being amortized as level percentage of future payroll on a 30 year closed amortization period.
Note 5 – Capital Assets

During fiscal year 2017, the District added $9,027,260 in construction–in-process or depreciable capital asset additions. The changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2017 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Balance July 1, 2016</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Deletions/Transfers</th>
<th>Balance June 30, 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-depreciable assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$8,959,491</td>
<td>$21,570</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$8,981,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction-in-process</td>
<td>3,555,785</td>
<td>8,701,427</td>
<td>(2,784,519)</td>
<td>9,472,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total non-depreciable assets</strong></td>
<td>12,515,276</td>
<td>8,722,997</td>
<td>(2,784,519)</td>
<td>18,453,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depreciable assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue vehicles – fixed route</td>
<td>23,734,439</td>
<td>2,663,010</td>
<td>(1,826,864)</td>
<td>24,570,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>6,986,572</td>
<td>13,696</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7,000,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment and furniture</td>
<td>4,104,899</td>
<td>117,117</td>
<td>(297,896)</td>
<td>3,924,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>39,401</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit revenue vehicles</td>
<td>2,036,272</td>
<td>294,959</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,331,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit equipment</td>
<td>166,576</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>166,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total depreciable assets</strong></td>
<td>37,068,159</td>
<td>3,088,782</td>
<td>(2,124,760)</td>
<td>38,032,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated depreciation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue vehicles – fixed route</td>
<td>(14,064,606)</td>
<td>(2,028,051)</td>
<td>1,826,864</td>
<td>(14,265,793)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>(6,245,735)</td>
<td>(347,209)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(6,592,944)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment and furniture</td>
<td>(2,520,580)</td>
<td>(353,180)</td>
<td>297,896</td>
<td>(2,575,864)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>(39,401)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(39,401)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit revenue vehicles</td>
<td>(1,607,846)</td>
<td>(190,740)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,798,586)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit equipment</td>
<td>(166,576)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(166,576)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total depreciation</strong></td>
<td>(24,644,744)</td>
<td>(2,919,180)</td>
<td>2,124,760</td>
<td>(25,439,164)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total depreciable assets</strong></td>
<td>12,423,415</td>
<td>169,602</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12,593,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total capital assets, net</strong></td>
<td>$24,938,691</td>
<td>$8,892,599</td>
<td>(2,784,519)</td>
<td>$31,046,771</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2017, the District capitalized $348,467 in interest expense to construction-in-process under the construction period of the District’s new operations and maintenance facility.
Gold Coast Transit District
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For the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

Note 5 – Capital Assets

During fiscal year 2017, the District added $5,407,227 in construction–in-process or depreciable capital asset additions. The changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2017 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Deletions/Transfers</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July 1, 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-depreciable assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$ 8,959,491</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 8,959,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction-in-process</td>
<td>3,042,653</td>
<td>5,087,497</td>
<td>(4,574,365)</td>
<td>3,555,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total non-depreciable assets</td>
<td>12,002,144</td>
<td>5,087,497</td>
<td>(4,574,365)</td>
<td>12,515,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciable assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue vehicles – fixed route</td>
<td>21,542,653</td>
<td>4,183,159</td>
<td>(1,991,373)</td>
<td>23,734,439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>6,752,515</td>
<td>234,057</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,986,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment and furniture</td>
<td>3,993,842</td>
<td>157,149</td>
<td>(46,092)</td>
<td>4,104,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>39,401</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit revenue vehicles</td>
<td>2,476,511</td>
<td>319,730</td>
<td>(759,969)</td>
<td>2,036,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit equipment</td>
<td>166,576</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>166,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total depreciable assets</td>
<td>34,971,498</td>
<td>4,894,095</td>
<td>(2,797,434)</td>
<td>37,068,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue vehicles – fixed route</td>
<td>(14,191,909)</td>
<td>(1,864,070)</td>
<td>1,991,373</td>
<td>(14,064,606)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>(5,745,293)</td>
<td>(500,442)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(6,245,735)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment and furniture</td>
<td>(2,235,112)</td>
<td>(331,560)</td>
<td>46,092</td>
<td>(2,520,580)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>(39,401)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(39,401)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit revenue vehicles</td>
<td>(2,220,253)</td>
<td>(147,562)</td>
<td>759,969</td>
<td>(1,607,846)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit equipment</td>
<td>(166,576)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(166,576)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total depreciation</td>
<td>(24,598,544)</td>
<td>(2,843,634)</td>
<td>2,797,434</td>
<td>(24,644,744)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total depreciable assets</td>
<td>10,372,954</td>
<td>2,050,461</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,423,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital assets, net</td>
<td>$ 22,375,098</td>
<td>$ 7,137,958</td>
<td>(4,574,365)</td>
<td>$ 24,938,691</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 6 – Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

Accounts payable and accrued expenses balance consists of the following amounts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$ 2,972,321</td>
<td>$ 3,327,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued wages and benefits</td>
<td>387,179</td>
<td>341,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 3,359,500</td>
<td>$ 3,668,231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note 7 – Unearned – Local Transportation Funding

In accordance with Transportation Development Act statutes and the California Code of Regulations, Title 21, Chapter 3, Subchapter 2, Article 5, Section 6649(b), Local Transportation Funds (LTF) received for operating assistance in excess of the amount that the District is eligible to receive is recorded as an unearned revenue and is to be recognized as revenue and a reduction of eligible LTF during the following fiscal years.

Unearned – Local Transportation Funding for the year ended June 30, 2017:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Received</th>
<th>Amount Authorized</th>
<th>Unearned LTF Amount</th>
<th>Year to be Recognized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-2016</td>
<td>$12,680,981</td>
<td>$2,176,755</td>
<td>2017-2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-2017</td>
<td>$13,084,568</td>
<td>$3,110,625</td>
<td>2018-2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,287,380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unearned – Local Transportation Funding for the year ended June 30, 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Received</th>
<th>Amount Authorized</th>
<th>Unearned LTF Amount</th>
<th>Year to be Recognized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-2016</td>
<td>$12,680,981</td>
<td>$2,176,755</td>
<td>2017-2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,790,964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 8 – Compensated Absences

Compensated absences comprise unpaid vacation leave, sick leave and compensating time off which is accrued as earned. The District’s liability for compensated absences is determined annually. Changes in the compensated absences balance for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$760,946</td>
<td>$936,544</td>
<td>$(913,737)</td>
<td>$783,753</td>
<td>$391,876</td>
<td>$391,877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$637,960</td>
<td>$886,523</td>
<td>$(763,537)</td>
<td>$760,946</td>
<td>$380,473</td>
<td>$380,473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note 9 – Certificates-of-Participation

On March 2, 2017, the District issued Series 2017 Certificates-of-Participation (2017 COPs) in the par amount of $22,000,000 for the construction of its new operations and maintenance facility. The 2017 COPs were issued with coupon interest rates ranging between 4.00% to 5.25% and a net premium on the issuance of $1,716,093 which is being amortized over the life of the debt service. The 2017 COPs are scheduled to mature on July 1, 2047. Interest payments are due on July 1st and January 1st while principal payments ranging between $340,000 to $1,350,000 are due on July 1st each year.

Changes in the certificates-of-participation balance for the year were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$875,561</td>
<td>$875,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>340,000</td>
<td>1,045,688</td>
<td>1,385,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>355,000</td>
<td>1,028,313</td>
<td>1,383,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>375,000</td>
<td>1,010,062</td>
<td>1,385,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>390,000</td>
<td>990,938</td>
<td>1,380,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023-2027</td>
<td>2,270,000</td>
<td>4,646,562</td>
<td>6,916,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028-2032</td>
<td>2,905,000</td>
<td>4,014,156</td>
<td>6,919,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2033-2037</td>
<td>3,655,000</td>
<td>3,263,350</td>
<td>6,918,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2038-2042</td>
<td>4,540,000</td>
<td>2,377,875</td>
<td>6,917,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2043-2047</td>
<td>5,820,000</td>
<td>1,094,250</td>
<td>6,914,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>1,350,000</td>
<td>33,750</td>
<td>1,383,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$22,000,000</td>
<td>$20,380,505</td>
<td>$42,380,505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note 10 – Net Pension Liability and the Defined Benefit Pension Plan

Changes in the net pension liability and related accounts for the year ended June 30, 2017, was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Account</th>
<th>Balance as of</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Deletions</th>
<th>Balance as of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July 1, 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>June 30, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Outflows of Resources:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer contributions to pension plan made after the measurement date:</td>
<td>$1,585,400</td>
<td>$1,653,604</td>
<td>$(1,585,400)</td>
<td>$1,653,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments:</td>
<td>$1,476,766</td>
<td>$2,530,532</td>
<td>$(875,298)</td>
<td>3,132,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred outflows of resources</td>
<td>$3,062,166</td>
<td>$4,184,136</td>
<td>$(2,460,698)</td>
<td>4,785,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Pension Liability:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalPERS – Miscellaneous Plan</td>
<td>8,385,297</td>
<td>3,744,013</td>
<td>$(1,585,400)</td>
<td>10,543,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net pension liability</td>
<td>8,385,297</td>
<td>3,744,013</td>
<td>$(1,585,400)</td>
<td>10,543,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Inflows of Resources:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments:</td>
<td>$1,753,750</td>
<td>$1,845,958</td>
<td>$(369,192)</td>
<td>1,476,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in assumptions</td>
<td>561,771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>380,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between projected and actual experience</td>
<td>469,732</td>
<td>243,014</td>
<td>$(217,206)</td>
<td>495,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred inflows of resources</td>
<td>2,785,253</td>
<td>243,014</td>
<td>$(983,006)</td>
<td>2,045,261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in the net pension liability and related accounts for the year ended June 30, 2016, was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Account</th>
<th>Balance as of</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Deletions</th>
<th>Balance as of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July 1, 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Outflows of Resources:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer contributions to pension plan made after the measurement date:</td>
<td>$1,301,199</td>
<td>$1,585,400</td>
<td>$(1,301,199)</td>
<td>1,585,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments:</td>
<td>$1,845,958</td>
<td>$(369,192)</td>
<td>$1,476,766</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred outflows of resources</td>
<td>1,301,199</td>
<td>3,431,358</td>
<td>$(1,670,391)</td>
<td>3,062,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Pension Liability:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalPERS – Miscellaneous Plan</td>
<td>7,776,333</td>
<td>608,964</td>
<td>$(1,753,750)</td>
<td>8,385,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net pension liability</td>
<td>7,776,333</td>
<td>608,964</td>
<td>$(1,753,750)</td>
<td>8,385,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Inflows of Resources:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments:</td>
<td>$2,338,334</td>
<td>$742,987</td>
<td>$(181,216)</td>
<td>561,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in assumptions</td>
<td>742,987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>561,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between projected and actual experience</td>
<td>621,259</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>469,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred inflows of resources</td>
<td>2,338,334</td>
<td>1,364,246</td>
<td>$(917,327)</td>
<td>2,785,253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Note 10 – Net Pension Liability and the Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

General Information about the Pension Plans

Plan Description

The District contributes to the California Public Employees’ Retirement System (CalPERS), an agent multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plan. CalPERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. Benefit provisions under the Plan is established by State statute and Local Government resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plan regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website or may be obtained from their executive office: 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814. This report and CalPERS’ audited financial statements are publicly available reports that can be obtained at CalPERS’ website under Forms and Publications.

Benefits Provided

CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: The Basic Death Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees’ Retirement Law.

On September 12, 2012, the California Governor signed the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA) into law. PEPRA took effect January 1, 2013. The new legislation closed the District’s CalPERS 2.7% at 55 Risk Pool Retirement Plan to new employee entrants effective December 31, 2012. For employees hired on or after January 1, 2013, who are current members of CalPERS or a reciprocal agency as of December 31, 2012 and have not been separated from service from such agency for more than six months, the retirement benefit is 2.7% @ 55 years of age; highest annual average compensation during any consecutive 36-month period (3-year final compensation). All other employees hired on or after January 1, 2013, the retirement benefit is 2.0% @ 62 years of age; 3 year final compensation.

However, California Assembly Bill (AB) 1222 (Chapter 527, Statutes 2013) was signed by Governor Brown on Friday, October 4, 2013. This bill exempted California transit employees of public employers from all of the provisions of the Public Employees’ Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA), until January 1, 2015, or until a court determined that the provisions of PEPRA do not violate specified federal transit labor laws, whichever is sooner. This legislation allowed for a PEPRA exemption for eligible transit employees from public agencies subject to Section 13(c) of the Federal Transit Act.

The eventual decision in the State of California v. United States Department of Labor (E.D.Cal. Dec. 30, 2014, Civ. No. 2:13-cv-2069 KJM DAD) ended the exemption from the Public Employees’ Pension Reform Act (PEPRA) for transit workers resulting from AB 1222 (codified in Gov’t Code Section 7522.02, subsection (a)(3)).

In its December 30, 2014, decision, the court concluded that the U. S. Department of Labor erred in determining that PEPRA prevented certification under Section 13(c) of the Uniform Mass Transportation Act. Under Section 7522.02(a)(3)(A), the court’s decision triggers the end of the exemption.

All transit employees with appointments starting on or after January 1, 2013 through December 29, 2014, were to retain their classic retirement benefits for that period of time. CalPERS created new transit employee PEPRA appointments using a December 30, 2014 effective date for those employees. All new members hired on or after December 30, 2014, will be subject to PEPRA retirement benefits.
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Note 10 – Net Pension Liability and the Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)  

General Information about the Pension Plan (Continued)  

The District has engaged with CalPERS to administer the following pension plans for its employees (members).  

The Plans’ provision and benefits in effect at June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Measurement Dates) are summarized as follows:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1 Prior to January 1, 2013</th>
<th>Tier 2 On or after January 1, 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefit formula</td>
<td>2.7% @ 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit vesting schedule</td>
<td>5-years or service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits payments</td>
<td>monthly for life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement age</td>
<td>50 - 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation</td>
<td>2.0% to 2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required employee contribution rates</td>
<td>8.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required employer contribution rates – 2016</td>
<td>17.048%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required employer contribution rates – 2015</td>
<td>15.721%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contributions  

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees’ Retirement Law (PERL) requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. The total plan contributions are determined through CalPERS’ annual actuarial valuation process. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The employer is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.  

For the measurement period ended June 30, 2016 (the measurement date), the average active employee contribution rate is 8.00 percent of annual pay, and the employer’s contribution rate is 17.048 percent of annual payroll.  

For the measurement period ended June 30, 2015 (the measurement date), the average active employee contribution rate is 8.00 percent of annual pay, and the employer’s contribution rate is 15.721 percent of annual payroll.  

Employer contribution rates may change if plan contracts are amended. It is the responsibility of the employer to make necessary accounting adjustments to reflect the impact due to any Employer Paid Member Contributions or situations where members are paying a portion of the employer contribution.  

As of the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 the contributions for the Plan were as follows:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contributions – employer</td>
<td>$1,653,604</td>
<td>$1,585,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions – employee member</td>
<td>$725,115</td>
<td>$731,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2,378,719</td>
<td>$2,316,997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Note 10 – Net Pension Liability and the Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)  

Net Pension Liability  

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Total Pension Liability  

For the measurement period ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the total pension liability was determined by rolling forward the June 30, 2015 and 2014 total pension liability, respectively. The June 30, 2016 and the June 30, 2015 total pension liabilities were based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actuarial Cost Method</th>
<th>Actuarial Assumptions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entry Age Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discount Rate  

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Measurement Date) was 7.65 percent. The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. In determining the long-term expected rate of return, both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows were considered. Such cash flows were developed assuming that both members and employers will make their required contributions on time and as scheduled in all future years.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.
Note 10 – Net Pension Liability and the Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

Net Pension Liability (Continued)

Discount Rate (Continued)

The table below reflects long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These geometric rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Type</th>
<th>New Strategic Allocation</th>
<th>Real Return Years 1 - 10</th>
<th>Real Return Years 11+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Equity</td>
<td>51.00%</td>
<td>5.25%</td>
<td>5.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Fixed Income</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
<td>2.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation Sensitive</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>6.83%</td>
<td>6.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
<td>5.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure and Forestland</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
<td>5.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidity</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>-0.55%</td>
<td>-1.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 An expected inflation of 2.5% and 3.0% used for Years 1-10 and Years 11+, respectively.

Changes in the Net Pension Liability

The following table shows the changes in net pension liability recognized over the year ended June 30, 2016 measurement period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Miscellaneous Plan</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
<th>Total Pension Liability (a)</th>
<th>Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)</th>
<th>Net Pension Liability/(Asset) (c) = (a) - (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Balance at June 30, 2015 (Valuation Date)</td>
<td>$ 43,558,183</td>
<td>$ 35,172,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Changes Recognized for the Measurement Period:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,569,279</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,569,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on the total pension liability</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,299,586</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,299,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes of benefit terms</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference between expected and actual experience</td>
<td></td>
<td>(243,014)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(243,014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes of assumptions</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions from the employer</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,585,400</td>
<td>(1,585,400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions from employees</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>731,597</td>
<td>(731,597)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment income, net of administrative expense</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>171,677</td>
<td>(171,677)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1,935,932)</td>
<td>(1,935,932)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(21,436)</td>
<td>21,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Changes during July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,689,919</td>
<td>531,306</td>
<td>2,158,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at June 30, 2016 (Measurement Date)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 46,248,102</td>
<td>$ 35,704,192</td>
<td>$ 10,543,910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Note 10 – Net Pension Liability and the Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

Changes in the Net Pension Liability (Continued)

The following table shows the changes in net pension liability recognized over the year ended June 30, 2015 measurement period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Miscellaneous Plan</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
<th>Total Pension Liability (a)</th>
<th>Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)</th>
<th>Net Pension Liability/(Asset) (c) = (a) - (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at June 30, 2014 (Valuation Date)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 42,157,692</td>
<td>$ 34,381,359</td>
<td>$ 7,776,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes Recognized for the Measurement Period:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Cost</td>
<td>1,569,756</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,569,756</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on the total pension liability</td>
<td>3,107,585</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,107,585</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes of benefit terms</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference between expected and actual experience</td>
<td>(621,259)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(621,259)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes of assumptions</td>
<td>(742,987)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(742,987)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions from the employer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,301,520</td>
<td>(1,301,520)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions from employees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>660,103</td>
<td>(660,103)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment income, net of administrative expense</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>782,090</td>
<td>(782,090)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,912,604)</td>
<td>(1,912,604)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative expenses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(39,582)</td>
<td>39,582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Changes during July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,400,491</td>
<td>791,527</td>
<td>608,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at June 30, 2015 (Measurement Date)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 43,558,183</td>
<td>$ 35,172,886</td>
<td>$ 8,385,297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

For the measurement period ending June 30, 2016, the following presents the net pension liability of the Plan, calculated using the discount rate of 7.65%, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower (6.65%) or 1 percentage-point higher (8.65%) than the current rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discount Rate - 1% (6.65%)</th>
<th>Current Discount Rate (7.65%)</th>
<th>Discount Rate + 1% (8.65%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 16,558,924</td>
<td>$ 10,543,910</td>
<td>$ 5,551,157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the measurement period ending June 30, 2015, the following presents the net pension liability of the Plan, calculated using the discount rate of 7.65%, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower (6.65%) or 1 percentage-point higher (8.65%) than the current rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discount Rate - 1% (6.65%)</th>
<th>Current Discount Rate (7.65%)</th>
<th>Discount Rate + 1% (8.65%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 14,127,014</td>
<td>$ 8,385,297</td>
<td>$ 3,623,694</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note 10 – Net Pension Liability and the Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the plan’s fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial report.

Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

For the measurement period ending June 30, 2016, the District incurred a pension expense (income) of $1,348,787 for the miscellaneous plan.

As of measurement date of June 30, 2016, the District has deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Description</th>
<th>Deferred Outflows of Resources</th>
<th>Deferred Inflows of Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employer contributions to pension plan made after the measurement date</td>
<td>$1,653,604</td>
<td>-16 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments</td>
<td>3,132,000</td>
<td>1,169,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in assumptions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>380,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between projected and actual experience</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>495,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources</strong></td>
<td>$4,785,604</td>
<td>$2,045,261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The employer contribution of $1,653,604 will be amortized in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018.

For the measurement period ending June 30, 2015, the District incurred a pension expense (income) of $880,637 for the miscellaneous plan.

As of measurement date of June 30, 2015, the District has deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Description</th>
<th>Deferred Outflows of Resources</th>
<th>Deferred Inflows of Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employer contributions to pension plan made after the measurement date</td>
<td>$1,585,400</td>
<td>-16 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments</td>
<td>1,476,766</td>
<td>1,753,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in assumptions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>561,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between projected and actual experience</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>469,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources</strong></td>
<td>$3,062,166</td>
<td>$2,785,253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The employer contribution of $1,585,400 will be amortized in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.
Note 10 – Net Pension Liability and the Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (Continued)

The amortization period differs depending on the source of the gain or loss. The difference between projected and actual earnings is amortized over 5-years straight line. All other amounts are amortized straight-line over the average expected remaining service lives of all members that are provided with benefits (active, inactive and retired) as of the beginning of the measurement period.

The expected average remaining service lifetime (“EARSL”) is calculated by dividing the total future service years by the total number of plan participants (active, inactive, and retired). The EARSL for the Plan for the 2015-16 and 2014-15 measurement periods is 4.2 years, which was obtained by dividing the total service years of 1,577 (the sum of remaining service lifetimes of the active employees) by 377 (the total number of participants: active, inactive, and retired). Note that inactive employees and retirees have remaining service lifetimes equal to 0. Also note that total future service is based on the members’ probability of decrementing due to an event other than receiving a cash refund.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, other amounts reported as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in future pension expense as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amortization Period Fiscal Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$ (107,708)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>(107,706)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>796,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>506,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 1,086,739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, other amounts reported as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in future pension expense as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amortization Period Fiscal Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ (548,135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>(548,135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>(548,133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>335,916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ (1,308,487)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Note 11 – Net Investment in Capital Assets

The net investment in capital assets balance consisted of the following balances:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from debt issuance – capital project funds</td>
<td>$22,040,124</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets – not being depreciated</td>
<td>18,453,754</td>
<td>12,515,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets, net – being depreciated</td>
<td>12,593,017</td>
<td>12,423,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificates-of-participation</td>
<td>($23,697,220)</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total net investment in capital assets</strong></td>
<td>$29,389,675</td>
<td>$24,938,691</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Note 12 – Restricted Net Position

Local Transportation Funds granted for operating assistance, but are to be used, to purchase new buses, fareboxes, coach equipment, facility and other improvements as part of a service expansion program and related interest earnings included in restricted net position at June 30, 2017 and 2016, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beginning of year</strong></td>
<td>$8,087,811</td>
<td>$6,562,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local transportation funding</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>1,607,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member transit facility capital grant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local capital grants – interest earned</td>
<td>50,162</td>
<td>35,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market valuation of investment</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deletions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market valuation of investment</td>
<td>(7,896)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital acquisitions</td>
<td>(341,257)</td>
<td>(183,248)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in restricted for capital acquisitions</strong></td>
<td>(48,991)</td>
<td>1,525,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of year</strong></td>
<td>$8,038,820</td>
<td>$8,087,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Note 13 – Unrestricted (Deficit) Net Position

As of June 30, 2017, the District has an unrestricted net position deficit of ($7,948,780). Due to the nature of the deficit from the net pension liability of $10,543,910, the District will continue to make its annual required contributions to CalPERS and annually review its outstanding net pension obligation funding requirements for future periods to reduce the deficit position.

## Note 14 – State Transit Assistance (STA) Funding

STA funding comes from the Public Transportation Act (PTA) which derives its revenue from the state sales tax on gasoline. These funds are designated as discretionary or formula. The former is appropriated by the legislature. The latter is a formula based upon population and fares generated. The District utilizes STA funding to fund a combination of operations and capital asset purchases. The STA funding was utilized by the District as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State transit assistance revenue received to fund operations</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$184,928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note 15 – Other State Assistance

Proposition 1B Grant (Prop. 1B)

The California Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement and Service Enhancement Act of 2006, approved by the voters as Proposition 1B (Prop. 1B) in November 2006, authorized the issuance of $19.9 billion in general obligation bonds for the purpose of improving highway safety, traffic reduction, air quality, and port security. The District utilizes this funding for various operating capital asset projects.

Public Transportation Modernization Improvement and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

In November 2006, California Voters passed a bond measure enacting the Highway Safety, Traffic reduction, Air Quality and Port Security Bond Act of 2006. Of the $19.925 billion of state general obligation bonds authorized, $4 billion was set aside by the State as instructed by statute as the Public Transportation Modernization Improvement and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA). These funds are available to the California Department of Transportation for intercity rail projects and to transit operators in California for rehabilitation, safety or modernization improvements, capital service enhancements or expansions, new capital projects, bus rapid transit improvements or for rolling stock procurement, rehabilitation or replacement. The District utilizes this funding for various operating capital asset projects.

Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP)

The Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) is one of several programs that are part of the Transit, Affordable Housing, and Sustainable Communities Program established by the California Legislature in 2014 by Senate Bill 862. The LCTOP was created to provide operating and capital assistance for transit agencies to reduce greenhouse gas emission and improve mobility, with a priority on serving disadvantaged communities. Approved projects in LCTOP will support new or expanded bus or rail services, expand intermodal transit facilities, and may include equipment acquisition, fueling, maintenance and other costs to operate those services or facilities, with each project reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Senate Bill 862 continuously appropriates five percent of the annual auction proceeds in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund for LCTOP, beginning in fiscal year 2016-16. The District requested and received funding for a project in the year ended June 30, 2016.

Other State Assistance received and utilized for the year ended June 30, 2017 was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Prop. 1B Grant</th>
<th>PTMISEA</th>
<th>LCTOP</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning net position – July 1, 2016</td>
<td>$ 454,017</td>
<td>$ 10,367,077</td>
<td>$ 40,703</td>
<td>$ 10,861,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds received</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,737,799</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,737,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets program purchases:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New facility</td>
<td>(324,975)</td>
<td>(730,224)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,055,199)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement buses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,662,491)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,662,491)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement paratransit buses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(310,494)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(310,494)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital asset program purchases</td>
<td>(324,975)</td>
<td>(2,703,209)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(3,028,184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment earnings allocated</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>4,715</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net position</td>
<td>(324,759)</td>
<td>(960,695)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>(1,285,423)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending net position – June 30, 2017</td>
<td>$ 129,258</td>
<td>$ 9,406,382</td>
<td>$ 40,734</td>
<td>$ 9,576,374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note 15 – Other State Assistance (Continued)

Other State Assistance received and utilized for the year ended June 30, 2016 was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Prop. 1B Grant Fund</th>
<th>Prop. 1B Grant</th>
<th>PTMISEA</th>
<th>LCTOP</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning net position – July 1, 2015</td>
<td>$285,164</td>
<td>$7,942,489</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$8,227,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds received</td>
<td>322,025</td>
<td>4,604,542</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40,701</td>
<td>4,967,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets program purchases:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New facility</td>
<td>(73,439)</td>
<td>(14,372)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(87,811)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety and security upgrades/remodel</td>
<td>(80,186)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(80,186)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement buses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,907,462)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,907,462)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement paratransit buses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(262,415)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(262,415)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital asset program purchases</td>
<td>(153,625)</td>
<td>(2,184,249)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(2,337,874)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations purchases:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory items for replacement buses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(4,043)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(4,043)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment earnings allocated</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>8,338</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net position</td>
<td>168,853</td>
<td>2,424,588</td>
<td>40,703</td>
<td>2,634,144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending net position – June 30, 2016</td>
<td>$454,017</td>
<td>$10,367,077</td>
<td>$40,703</td>
<td>$10,861,797</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 16 – Deferred Compensation Savings Plan

For the benefit of its employees, the District participates in three 457 Deferred Compensation Programs (Programs). The purpose of these Programs is to provide deferred compensation for public employees that elect to participate in the Programs. Generally, eligible employees may defer receipt of a portion of their salary until termination, retirement, death or unforeseeable emergency. Until the funds are paid or otherwise made available to the employee, the employee is not obligated to report the deferred salary for income tax purposes.

Federal law requires deferred compensation assets to be held in trust for the exclusive benefit of the participants. Accordingly, the District is in compliance with this legislation. Therefore, these assets are not the legal property of the District, and are not subject to claims of the District’s general creditors. Market value of the plan assets held with the trustees is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nationwide</td>
<td>$2,362,397</td>
<td>$1,952,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Mutual</td>
<td>762,578</td>
<td>677,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICMA Retirement Corp</td>
<td>706,602</td>
<td>665,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,831,577</td>
<td>$3,294,925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The District has implemented GASB Statement No. 32, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Internal Revenue Code Section 457 Deferred Compensation Plans. Since the District has little administrative involvement and does not perform the investing function for this plan, the assets and related liabilities are not presented in the accompanying financial statements.
Note 17 – Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District has purchased various insurance policies to manage the potential liabilities that may occur from the previously named sources.

The District participates in the California Transit Indemnity Pool (CalTIP), a joint powers agency created to provide liability and physical damage insurance to its members through an insurance pool. The District holds property insurance and general and automotive liability with CalTIP up to $25 million on liability with a $25,000 self-insurance retention.

The District purchases blanket insurance coverage from commercial brokers for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insurance coverage limits:</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNG fueling station</td>
<td>$3,943,100</td>
<td>$3,943,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings and structures</td>
<td>3,156,030</td>
<td>3,156,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business and property</td>
<td>2,543,205</td>
<td>2,543,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiler and machinery</td>
<td>8,957,600</td>
<td>8,957,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The District’s employee practices liability insurance coverage is $2.0 million and handled through Navigators Insurance. Also, the District participates in the California State Association of Counties Excess Insurance Authority (CSAC-EIA) a joint powers agency created to provide workers’ compensation insurance to its members through a risk retention insurance pool. The District holds workers’ compensation insurance coverage with CSAC-EIA up to statutory limits. Some of the above insurance policies are subject to various deductibles.

Settled claims have not exceeded any of the coverage amounts in any of the last five fiscal years and there were no reductions in the District’s insurance coverage during those years. Liabilities are recorded when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated net of the respective insurance coverage. Liabilities include an amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR).

Note 18 – Commitments

Operating Lease

In fiscal year 2017, the District finalized a 10-year extension of the Oxnard Transit Center lease that commenced on January 1, 2017. Future estimated lease payments are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Estimated Rent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$11,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>12,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>12,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>13,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>13,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>13,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>14,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>14,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>7,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$125,918</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Litigation

In the ordinary course of operations, the District is subject to claims and litigation from outside parties. After consultation with legal counsel, the District believes the ultimate outcome of such matters, if any, will not materially affect its financial condition.

Grant Funding

Grant funds received by the District are subject to review by the grantor agencies. Such audit could lead to requests for reimbursements to the grantor agencies for expenditures disallowed under terms of the grant. The management of the District believes that such disallowances, if any, would not be significant.

Operating Fare Revenue Ratio

The District is required to maintain a ratio of fares to operating costs of at least 20% for either the combined service of fixed route and paratransit service or meeting the goals separately (i.e. 20% for fixed route and 10% for paratransit service) to continue to be eligible for Local Transportation Funds. For the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District met this requirement with fares to operating costs ratio of combined service of 20.7% and 23.7%, respectively.
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
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### Gold Coast Transit District

**Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited)**

**Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios**

**June 30, 2017 and 2016**

#### Last Ten Fiscal Years

| California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) - Miscellaneous Plan |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Measurement period** | **2015-16** | **2014-15** | **2013-14** |
| **Total pension liability** | | | |
| Service cost | 1,569,279 | 1,569,756 | 1,439,195 |
| Interest | 3,299,586 | 3,107,585 | 2,955,928 |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | (243,014) | (621,259) | - |
| Changes of assumptions | - | (742,987) | - |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions | (1,935,932) | (1,912,604) | (1,860,423) |
| **Net change in total pension liability** | 2,689,919 | 1,400,491 | 2,534,700 |
| **Total pension liability - beginning** | 43,558,183 | 42,157,692 | 39,622,992 |
| **Total pension liability - ending (a)** | 46,248,102 | 43,558,183 | 42,157,692 |
| **Pension fiduciary net position** | | | |
| Contributions - employer | 1,585,400 | 1,301,520 | 1,192,180 |
| Contributions - employee | 731,597 | 660,103 | 629,617 |
| Net investment income | 171,677 | 782,090 | 5,116,686 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions | (1,935,932) | (1,912,604) | (1,860,423) |
| Administrative expense | (21,436) | (39,582) | - |
| **Net change in plan fiduciary net position** | 531,306 | 791,527 | 5,078,060 |
| **Plan fiduciary net position - beginning** | 35,172,886 | 34,381,359 | 29,303,299 |
| **Plan fiduciary net position - ending (b)** | 35,704,192 | 35,172,886 | 34,381,359 |
| **Plan net pension liability - ending (a) - (b)** | 10,543,910 | 8,385,297 | 7,776,333 |
| **Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability** | 77.20% | 80.75% | 81.55% |
| **Covered-employee payroll** | 9,268,128 | 8,714,571 | 7,827,241 |
| **Plan net pension liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll** | 113.77% | 96.22% | 99.35% |

*1 Covered-Employee Payroll presented above is based on pensionable earnings provided by the employer. However, GASB 68 defines covered-employee payroll as the total payroll of employees that are provided pensions through the pension plan. Accordingly, if pensionable earnings are different than total earnings for covered-employees, the employer should display in the disclosure footnotes the payroll based on total earnings for the covered group and recalculate the required payroll-related ratios.*

#### Notes to Schedule:

- **Benefit Changes:** The figures above do not include any liability impact that may have resulted from plan changes which occurred after June 30, 2014. This applies for voluntary benefit changes as well as any offers of Two Years Additional Service Credit (a.k.a. Golden Handshakes).
- **Changes of Assumptions:** The discount rate was changed from 7.5 percent (net of administrative expense) to 7.65 percent.
### Last Ten Fiscal Years

#### California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) - Miscellaneous Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016-17&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2015-16&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2014-15&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2013-14&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actuarially determined contribution</td>
<td>$1,653,604</td>
<td>$1,585,400</td>
<td>$1,301,199</td>
<td>$1,192,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(1,653,604)</td>
<td>(1,585,400)</td>
<td>(1,301,199)</td>
<td>(1,192,180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution deficiency (excess)</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered-employee payroll&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$10,040,567</td>
<td>$9,268,128</td>
<td>$8,714,571</td>
<td>$7,827,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.47%</td>
<td>17.11%</td>
<td>14.93%</td>
<td>15.23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Historical information is required only for measurement periods for which GASB 68 is applicable.

<sup>2</sup> Employers are assumed to make contributions equal to the actuarially determined contributions. However, some employers may choose to make additional contributions towards their unfunded liability. Employer contributions for such plans exceed the actuarially determined contributions.

<sup>3</sup> Covered-Employee Payroll represented above is based on pensionable earnings provided by the employer. However, GASB 68 defines covered-employee payroll as the total payroll of employees that are provided pensions through the pension plan. Accordingly, if pensionable earnings are different than total earnings for covered-employees, the employer should display in the disclosure footnotes the payroll based on total earnings for the covered group and recalculate the required payroll-related ratios.
### Gold Coast Transit District

**Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited)**

**Schedule of Funding Progress – Other Post-Employment Benefits Plan**

**June 30, 2017 and 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actuarial Valuation Date</th>
<th>Actuarial Value of Plan Assets (a)</th>
<th>Actuarial Accrued Liability (b)</th>
<th>Unfunded Accrued Liability (UAAL) (b-a)</th>
<th>Actuarial Accrued Liability Percentage (a/b)</th>
<th>Funded Payroll (c)</th>
<th>Covered Payroll (b-a/c)</th>
<th>UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 2015</td>
<td>$353,596</td>
<td>$845,683</td>
<td>$492,087</td>
<td>41.81%</td>
<td>$8,564,231</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 2013</td>
<td>170,963</td>
<td>661,878</td>
<td>490,915</td>
<td>25.83%</td>
<td>8,341,676</td>
<td>5.89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 2011</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,197,250</td>
<td>1,197,250</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>7,737,267</td>
<td>15.47%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

Funding progress is presented for the year(s) that an actuarial study has been prepared since the effective date of GASB Statement 45. Actuarial review and analysis of the post-employment benefits liability and funding status is performed every three years or annually, if there are significant changes in the plan. The next scheduled actuarial review and analysis of the post-employment benefits liability and funding status will be performed in fiscal year 2018, based on the year ending June 30, 2017, since the District is funding its irrevocable trust as required by CalPERS.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
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## Local Transportation Funding:  

**Beginning balance:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liability:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unearned local transportation funding – June 30, 2016</td>
<td>$ 5,790,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Position:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted for capital acquisitions – June 30, 2016</td>
<td>$ 8,087,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total beginning balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 13,878,775</td>
<td>$ 13,878,775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Current year operating revenue:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local transportation funding</td>
<td>$ 13,084,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal year 2014-2015 unearned local transportation funding portion recognized as revenue</td>
<td>$ 3,614,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal year 2016-2017 unearned local transportation funding portion</td>
<td>(3,110,625)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal year 2016-2017 local transportation funding recognized as a local capital grant</td>
<td>(250,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fiscal year 2016-2017 local transportation funds revenue recognized

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 13,338,152</td>
<td>(13,338,152)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Current year capital revenue:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local capital grants – interest earnings</td>
<td>$ 50,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local capital grants – market valuation of investment</td>
<td>(7,896)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fiscal year 20146-2017 local capital grants revenue recognized

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 292,266</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Current year capital acquisitions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital acquisitions – current year use of local transportation funds</td>
<td>(341,257)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total ending balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ (341,257)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ending balance:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liability:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unearned – local transportation funding – June 30, 2017</td>
<td>$ 5,287,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Position:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted for capital acquisitions – June 30, 2017</td>
<td>$ 8,038,820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total ending balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 13,326,200</td>
<td>$ 13,326,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Local Transportation Funding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unearned local transportation funding – June 30, 2015</td>
<td>$5,368,692</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Position</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restricted for capital acquisitions – June 30, 2015</td>
<td>$6,562,550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total beginning balance** $11,931,242

**Current year operating revenue:**

| Fiscal year 2015-2016 unearned local transportation funding portion recognized as revenue | $1,754,483 |
| Fiscal year 2015-2016 unearned local transportation funding portion | (2,176,755) |
| Fiscal year 2015-2016 local transportation funding recognized as a local capital grant | (1,607,000) |
| Fiscal year 2015-2016 local transportation funding recognized as a member transit facility capital grant | (50,000) |

**Fiscal year 2015-2016 local transportation funds revenue recognized** $10,601,709

**Current year capital revenue:**

| Fiscal year 2015-2016 local transportation funding recognized as a local capital grant | $1,607,000 |
| Fiscal year 2015-2016 local transportation funding recognized as a member transit facility capital grant | 50,000 |
| Local capital grants – interest earnings | 35,945 | 35,945 |
| Local capital grants – market valuation of investment | 15,564 | 15,564 |

**Fiscal year 2015-2016 local capital grants revenue recognized** $1,708,509

**Current year capital acquisitions:**

| Capital acquisitions – current year use of local transportation funds | $(183,248) | $(183,248) |

**Total ending balance** $13,878,775

### Ending balance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unearned – local transportation funding – June 30, 2016</td>
<td>$5,790,964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Position</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restricted for capital acquisitions – June 30, 2016</td>
<td>$8,087,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total ending balance** $13,878,775
STATISTICAL SECTION
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Financial Ratios
#### June 30, 2013 to June 30, 2017

### Current Ratio:
Measures the District’s ability to meet short-term commitments by dividing current assets by current liabilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Current Assets</th>
<th>Current Liabilities</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$9,882,036</td>
<td>$9,983,839</td>
<td>0.99:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$11,335,824</td>
<td>$10,432,628</td>
<td>1.09:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$15,531,471</td>
<td>$7,406,526</td>
<td>2.10:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$19,413,868</td>
<td>$13,743,038</td>
<td>1.41:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$14,626,057</td>
<td>$9,677,990</td>
<td>1.51:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Quick Ratio:
This variation of the current ratio is an indicator of the District’s liquidity by including only those current assets that could be converted readily to cash and receivables due within 30 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cash and Cash Equivalents plus</th>
<th>Receivables within 30 days</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$5,378,788</td>
<td>$3,923,512</td>
<td>0.93:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$5,856,275</td>
<td>$4,755,284</td>
<td>1.02:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$12,430,280</td>
<td>$2,409,984</td>
<td>2.00:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$18,334,940</td>
<td>$390,815</td>
<td>1.36:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$10,143,372</td>
<td>$3,317,823</td>
<td>1.39:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Debt Ratio:
Reflects the long-term solvency risk, in assessing the District’s financial capacity to meet long-term debts and similar obligations, by dividing total liabilities by total assets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$44,616,846</td>
<td>$72,743,765</td>
<td>61.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$19,198,398</td>
<td>$44,362,326</td>
<td>43.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$15,501,839</td>
<td>$37,940,533</td>
<td>40.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$13,743,038</td>
<td>$32,662,192</td>
<td>42.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$9,677,990</td>
<td>$29,094,950</td>
<td>33.30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Revenues and Expenses - Ten Year Comparison
#### Fiscal Years 2008 to 2017

### Operations:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fares</td>
<td>$3,093,606</td>
<td>$3,206,142</td>
<td>$3,137,831</td>
<td>$3,041,669</td>
<td>$3,303,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expenses</td>
<td>(14,059,872)</td>
<td>(15,187,284)</td>
<td>(15,557,202)</td>
<td>(15,141,244)</td>
<td>(16,404,321)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation Expense</td>
<td>(1,524,851)</td>
<td>(1,817,089)</td>
<td>(2,831,039)</td>
<td>(3,054,738)</td>
<td>(3,016,832)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Loss</td>
<td>(12,491,117)</td>
<td>(13,798,231)</td>
<td>(15,250,410)</td>
<td>(15,154,313)</td>
<td>(16,117,590)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-operating Revenues:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Transportation Funds</td>
<td>7,314,731</td>
<td>7,618,873</td>
<td>7,838,752</td>
<td>7,348,445</td>
<td>8,595,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other local funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30,530</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Funds</td>
<td>80,270</td>
<td>245,741</td>
<td>66,989</td>
<td>188,222</td>
<td>220,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>3,346,048</td>
<td>3,925,318</td>
<td>4,043,661</td>
<td>4,378,878</td>
<td>4,042,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Earnings</td>
<td>119,413</td>
<td>52,444</td>
<td>16,874</td>
<td>13,901</td>
<td>14,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income, net</td>
<td>105,805</td>
<td>138,766</td>
<td>152,152</td>
<td>170,130</td>
<td>227,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, Non-operating</td>
<td>10,966,266</td>
<td>11,981,142</td>
<td>12,148,958</td>
<td>12,099,575</td>
<td>13,100,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Loss</td>
<td>(1,524,851)</td>
<td>(1,817,089)</td>
<td>(3,101,452)</td>
<td>(3,054,738)</td>
<td>(3,016,832)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operating Expenses - Actual Dollars Compared to Constant Dollars (over Ten Year Period)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual Dollars</td>
<td>$14,059,872</td>
<td>$15,187,284</td>
<td>$15,557,202</td>
<td>$15,141,244</td>
<td>$16,404,321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPI Percent Change</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index Number (1982=100)</td>
<td>229.0</td>
<td>223.9</td>
<td>225.9</td>
<td>232.3</td>
<td>236.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Percent</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>FY 2016</td>
<td>FY 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fares</td>
<td>$ 3,148,100</td>
<td>$ 3,714,914</td>
<td>$ 4,022,983</td>
<td>$ 3,369,769</td>
<td>$ 3,482,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expenses</td>
<td>(16,642,267)</td>
<td>(18,531,482)</td>
<td>(19,381,448)</td>
<td>(20,547,884)</td>
<td>(22,113,345)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation Expense</td>
<td>(2,924,100)</td>
<td>(2,519,756)</td>
<td>(2,405,787)</td>
<td>(2,843,634)</td>
<td>(2,919,180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Loss</td>
<td>(16,418,267)</td>
<td>(17,336,324)</td>
<td>(17,764,252)</td>
<td>(20,021,749)</td>
<td>(21,550,398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operating Revenues:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Transportation Funds</td>
<td>8,976,087</td>
<td>9,631,812</td>
<td>8,869,456</td>
<td>10,601,709</td>
<td>13,338,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other local funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Funds</td>
<td>196,076</td>
<td>192,000</td>
<td>174,425</td>
<td>207,973</td>
<td>153,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>4,074,383</td>
<td>4,733,271</td>
<td>5,469,611</td>
<td>4,930,720</td>
<td>4,335,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Earnings</td>
<td>15,758</td>
<td>13,885</td>
<td>12,449</td>
<td>15,816</td>
<td>22,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income, net</td>
<td>231,864</td>
<td>245,601</td>
<td>832,524</td>
<td>1,421,897</td>
<td>465,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, Non-operating</td>
<td>13,494,167</td>
<td>14,816,568</td>
<td>15,358,465</td>
<td>17,178,115</td>
<td>18,313,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Loss</td>
<td>$ (2,924,100)</td>
<td>$ (2,519,756)</td>
<td>$ (2,405,787)</td>
<td>$ (2,843,634)</td>
<td>$ (3,236,590)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operating Expenses - Actual Dollars Compared to Constant Dollars (over Ten Year Period)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>FY 2015</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual Dollars</td>
<td>$ 16,642,267</td>
<td>$ 18,531,482</td>
<td>$ 19,381,448</td>
<td>$ 20,547,881</td>
<td>$ 22,113,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant Dollars (2007)</td>
<td>$ 15,115,249</td>
<td>$ 16,533,584</td>
<td>$ 17,155,881</td>
<td>$ 17,861,786</td>
<td>$ 18,821,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI Percent Change</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index Number (1982=100)</td>
<td>239.2</td>
<td>243.5</td>
<td>245.5</td>
<td>249.9</td>
<td>255.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Percent</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Gold Coast Transit District

## Passenger Cost by Mode - Ten Year Comparison

**Fiscal Years 2008 to 2017**

### Bus - Fixed Route

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Passengers</td>
<td>3,495,875</td>
<td>3,568,028</td>
<td>3,442,005</td>
<td>3,353,639</td>
<td>3,476,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$2,681,149</td>
<td>$2,709,665</td>
<td>$2,575,992</td>
<td>$2,581,811</td>
<td>$2,689,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Govt. Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$335,000</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>$217,000</td>
<td>$370,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$12,287,553</td>
<td>$12,719,127</td>
<td>$13,395,101</td>
<td>$13,136,934</td>
<td>$14,367,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue per passenger</td>
<td>$0.767</td>
<td>$0.759</td>
<td>$0.748</td>
<td>$0.770</td>
<td>$0.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per passenger</td>
<td>$3.515</td>
<td>$3.565</td>
<td>$3.892</td>
<td>$3.917</td>
<td>$4.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy per passenger</td>
<td>$2.748</td>
<td>$2.805</td>
<td>$3.143</td>
<td>$3.147</td>
<td>$3.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy %</td>
<td>78.2%</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
<td>80.8%</td>
<td>80.3%</td>
<td>81.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Bus - Paratransit

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Passengers</td>
<td>79,686</td>
<td>82,655</td>
<td>77,985</td>
<td>76,730</td>
<td>68,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$162,457</td>
<td>$161,476</td>
<td>$161,839</td>
<td>$164,858</td>
<td>$168,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Govt. Fare Revenue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$78,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$1,772,319</td>
<td>$2,468,157</td>
<td>$2,162,102</td>
<td>$2,004,310</td>
<td>$2,037,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue per passenger</td>
<td>$2.039</td>
<td>$1.954</td>
<td>$2.075</td>
<td>$2.149</td>
<td>$2.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per passenger</td>
<td>$22.241</td>
<td>$29.861</td>
<td>$27.725</td>
<td>$26.122</td>
<td>$29.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy per passenger</td>
<td>$20.203</td>
<td>$27.907</td>
<td>$25.649</td>
<td>$23.973</td>
<td>$27.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy %</td>
<td>90.8%</td>
<td>93.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>91.8%</td>
<td>91.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### All Mode - Total

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Passengers</td>
<td>3,575,561</td>
<td>3,650,683</td>
<td>3,519,990</td>
<td>3,430,269</td>
<td>3,545,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fare Rev.</td>
<td>$2,843,606</td>
<td>$2,871,141</td>
<td>$2,737,831</td>
<td>$2,746,669</td>
<td>$2,858,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$14,059,872</td>
<td>$15,187,284</td>
<td>$15,557,203</td>
<td>$15,141,244</td>
<td>$16,404,321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue per passenger</td>
<td>$0.795</td>
<td>$0.786</td>
<td>$0.778</td>
<td>$0.801</td>
<td>$0.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per passenger</td>
<td>$3.932</td>
<td>$4.160</td>
<td>$4.420</td>
<td>$4.414</td>
<td>$4.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy per passenger</td>
<td>$3.137</td>
<td>$3.374</td>
<td>$3.642</td>
<td>$3.613</td>
<td>$3.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy %</td>
<td>79.8%</td>
<td>81.1%</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Passenger Cost by Mode - Ten Year Comparison (Continued)
#### Fiscal Years 2008 to 2017

### Bus - Fixed Route

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>FY 2015</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Passengers</td>
<td>3,566,470</td>
<td>3,817,758</td>
<td>3,908,847</td>
<td>3,800,673</td>
<td>3,616,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$2,708,046</td>
<td>$2,996,373</td>
<td>$3,211,258</td>
<td>$3,068,465</td>
<td>$2,936,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Govt. Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$390,000</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$14,408,626</td>
<td>$16,019,298</td>
<td>$16,723,757</td>
<td>$17,770,454</td>
<td>$18,949,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue per passenger</td>
<td>$0.759</td>
<td>$0.785</td>
<td>$0.822</td>
<td>$0.807</td>
<td>$0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per passenger</td>
<td>$4,040</td>
<td>$4,196</td>
<td>$4,278</td>
<td>$4,660</td>
<td>$5,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDA Adjusted Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy per passenger</td>
<td>$3,281</td>
<td>$3,411</td>
<td>$3,457</td>
<td>$3,850</td>
<td>$4,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy %</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
<td>81.3%</td>
<td>80.8%</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Bus - Paratransit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>FY 2015</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Passengers</td>
<td>70,927</td>
<td>82,495</td>
<td>84,604</td>
<td>93,274</td>
<td>102,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$170,054</td>
<td>$202,324</td>
<td>$207,375</td>
<td>$255,046</td>
<td>$268,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Govt. Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$126,217</td>
<td>$254,350</td>
<td>$46,258</td>
<td>$277,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$2,233,641</td>
<td>$2,512,184</td>
<td>$2,657,691</td>
<td>$2,847,427</td>
<td>$3,163,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue per passenger</td>
<td>$2.398</td>
<td>$2.453</td>
<td>$2.451</td>
<td>$2.730</td>
<td>$2.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per passenger</td>
<td>$31.492</td>
<td>$30.453</td>
<td>$31.413</td>
<td>$30.530</td>
<td>$30.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDA Adjusted Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy per passenger</td>
<td>$29,095</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>$28,962</td>
<td>$27,790</td>
<td>$28,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy %</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td>92.2%</td>
<td>91.0%</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### All Mode - Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>FY 2015</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Passengers</td>
<td>3,637,397</td>
<td>3,900,253</td>
<td>3,993,451</td>
<td>3,893,947</td>
<td>3,718,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fare Rev.</td>
<td>$2,878,100</td>
<td>$3,198,697</td>
<td>$3,418,633</td>
<td>$3,323,511</td>
<td>$3,204,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$16,642,267</td>
<td>$18,531,482</td>
<td>$19,381,448</td>
<td>$20,547,881</td>
<td>$22,113,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue per passenger</td>
<td>$0.791</td>
<td>$0.820</td>
<td>$0.856</td>
<td>$0.850</td>
<td>$0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per passenger</td>
<td>$4,575</td>
<td>$4,751</td>
<td>$4,853</td>
<td>$5,280</td>
<td>$5,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Farebox Recovery %</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy per passenger</td>
<td>$3,784</td>
<td>$3,931</td>
<td>$3,997</td>
<td>$4,420</td>
<td>$5,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy %</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td>83.8%</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Service Cost by Mode - Ten Year Comparison
#### Fiscal Years 2008 to 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus - Fixed Route</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Miles</td>
<td>1,610,734</td>
<td>1,718,639</td>
<td>1,676,728</td>
<td>1,605,651</td>
<td>1,752,942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Hours</td>
<td>140,057</td>
<td>148,477</td>
<td>154,956</td>
<td>145,228</td>
<td>168,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$12,287,553</td>
<td>$12,719,127</td>
<td>$13,395,101</td>
<td>$13,136,934</td>
<td>$14,367,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Revenue Mile</td>
<td>$7.63</td>
<td>$7.40</td>
<td>$7.99</td>
<td>$8.18</td>
<td>$8.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Revenue Hour</td>
<td>$87.73</td>
<td>$85.66</td>
<td>$86.44</td>
<td>$90.46</td>
<td>$85.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus - Paratransit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Miles</td>
<td>528,336</td>
<td>537,060</td>
<td>502,026</td>
<td>501,280</td>
<td>462,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Hours</td>
<td>35,636</td>
<td>39,218</td>
<td>32,993</td>
<td>32,717</td>
<td>29,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$1,772,319</td>
<td>$2,468,157</td>
<td>$2,162,102</td>
<td>$2,004,310</td>
<td>$2,037,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Revenue Mile</td>
<td>$3.35</td>
<td>$4.60</td>
<td>$4.31</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Revenue Hour</td>
<td>$49.73</td>
<td>$62.93</td>
<td>$65.53</td>
<td>$61.26</td>
<td>$69.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Mode - Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Miles</td>
<td>2,139,070</td>
<td>2,255,699</td>
<td>2,178,754</td>
<td>2,106,931</td>
<td>2,215,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Hours</td>
<td>175,693</td>
<td>187,695</td>
<td>187,949</td>
<td>177,944</td>
<td>198,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$14,059,872</td>
<td>$15,187,284</td>
<td>$15,557,203</td>
<td>$15,141,244</td>
<td>$16,404,321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Service Cost by Mode - Ten Year Comparison (Continued)
#### Fiscal Years 2008 to 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>FY 2015</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus - Fixed Route</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Miles</td>
<td>1,850,676</td>
<td>2,044,386</td>
<td>2,111,023</td>
<td>2,168,198</td>
<td>2,185,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Hours</td>
<td>181,417</td>
<td>196,925</td>
<td>199,418</td>
<td>201,903</td>
<td>202,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$14,408,626 $</td>
<td>$16,019,298 $</td>
<td>$16,723,757 $</td>
<td>$17,700,454 $</td>
<td>$18,949,465 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Revenue Mile</td>
<td>$7.79 $</td>
<td>$7.84 $</td>
<td>$7.92 $</td>
<td>$8.16 $</td>
<td>$8.67 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Revenue Hour</td>
<td>$79.42 $</td>
<td>$81.35 $</td>
<td>$83.86 $</td>
<td>$87.67 $</td>
<td>$93.38 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus - Paratransit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Miles</td>
<td>482,005</td>
<td>552,342</td>
<td>581,041</td>
<td>663,954</td>
<td>735,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Hours</td>
<td>30,649</td>
<td>36,210</td>
<td>36,876</td>
<td>43,007</td>
<td>49,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$2,233,641 $</td>
<td>$2,512,184 $</td>
<td>$2,657,691 $</td>
<td>$2,847,427 $</td>
<td>$3,613,880 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Revenue Mile</td>
<td>$4.63 $</td>
<td>$4.55 $</td>
<td>$4.57 $</td>
<td>$4.26 $</td>
<td>$4.30 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Revenue Hour</td>
<td>$72.88 $</td>
<td>$69.38 $</td>
<td>$72.07 $</td>
<td>$63.07 $</td>
<td>$64.32 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Mode - Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Miles</td>
<td>2,332,681</td>
<td>2,596,727</td>
<td>2,692,064</td>
<td>2,832,152</td>
<td>2,920,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Hours</td>
<td>212,065</td>
<td>233,135</td>
<td>236,294</td>
<td>244,910</td>
<td>252,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost</td>
<td>$16,642,267 $</td>
<td>$18,531,482 $</td>
<td>$19,381,448 $</td>
<td>$20,547,881 $</td>
<td>$22,113,345 $</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Ridership and Service - Ten Year Comparison
#### Fiscal Years 2008 to 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passengers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>3,495,875</td>
<td>3,568,028</td>
<td>3,442,005</td>
<td>3,353,539</td>
<td>3,476,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>79,686</td>
<td>82,655</td>
<td>77,985</td>
<td>76,730</td>
<td>68,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>3,575,561</td>
<td>3,650,683</td>
<td>3,519,990</td>
<td>3,430,269</td>
<td>3,545,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue Miles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>1,610,734</td>
<td>1,718,639</td>
<td>1,676,728</td>
<td>1,605,651</td>
<td>1,752,942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>528,336</td>
<td>537,060</td>
<td>502,026</td>
<td>501,280</td>
<td>462,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>2,139,070</td>
<td>2,255,699</td>
<td>2,178,754</td>
<td>2,106,931</td>
<td>2,215,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue Hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>140,057</td>
<td>148,477</td>
<td>154,956</td>
<td>145,228</td>
<td>168,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>35,636</td>
<td>39,218</td>
<td>32,993</td>
<td>32,717</td>
<td>29,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>175,693</td>
<td>187,695</td>
<td>187,949</td>
<td>177,944</td>
<td>198,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passengers Per Mile</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passengers Per Hour</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>24.96</td>
<td>24.03</td>
<td>22.21</td>
<td>23.09</td>
<td>20.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>20.35</td>
<td>19.45</td>
<td>18.73</td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>17.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus - Fixed Route</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Boarding</td>
<td>$3.51</td>
<td>$3.56</td>
<td>$3.89</td>
<td>$3.92</td>
<td>$4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus - Paratransit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Boarding</td>
<td>$22.24</td>
<td>$29.86</td>
<td>$27.72</td>
<td>$26.12</td>
<td>$29.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Gold Coast Transit District
#### Ridership and Service - Ten Year Comparison (Continued)
##### Fiscal Years 2008 to 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>FY 2015</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passengers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>3,566,470</td>
<td>3,817,758</td>
<td>3,908,847</td>
<td>3,800,673</td>
<td>3,616,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>70,927</td>
<td>82,495</td>
<td>84,604</td>
<td>93,274</td>
<td>102,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>3,637,397</td>
<td>3,900,253</td>
<td>3,993,451</td>
<td>3,893,947</td>
<td>3,718,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue Miles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>1,850,676</td>
<td>2,044,386</td>
<td>2,111,023</td>
<td>2,168,198</td>
<td>2,185,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>482,005</td>
<td>552,342</td>
<td>581,041</td>
<td>663,954</td>
<td>735,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>2,332,681</td>
<td>2,596,727</td>
<td>2,692,064</td>
<td>2,832,152</td>
<td>2,920,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue Hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>181,417</td>
<td>196,925</td>
<td>199,418</td>
<td>201,903</td>
<td>202,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>30,649</td>
<td>36,210</td>
<td>36,876</td>
<td>43,007</td>
<td>49,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>212,065</td>
<td>233,135</td>
<td>236,294</td>
<td>244,910</td>
<td>252,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passengers Per Mile</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passengers Per Hour</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>19.39</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>18.82</td>
<td>17.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>17.15</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>14.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus - Fixed Route</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Boarding</td>
<td>$4.04</td>
<td>$4.20</td>
<td>$4.28</td>
<td>$4.66</td>
<td>$5.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Bus - Paratransit** |            |              |              |              |              |
| Cost per Boarding    | $31.49      | $30.45       | $31.41       | $30.53       | $30.89       |
Gold Coast Transit District

Oxnard, California

Single Audit and Independent Auditors’ Reports

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017
Gold Coast Transit District
Single Audit Report
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Table of Contents

Independent Auditors’ Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards ................................................................. 1

Independent Auditors’ Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program
and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance ............................................. 3

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards ........................................................................................................ 7

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards .............................................................................. 8

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs ........................................................................................................ 9
This page intentionally left blank.
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditors’ Report

To the Board of Directors
of the Gold Coast Transit District
Oxnard, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Gold Coast Transit District (District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the basic financial statements which collectively comprise the District’s basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated November 30, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District’s internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.
To the Board of Directors
of the Gold Coast Transit District
Oxnard, California
Page 2

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Santa Ana, California
November 30, 2017
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditors’ Report

To the Board of Directors
of the Gold Coast Transit District
Oxnard, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Gold Coast Transit District’s (District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District’s major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The District’s major federal programs are identified in the summary of the auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management’s Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District’s major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District’s compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.
Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered District’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of District’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.
To the Board of Directors  
of the Gold Coast Transit District  
Oxnard, California  
Page 3

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and have issued our report thereon dated November 30, 2017 which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming our opinion on the District’s financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain other procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Santa Ana, California  
November 30, 2017
This page intentionally left blank.
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor Program Title</th>
<th>Federal CFDA Number</th>
<th>Pass-Through Agency or Grant Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. Department of Transportation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal Transit Cluster</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Direct Programs:</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Transit – Capital Investment Grants</td>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>CA-04-0235</td>
<td>$4,597,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total 20.500</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,597,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Transit – Formula Grants</td>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>CA-95-X268</td>
<td>735,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Transit – Formula Grants</td>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>CA-90-Z173</td>
<td>2,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Transit – Formula Grants</td>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>CA-2016-102-00</td>
<td>1,795,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Transit – Formula Grants</td>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>CA-2016-153-00</td>
<td>579,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Transit – Formula Grants</td>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>CA-2017-052-00</td>
<td>1,958,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total 20.507</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,070,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Federal Transit Cluster</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,668,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total U.S. Department of Transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,668,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,668,134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Accompanying Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.
Note 1 – Reporting Entity

The financial reporting entity, as defined by the Governmental Accounting Standard Board (GASB), consists of the primary government, which is the Gold Coast Transit District (District), organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable, and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity’s financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

Note 2 – Basis of Accounting

Funds received under the various grant programs have been recorded within the operating fund of the District. The District utilizes the accrual method of accounting for the operating fund. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in the schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in, the preparation of the District’s basic financial statements.

Note 3 – Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

The accompanying Schedule presents the activity of all federal financial assistance programs of the District. Federal financial assistance received directly from federal agencies is included in the Schedule. The Schedule was prepared from only the accounts of various grant programs and, therefore, does not present the financial position, change in net position, or results of operations of the District.
Section I – Summary of Auditor’s Results

Financial Statements

Types of auditors’ report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified? No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None Reported

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified? No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None Reported

Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516? No

Identification of major programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</th>
<th>CFDA Number(s)</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Transit Cluster</td>
<td>20.500/20.507</td>
<td>$ 9,668,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total major program expenditures</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 9,668,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total federal award expenditures</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 9,668,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of total federal award expenditures</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: $750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee in Accordance with 2 CFR 200.520? Yes
Section II – Financial Statement Findings

A. Current Year Findings – Financial Statement Audit

   No financial statement findings were noted for the year ended June 30, 2017.

B. Prior Year Findings – Financial Statement Audit

   No financial statement findings were noted for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Section III – Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs

A. Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Award Program Audit

   No findings or questioned costs were noted on the District’s major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2017.

B. Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Award Program Audit

   No findings or questioned costs were noted on the District’s major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2016.
Gold Coast Transit District
Oxnard, California

Agreed Upon Procedures
Applied on the District’s Compliance on
Transportation Development Act – Article 4

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS’ REPORT
ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Board of Directors
of the Gold Coast Transit District
Oxnard, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, described in Section 6667 of Article 5.5 of the California Administrative Code, which were agreed to by the Gold Coast Transit District (District), the Ventura County Transportation Commission (Commission), and the State Controller solely to assist you in evaluating the District’s compliance with applicable laws, rules, and regulations pursuant to Article 4 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), and the allocation instructions of the Commission and District for the year ended June 30, 2017. The District’s management is responsible for compliance with applicable laws, rules, and regulations pursuant to Article 4 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), and the allocation instructions of the Commission. Management is responsible for the Schedule of Local Transportation Funding and the Schedule of Changes in Local Transportation Funding Activity of the District for the year ended June 30, 2017. We did not audit these schedules, and accordingly, express no opinion on them.

The agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the District. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purposes for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures were limited to performing the applicable procedures described in Section 6667 of Article 5.5 of the California Administrative Code, as stated below.

Procedures Performed:

a. Read the Commission's TDA allocation instructions and read the references cited (Section 99314 and 99313 of the Public Utilities Code (the PUC)) to determine that, as a provider of public transportation, the District’s function is consistent with the function required for funding eligibility.

b. We obtained and read the District’s general ledger and chart of accounts, comparing for consistency to the uniform system of accounts and records prescribed by the PUC.

c. Read Article 4 – Sections 99262 and 99263 (fixed route), which stipulates that TDA funds should be recorded as planning and operations expense. We inspected the District’s financial statements and general ledger to determine if TDA funds are recorded as planning and operations expense.

d. Reviewed the general ledger to determine that 1) the District has not recorded expenses in excess of amounts allocated by the Commission, and 2) based on the accounts charged, the District expended the funds in accordance with the purpose stated in the claims.

e. Read provisions of PUC Section 99301 and determined that, the District earned interest on the funds and where expended for those purposes for which the funds were allocated.
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f. Read Section 6611.1 – which defines operating costs and uses the same definition as PUC Section 99247, which states that:

"Operating cost" means all costs in the operating expense object classes exclusive of the costs in the depreciation and amortization expense object class of the uniform system of accounts and records adopted by the Controller pursuant to Section 99243, and exclusive of all subsidies for commuter rail services operated under the jurisdiction of the Interstate Commerce Commission and of all direct costs for providing charter services, and exclusive of all vehicle lease costs.

Obtained the District’s operating costs and fare revenue for 2017 from the general ledger and calculated the fare ratio requirement as depicted below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fixed Route</th>
<th>Paratransit</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating revenues:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger fares for transit services</td>
<td>$2,936,328</td>
<td>$268,530</td>
<td>$3,204,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local support revenues</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>277,269</td>
<td>277,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-TDA local revenue</td>
<td>796,941</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>796,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating revenues</td>
<td>3,733,269</td>
<td>545,799</td>
<td>4,279,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenses:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations expense</td>
<td>18,949,465</td>
<td>3,163,880</td>
<td>22,113,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Excluded costs*</td>
<td>(1,075,278)</td>
<td>(322,279)</td>
<td>(1,397,557)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating expenses</td>
<td>$17,874,187</td>
<td>$2,841,601</td>
<td>$20,715,788</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total fare ratio                           | 20.89%      | 19.21%      | 20.66% |

Total fare ratio requirement pursuant to Section 99268.5(c) | 20.00%      | 10.00%      | 20.00% |

* Excluded fares – Fixed Route – Fares attributed to routes operated three (3) years or less.  
Excluded costs – Fixed Route – Costs allocated to routes operated three (3) years or less.  
Excluded costs – Fixed Route – Cost increases in excess of Cost of Living – PUC 992568.17.  
Excluded costs – Paratransit – Increase in ADA costs/Adjusted for cost of living – Section 6633.2 (f)(1)

1. Read Section 6633.2 – which requires that an operator of transit service meet the following conditions for its services to the general public:

The ratio of fare revenues to operating cost shall be at least the greater of the following ratio:

Section 6633.2(a) reads as follows:

Twenty percent (20%) if the claimant is serving an urbanized area, ten percent (10%) if the claimant is serving a non-urbanized area, or an intermediate percentage if determined by the transportation planning agency pursuant to its rules and regulations as adopted pursuant to section 6645.

The District has complied with this provision as presented in the fare ratio requirement for Fixed Route above.
2. Read Section 6633.5 and determined that the District provides service to elderly and handicapped persons as well as the general public. Its services combined shall meet the fare ratio specified in Section 6633.2(a).

The District has complied with this provision as presented in the fare ratio requirement for Total above.

g. Traced $3,204,858 to the general ledger fare revenue account, amount agreed.

h. Traced $277,269 to the general ledger local support account, amount agreed.

i. Read Section 6634, noting the requirement that no transit service claimant shall be eligible to receive money from the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and the State Transit Assistance Fund for operating costs in an amount that exceeds its actual operating costs less certain revenues defined in the regulations.

Performed the following calculation specified in Section 6634 (noting that certain revenue sources are not applicable) and determined that the amounts received are less than the upper limit amount (individually for general and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) service and in total). Per Section 6649, the District cannot receive amounts in excess of the amounts the claimant is eligible to receive as evidenced by the claims.

For the year ended June 30, 2017, we performed the following calculation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operations expense</td>
<td>$22,113,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger fares for transit services</td>
<td>(3,204,858)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local support revenues</td>
<td>(277,269)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-TDA local revenue</td>
<td>(796,941)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal funding – operating grants</td>
<td>(4,335,128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total adjustments</td>
<td>(8,614,196)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limit for local transportation fund revenue recognized</td>
<td>$13,499,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local transportation funds revenue recognized – 2017</td>
<td>$13,338,152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

j. Read Section 6633.1, the funds received from the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) under Article 4 of the Act shall not exceed 50-percent of the amount that is the sum of the operator's operating cost, capital requirements, and debt service requirements less certain revenues defined in the regulations.

Beginning with the 1980-81 fiscal year, each operator and transit service claimant shall qualify for funding during the fiscal year as specified in this section and in sections 6633.1 to 6633.9. (a) An operator that began operation before July 1, 1974, may qualify under either Public Utilities Code section 99268.1 (the 50-percent expenditure limitation) or 99268.2 (the fare and local support ratios), unless the operator was granted a waiver from the 50-percent expenditure limitation for 1978-79. The following operators were granted such a waiver: South Coast Area Transit (Ventura County), City of Banning, City of Auburn, and City of Napa.

In June 2007, South Coast Area Transit’s Joint Powers Agreement was amended to rename the agency to Gold Coast Transit. Then in October 2013, Governor Brown signed into law Assembly Bill AB 664, which formed the Gold Coast Transit District.
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k. Read provisions of PUC Sections 99271, 99272, and 99273 to determine that the District’s pension plan is in conformance with the provisions.

l. Inspected the District and the applicable District’s Contracted Paratransit Operator for compliance certificates to verify that the District and the Contracted Paratransit Operator had certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol and is in compliance with section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code, as required in Public Utilities Code Section 99251.

m. Read provisions of PUC sections 99314.6 and 99314.7 and determined that the District has complied with the provisions.

n. Read Sections 99155 and 99155.5 and published fares to determine that the District offers reduced fares to senior citizens and persons with disabilities.

The Schedule of Local Transportation Funding and the Schedule of Changes in Local Transportation Funding Activity of the District for the year ended June 30, 2017 (Schedules) are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not required parts of the Agreed-Upon Procedures. The Schedules are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records. We have applied certain limited procedures to the Schedules, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, and other knowledge we obtained during the performance of the agreed-upon procedures. We do not provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to provide any assurance.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the District’s compliance with the requirements listed in the preceding paragraph. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors and management of the Gold Coast Transit District as well as the Commission and the State Controller and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Santa Ana, California
November 30, 2017
## Gold Coast Transit District
### Schedule of Local Transportation Funding
#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Transportation Funding</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local transportation funding received</td>
<td>$15,100,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total additions</strong></td>
<td><strong>$15,100,317</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deductions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims paid to claimants:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Ojai</td>
<td>202,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Oxnard</td>
<td>511,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Port Hueneme</td>
<td>79,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Buenaventura</td>
<td>152,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Ventura</td>
<td>1,071,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Coast Transit District</td>
<td>13,084,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total deductions</strong></td>
<td><strong>$15,100,317</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gold Coast Transit District  
Schedule of Changes in Local Transportation Funding Activity of the District  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Transportation Funding:</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beginning balance:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liability:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unearned local transportation funding – June 30, 2016</td>
<td>$5,790,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Position:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted for capital acquisitions – June 30, 2016</td>
<td>$8,087,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total beginning balance</strong></td>
<td>$13,878,775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Current year operating revenue:** |         |
| Local transportation funding      | 13,084,568 |
| Fiscal year 2014-2015 unearned local transportation funding portion recognized as revenue | 3,614,209 |
| Fiscal year 2016-2017 unearned local transportation funding portion | (3,110,625) |
| Fiscal year 2016-2017 local transportation funding recognized as a local capital grant | (250,000) |
| **Fiscal year 2016-2017 local transportation funds revenue recognized** | $13,338,152 | (13,338,152) |

| **Current year capital revenue:** |         |
| Fiscal year 2016-2017 local transportation funding recognized as a local capital grant | $250,000 |
| Local capital grants – interest earnings | 50,162 | 50,162 |
| Local capital grants – market valuation of investment | (7,896) | (7,896) |
| **Fiscal year 2014-2017 local capital grants revenue recognized** | $292,266 |

| **Current year capital acquisitions:** |         |
| Capital acquisitions – current year use of local transportation funds | $341,257 | (341,257) |
| **Total ending balance** | $13,326,200 |

| **Ending balance:** |         |
|  **Liability:**    |         |
| Unearned – local transportation funding – June 30, 2017 | $5,287,380 |
|  **Net Position:** |         |
| Restricted for capital acquisitions – June 30, 2017 | 8,038,820 |
| **Total ending balance** | $13,326,200 | $13,326,200 |
DATE December 6, 2017
TO GCTD Board of Directors
FROM Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning and Marketing
SUBJECT Consider Approval of SB1 STA State of Good Repair Priority Projects List and Consider Adoption of Resolution 2017-11 for Authorization for the Execution of the Certifications and Assurances for the California State of Good Repair Program

SUMMARY

The Road Repair and Accountability Act (Senate Bill 1) will provide more than $760 million annually in new funding for transit projects statewide. Senate Bill 1 funds will support competitive programs such as the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) and the Commuter Rail and Intercity Rail Program, as well as directly allocated funding programs such as the State Transit Assistance (STA) / State of Good Repair (SGR) programs.

For STA/SGR programs, the State Controller’s Office calculates and publishes apportionment amounts for potential recipients. The FY 2017-18 estimated allocation of STA/SGR funds for GCTD and VCTC for transit purposes are as follows:

- GCTD’s estimated allocation of SGR funds is $49,790.
- VCTC’s estimated allocation of SGR funds for Ventura County is $1,138,883.
- GCTD’s estimated allocation of STA is $222,322, (vs. $144,815 in FY 2016-17).
- VCTC’s estimated allocation of STA funds for Ventura County is $5,085,372 (vs. $2,911,396 in FY 2016-17).

According to the recently released formal draft State of Good Repair (SGR) guidelines, transit agencies must provide a list of FY 17/18 projects by January 31, 2018, with a Board resolution approving the list. Staff has prepared a list of FY 17-18 priorities totaling $6,849,864.

While the priority projects list far exceeds the available funding, this provides GCTD and VCTC with a list of approved projects should additional funding become available.

STA/STATE OF GOOD REPAIR (SGR)

SB 1 provides for both an increase in the STA apportionments (which can be used for transit capital and operations) as well as a new State of Good Repair (SGR) set-aside with funds also apportioned using the STA formula. SGR funds can be used as follows:
• Transit capital projects or services to maintain or repair a transit operator's existing transit vehicle fleet or transit facilities, including the rehabilitation or modernization of the existing vehicles or facilities.
• The design, acquisition and construction of new vehicles or facilities that improve existing transit services.
• Transit services that complement local efforts for repair and improvement of local transportation infrastructure.

PRIORITY PROJECTS LIST

An important requirement in the draft guidelines is that transit operators must provide a list of FY 17/18 projects by January 31, 2018, with a Board resolution approving the list. The list does not need to be constrained to the apportionment, but it must show costs at least as much as the apportionment. Should an agency miss this deadline, it will permanently lose part of its apportionment. If approved by the GCTD Board, Caltrans must approve the eligibility of the projects on the list, and the list can be amended in the future, subject to Caltrans approval. List will be due each September 1st to Cal Trans.

As mentioned previously, GCTD’s FY 2017-18 estimated allocation of SGR funds is $49,790, and VCTC’s estimated allocation of SGR funds for Ventura County is $1,138,883. GCTD’s FY 2017-18 estimated allocation of STA Funds is $222,322, and VCTC’s estimated allocation of STA Funds for Ventura County is $5,085,372.

The list below of GCTD’s priority projects totaling $6,849,864 exceeds the available funding in this cycle of SGR / STA funding. GCTD will continue to identify other sources of funding to complete these projects.

GCTD’s STA/SGR Priority Projects List FY 17-18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>STA/SGR Eligible Project Description</th>
<th>Cost Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14 Near-Zero Engine Replacement (14 of 26 buses) (Matching Funds)</td>
<td>$236,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12 Near Zero Engine Replacement (12 of 26 buses)</td>
<td>$1,816,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Preventative Maintenance</td>
<td>$3,629,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Replacement Service Vehicles (10)</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bond Payment - New Facility COPs (STA)</td>
<td>$867,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,849,864</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION

Consider Approval of SB1 STA State of Good Repair Priority Projects List; and Consider Adoption of Resolution 2017-11 for Authorization for the Execution of the Certifications and Assurances for the California State of Good Repair Program (See Attachment)

GENERAL MANAGER’S CONCURRENCE

Steven P. Brown
General Manager
State Transit Assistance State of Good Repair Program

Recipient Certifications and Assurances

Recipient:  Gold Coast Transit District

Effective Date:  December 06, 2017

In order to receive State of Good Repair Program (SGR) funds from the California Department of Transportation (Department), recipients must agree to following terms and conditions:

A. General

(1) The recipient agrees to abide by the State of Good Repair Guidelines as may be updated from time to time.

(2) The potential recipient must submit to the Department a State of Good Repair Program Project List annually, listing all projects proposed to be funded by the SGR program. The project list should include the estimated SGR share assigned to each project along with the total estimated cost of each project.

(3) The recipient must submit a signed Authorized Agent form designating the representative who can submit documents on behalf of the recipient and a copy of the board resolution authorizing the agent.

B. Project Administration

(1) The recipient certifies that required environmental documentation will be completed prior to expending SGR funds. The recipient assures that each project approved for SGR funding comply with Public Resources Code § 21100 and § 21150.

(2) The recipient certifies that SGR funds will be used for transit purposes and SGR funded projects will be completed and remain in operation for the estimated useful lives of the assets or improvements.

(3) The recipient certifies that it has the legal, financial, and technical capacity to deliver the projects, including the safety and security aspects of each project.
(4) The recipient certifies that there is no pending litigation, dispute, or negative audit findings related to any SGR project at the time an SGR project is submitted in the annual list.

(5) Recipient agrees to notify the Department immediately if litigation is filed or disputes arise after submission of the annual project list and to notify the Department of any negative audit findings related to any project using SGR funds.

(6) The recipient must maintain satisfactory continuing control over the use of project equipment and/or facilities and will adequately maintain project equipment and/or facilities for the estimated useful life of each project.

(7) Any and all interest the recipient earns on SGR funds must be reported to the Department and may only be used on approved SGR projects or returned to the Department.

(8) The recipient must notify the Department of any proposed changes to an approved project list by submitting an amended project list.

(9) Funds will be expended in a timely manner.

C. Reporting

(1) Per Public Utilities Code § 99312.1 (e) and (f), the recipient must submit the following SGR reports:

   a. Annual Expenditure Reports within six months of the close of the fiscal year (by December 31st) of each year.

   b. The annual audit required under the Transportation Development Act (TDA), to verify receipt and appropriate expenditure of SGR funds. A copy of the audit report must be submitted to the Department within six months of the close of each fiscal year in which SGR funds have been received or expended.

D. Cost Principles

(1) The recipient agrees to comply with Title 2 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments.

(2) The recipient agrees, and will assure that its contractors and subcontractors will be obligated to agree, that (a) Contract Cost Principles and Procedures, 48 CFR, Federal Acquisition Regulations System, Chapter 1, Part 31, et seq., shall be used to determine the allowability of individual project cost items and (b) those parties shall
comply with Federal administrative procedures in accordance with 2 CFR, Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments.

(3) Any project cost for which the recipient has received payment that are determined by subsequent audit to be unallowable under 2 CFR, Part 200, are subject to repayment by the recipient to the State of California (State). Should the recipient fail to reimburse moneys due to the State within thirty (30) days of demand, or within such other period as may be agreed in writing between the Parties hereto, the State is authorized to intercept and withhold future payments due the recipient from the State or any third-party source, including but not limited to, the State Treasurer and the State Controller.

E. Record Retention

(1) The recipient agrees, and will assure that its contractors and subcontractors shall establish and maintain an accounting system and records that properly accumulate and segregate incurred project costs and matching funds by line item for the project. The accounting system of the recipient, its contractors and all subcontractors shall conform to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), enable the determination of incurred costs at interim points of completion, and provide support for reimbursement payment vouchers or invoices. All accounting records and other supporting papers of the recipient, its contractors and subcontractors connected with SGR funding shall be maintained for a minimum of three (3) years from the date of final payment and shall be held open to inspection, copying, and audit by representatives of the State and the California State Auditor. Copies thereof will be furnished by the recipient, its contractors, and subcontractors upon receipt of any request made by the State or its agents. In conducting an audit of the costs claimed, the State will rely to the maximum extent possible on any prior audit of the recipient pursuant to the provisions of federal and State law. In the absence of such an audit, any acceptable audit work performed by the recipient’s external and internal auditors may be relied upon and used by the State when planning and conducting additional audits.

(2) For the purpose of determining compliance with Title 21, California Code of Regulations, Section 2500 et seq., when applicable, and other matters connected with the performance of the recipient’s contracts with third parties pursuant to Government Code § 8546.7, the recipient, its contractors and subcontractors and the Department shall each maintain and make available for inspection all books, documents, papers, accounting records, and other evidence pertaining to the performance of such contracts, including, but not limited to, the costs of administering those various contracts. All of the above referenced parties shall make such materials available at their respective offices at all reasonable times during the entire project period and for three (3) years from the date of final payment. The State, the California State Auditor, or any duly authorized representative of the State, shall each have access to any books, records, and documents that are pertinent to a
project for audits, examinations, excerpts, and transactions, and the recipient shall furnish copies thereof if requested.

(3) The recipient, its contractors and subcontractors will permit access to all records of employment, employment advertisements, employment application forms, and other pertinent data and records by the State Fair Employment Practices and Housing Commission, or any other agency of the State of California designated by the State, for the purpose of any investigation to ascertain compliance with this document.

F. Special Situations

(1) Recipient acknowledges that if a project list is not submitted timely, the recipient forfeits its apportionment for that fiscal year.

(2) Recipients with delinquent expenditure reports may risk future eligibility for future SGR funding.

(3) Recipient acknowledges that the Department shall have the right to perform an audit and/or request detailed project information of the recipient’s SGR funded projects at the Department’s discretion from SGR award through 3 years after the completion and final billing of any SGR funded project. Recipient agrees to provide any requested project information.

I certify all of these conditions will be met.

AGENCY NAME:

GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT

BY: Steve P. Brown, General Manager

AUTHORIZING OFFICER, Title

Unit/Department
RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT #2017-11

Authorization for the Execution of THE
CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES
FOR THE CALiforniia State of Good Repair PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Gold Coast Transit District is an eligible project sponsor and may receive State Transit Assistance funding from the State of Good Repair Account (SGR) now or sometime in the future for transit projects; and

WHEREAS, the statutes related to state-funded transit projects require a local or regional implementing agency to abide by various regulations; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 1 (2017) named the Department of Transportation (Department) as the administrative agency for the SGR; and

WHEREAS, the Department has developed guidelines for the purpose of administering and distributing SGR funds to eligible project sponsors (local agencies); and

WHEREAS, the Gold Coast Transit District wishes to delegate authorization to execute these documents and any amendments thereto to the General Manager.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Gold Coast Transit District that the fund recipient agrees to comply with all conditions and requirements set forth in the Certification and Assurances document and applicable statutes, regulations and guidelines for all SGR funded transit projects.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the General Manager be authorized to execute all required documents of the SGR program and any Amendments thereto with the California Department of Transportation.

AGENCY BOARD DESIGNEE:

BY: ________________________________
DATE December 6, 2017
TO GCTD Board of Directors
FROM Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning and Marketing
SUBJECT Authorize General Manager to Prepare Letter of Support for SBCAG’s application for Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) funding for Coastal Express fleet expansion and GCT facility improvements to support peak hour Pacific Surfliner rail service

SUMMARY
The Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) is preparing an application for Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) grant funding for fleet and facility improvements to support expanded Coastal Express service between Oxnard (OTC) and Goleta and is seeking letters of support from partner agencies. The project partners at this time include SBCAG, SBMTD, GCTD & VCTC.

If funding is awarded SBCAG would purchase five electric over the road coaches (e.g. BYD, MCI as pilot program), that would be used to provide additional daily Coastal Express service in support of re-timed Pacific Surfliner rail service that will serve commuters traveling from Oxnard to Goleta and Santa Barbara. If awarded funds, the buses could be stored and charged overnight at the new GCTD facility in Oxnard with electric charging infrastructure this project would also fund. There will be additional components of the application, but the main focus will be on expanding the Coastal Express fleet capacity and making facility improvements in Goleta and at the new GCTD bus facility.

The applications are due January 12 and SBCAG expects to hear in May 2018 if funding is awarded.

RECOMMENDATION
Authorize the General Manager to prepare a letter of Support for SCBAG’s TICRP grant application.

GENERAL MANAGER’S CONCURRENCE

Steven P. Brown
General Manager

GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT
CITY OF OJAI | CITY OF OXNARD | CITY OF PORT HUENEME | CITY OF VENTURA | COUNTY OF VENTURA
301 EAST THIRD STREET, OXNARD, CA 93030 | P 805.483.3959 | F 805.487.0925 | GOLDCOASTTRANSIT.ORG
Date

Ms. Marjie Kirn
Executive Director
Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG)
260 North San Antonio Road, Suite B
Santa Barbara, CA  93110

Subject:  Support for SBCAG Grant Application for 2017 TIRCP Funding

Dear Ms. Kirn:

The Gold Coast Transit District strongly supports the grant application submitted by the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments for the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) that would support fleet and facility improvements to support expanded Coastal Express bus service.  GCTD is a strong supporter of multi-modal efforts to reduce greenhouse gases and improve mobility options in the region and believes that investment in quality public transit is a key to that goal.

The Coastal Express, funded jointly by SBCAG and the Ventura County Transportation Commission is an exceptionally successful commuter transit service, with a high farebox and a record of eliminating millions of VMTs every year.  While the service is supported by Santa Barbara County’s local transportation sales tax, Measure A, there is no source of local funding in Ventura County to help offset costs needed to expand this service.

The nature of the Coastal Express service lends itself perfectly to battery-powered coaches.  This grant, if awarded, would enable SBCAG to purchase electric buses, as well as facility improvements in Goleta.  Additionally, with the construction of the new GCTD Operations and Maintenance Facility, funds would be included to install charging infrastructure to charge vehicles in Oxnard overnight before beginning their trip to Goleta.

To support the state’s critical goal of reducing GHGs as well as demonstrate leadership in the technical application of emerging carbon-negative public transportation options, GCTD strongly encourages the California State Transportation Agency to support SBCAG’s 2017 TIRCP grant.

Sincerely,

Steven P. Brown
General Manager
DATE       December 6, 2017
TO         GCTD Board of Directors
FROM       Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning and Marketing
SUBJECT    Consider Approval of Staff Recommendations Resulting from
Strategic Planning Discussion of “Fiscal Challenges and Opportunities”

SUMMARY

GCTD is committed to proactively addressing the challenges of organizing, planning, and delivering quality transit. However, while GCTD is the most cost-efficient transit system in the region, based on cost per trip provided, we recognize that our costs are increasing faster than our revenue sources. This reality of limited long-term transit funding sources means that we will need to work smarter as a District if we are to successfully meet the current and future needs of the public and continue to be financially sustainable.

To address these long-term challenges, GCTD’s held a focused Strategic Planning Discussion at the October 2017 Board Meeting to review, discuss, and provide direction on mission critical topics and long-term strategies. Based on feedback received at the October meeting, staff is seeking approval from the Board to help us in addressing long-term funding challenges and opportunities.

Staff is requesting that the Board Consider Approval of Staff Recommendations Resulting from Strategic Planning Discussion of “Fiscal Challenges and Opportunities.”

DISCUSSION OF “FISCAL CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES” & RECOMMENDATIONS

I. Fare Policy Review / Fare Changes / Elimination of Free Transfers

The Board discussed the need to thoroughly involve the public needs when considering fare policy changes. GCTD’s fare policies are designed to provide a balance between affordability and generating the required farebox recovery ratio, they currently result in an average fare per passengers of $0.76. Raising fares or changing policies to eliminate
transfers could potentially impact transit access for lower income customers, especially those who travel on more than one bus system to complete their trip. Staff also recognizes that any changes to fares could have a negative impact on ridership.

Staff recommends that GCTD continue over the next year to analyze the impact of the recently implemented mobile ticketing app and work with countywide transit operators to look at improving regional fares in a coordinated effort. Because of new mobile fare technology currently being tested, as well Countywide fare technology changes currently underway no changes to fare or transfer policies are recommended in the short term, while the Board may choose to revisit this area in the future.

II. Joint Development for 301 East Third Street

The board of Directors raised many questions about the future of the 301 East 3rd Street property, including: current appraisal value, liability, lease opportunities. Examples for consideration raised could be possible site for future affordable housing, joint development, or simply sell for a one-time revenue boost. Because GCTD does not have in-house expertise in this area, staff recommends GCTD seek real-estate services for a “Highest and Best Use Study” of the property for possible revenue generating opportunities that would help provide on-going locally generated revenue.

Staff recommends that GCTD issue an RFI in early 2018 for real-estate services to solicit the highest and best use of the 301 East 3rd Street property following the move to 1901 Auto Center Drive and include funds in the FY 2017-18 budget for services related to this effort.

III. Transit Initiative

The Board provided feedback to staff related to the possibility of GCTD initiating a districtwide ballot measure for transportation funds at some point in the future. Questions raised by the Board included legal boundaries of the District, competing measures, timing, and feasibility. Following the discussion, staff reached out to counterparts in the transit industry and held an information gathering call with an experienced public opinion polling and research firm, with experience in this field. The process for a transit agency initiating a feasibility study for generating local transportation revenue would need to begin with the use of statistically gathered research and data. The information gathered could also provide other benefits including helping GCTD better understand the perception of the organization in the community.

Staff recommends that GCTD issue an RFP in early 2018 for public opinion polling and research services. A limited amount of Planning & Marketing funds from the FY 17-18 budget would be used to initiate these services.
IV. Other Topics: SRTP / Systemwide Restructure / Route Efficiency

The Board raised questions about the overall efficiency of GCTD’s current service, and status of changes as outlined in the 2015 Short Range Transit Plan.

GCTD recently received grant funding for new bus service on Ventura Rd as well as 5 buses as part of the Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality (CMAQ) program. Due to the length of time needed to order buses and the relocation to the new facility, this service is expected to begin in mid 2019. In addition, as a result of the move to the new facility and the roll out of new service on Ventura Rd, GCTD now will be able to make some of the recommended route changes identified in the SRTP.

The move to the new facility will also provide us an opportunity to look at cost savings of changes to our blocking, run-cuts, relief points for our routes. To accomplish this, staff included in the FY 17-18 budget, funds to conduct an Operations / Planning Efficiency Analysis. The RFP for this will be released in late Dec/early Jan with recommendations brought to the Board for future discussion.

RECCOMENDATION

Consider Approval of Staff Recommendations Resulting from Strategic Planning Discussion of “Fiscal Challenges and Opportunities”

GENERAL MANAGERS CONCURRENCE

______________________________
Steven P. Brown
General Manager
DATE December 6, 2017
TO GCTD Board of Directors
FROM Steven P. Brown  SPB
General Manager
SUBJECT Election of Board Officers for Calendar Year 2018

I. Executive Summary

The Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD) Bylaws, Section 1.4 (a) states that the Board of Directors shall “…at the first regular meeting of December of each calendar year elect one of its Directors to serve as Chair and one of its Directors to serve as Vice Chair.”

It is recommended that the Board of Directors elect from its members a Chair and a Vice Chair to serve for calendar year 2018.

II. Background

Board officers for the past four years which included the GCT JPA and the time as the GCTD are listed below:

2013 – Chair John Zaragoza, County of Ventura – Vice Chair Carl Morehouse, City of Ventura
2014 – Chair Carl Morehouse, City of Ventura – Vice Chair Paul Blatz, City of Ojai
2015 – Chair Paul Blatz, City of Ojai – Vice Chair Bryan MacDonald, City of Oxnard
2016 – Chair Douglas Breeze, City of Port Hueneme – Vice Chair Bryan MacDonald, City of Oxnard
2017 – Chair Bryan MacDonald, City of Oxnard - Vice Chair John Zaragoza, County of Ventura

III. Summary and Recommendation

It is recommended that the Board of Directors elect from its members a Chair and a Vice Chair to serve for calendar year 2018.
Date: December 6, 2017
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Steven P. Brown SPB
General Manager
SUBJECT: Consider Approval of Board of Directors Meeting Schedule - Calendar Year 2018

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Regular meetings of the Gold Coast Transit District Board of Directors are held at 10 a.m. on the first Wednesday of each month at the GCTD Administrative Office located at 301 E. Third Street in Oxnard. Beginning in the Fall of 2018 the meetings will be held at the new Administration and Operations Facility at 1901 Auto Center Drive in Oxnard. The Board meeting schedule has been amended in the past to accommodate holidays, the California League of Cities Annual Meeting, and the American Public Transportation Association’s (APTA) Annual Meeting. In 2018 the APTA Annual Meeting is scheduled for September 23-26, 2018 in Nashville, TN and does not conflict with the regular Board meeting schedule. The California League of Cities Annual Conference and Expo is scheduled for September 12-14, 2018 in Long Beach and does not present a schedule conflict. Please let me know if any other schedule adjustments are needed.

Proposed Board of Directors Meeting Schedule for 2018

| January 3       | May 2       | September 5 |
| February 7      | June 6      | October 3   |
| March 7         | July 11*    | November 7  |
| April 4         | August - Dark/No Mtg. | December 5 |
|                 |             | January 2, 2019 |

* Moved to second Wednesday to accommodate meeting or holiday

II. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board of Directors consider, amend if needed, and approve the proposed monthly Board meeting schedule for calendar year 2018.
DATE December 6, 2017
TO GCTD Board of Directors
FROM Reed Caldwell
Director of Engineering & Construction
SUBJECT Construction Update of the GCTD Administration & Operations Facility

SUMMARY
This item provides the GCTD Board of Directors a construction update of the new GCTD Administration & Operations Facility project located near the intersection of Auto Center Drive and Paseo Mercado in Oxnard, CA.

BACKGROUND
GCTD is constructing a new facility that will become the new administration office, operation center, and maintenance facility for the transit district. A presentation summarizing the project status will be presented at the Board meeting.

RECOMMENDATION
This report is for information only.

GENERAL MANAGER’S CONCURRENCE

Steven P. Brown
General Manager